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Outlier Detection

Outlier detection, also known as anomaly detection, aims to identify the data samples that are deviant from the general distribution.

Applications: fraud detection, intrusion defense, fake news identification

---

**Outliers in Tabular Data**
Source: https://developer.mindsphere.io/apis/analytics-anomalydetection/api-anomalydetection-overview.html

**Anomalies in Time-series**
Source: https://towardsdatascience.com/anomaly-detection-with-isolation-forest-visualization-23cd75c281e2
Challenges in Outlier Detection

- **Limited number of labeled data** – unsupervised models are used in practice
- **Extreme data skew** – number of outliers << number of inliers
- **Complex patterns** – outliers may be well hidden in certain subspaces or only identifiable under specific assumptions

unsupervised approaches + extremely skewed data + complex patterns =

A challenging learning task!
Ensemble learning is a technique to combine/fuse/aggregate multiple base models [1]. It shows two key advantages:

- **Stability Enhancement**: independent trials in empirical studies
- **Performance Improvement**: boosted trees, random forests, even neural nets may be considered as a form of ensembling

Challenges while Using Many Outlier Detectors

For effective outlier ensembles, a large group of diverse base models are needed.

However, training and prediction with many heterogeneous unsupervised outlier detectors shows the following limitations:

- **Computationally expensive**: density estimation and distance calculation
- **Inefficient in parallelization**: heterogeneous models, varying cost, hard to schedule
- **Limited in interpretability**: unsupervised (non-parametric) models
Most outlier ensembles (unsupervised [1], semi-supervised [2], and supervised, need to build a large group of unsupervised detectors first.

The proposed SUOD framework focuses on accelerating the training and prediction when many detectors are used.

SUOD: A Three-module Acceleration System

Module 1: Random Projection

For high-dimensional data

If prediction with expensive unsupervised models

Module 2: Balanced Parallel Scheduling

If parallel training is enabled

Module 3: Pseudo-Supervised Approximation

Not all modules are needed; it is flexible to “mix and match”.
Independent “LEGO” like system!
Module I: Random Projection

For high-dimensional datasets, Johnson-Lindenstrauss (JL) projection (covered in Nov 4\textsuperscript{th} lecture) is leveraged to \textbf{reduce dimensionality} and \textbf{induce diversity} (by its randomness). Refer to our paper for details (proof and flowchart).

1. Four variants are used: (i) \textit{basic} (ii) \textit{discrete} (iii) \textit{circulant} (iv) \textit{toeplitz}

2. Compared with: (i) \textit{original} (no projection) (ii) \textit{PCA} (iii) \textit{RS} (random subsets)

3. Run with three outlier detectors, e.g., ABOD, LOF, \textit{kNN}
All projection methods are faster than operating on the full space (original).

**JL circulant** and **JL Toeplitz** work best across all projection methods regarding both accuracy (outperforming) and time complexity (on par).
Module II: Balanced Parallel Scheduling (BPS)

For parallel/distributed systems, the scheduled task load among workers (e.g., CPU cores) may be imbalanced.

A model cost predictor $C_{cost}$ is built to forecast each model’s running time, so a balanced parallel scheduling system may be achieved by minimizing the worker load imbalance.

\[
\min_{\mathcal{W}} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \left| \sum_{D_j \in W_i} C_{cost}(D_i) - \sum_{l=1}^{m} C_{cost}(D_l) \right|
\]
Module II: Balanced Parallel Scheduling (BPS)

Table 2: Comparison between simple scheduling and BPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Simple</th>
<th>BPS</th>
<th>% RED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1831</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.33</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>24.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>23.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>20.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.51</td>
<td>72.16</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.38</td>
<td>39.46</td>
<td>50.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>32.78</td>
<td>40.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5393</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51.11</td>
<td>35.17</td>
<td>31.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5393</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.49</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>61.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5393</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38.45</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>55.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5393</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>197.84</td>
<td>137.46</td>
<td>30.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5393</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>167.36</td>
<td>76.14</td>
<td>54.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5393</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>127.08</td>
<td>66.29</td>
<td>47.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6870</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80.89</td>
<td>67.23</td>
<td>16.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6870</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68.31</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>48.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6870</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>49.19</td>
<td>24.18</td>
<td>50.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6870</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>336.54</td>
<td>286.14</td>
<td>14.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6870</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>345.69</td>
<td>164.02</td>
<td>52.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6870</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>211.34</td>
<td>113.13</td>
<td>46.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- BPS leads to 15%-60% execution time reduction with $\# \text{models} = \{100, 500\}$ and $\# \text{workers} = \{2, 4, 6\}$ on three datasets.

- The performance improvement is more apparent with more models to be scheduled against more workers.
Approximate and replace each of costly unsupervised model by a faster supervised regression model for predicting on unseen samples.

- Unsupervised outlier detectors can be slow ($O(nd)$), e.g., kNN and LOF. Can be approximated by supervised regressors like ensemble trees ($O(dp)$).

- This may be viewed as distilling knowledge from slow unsupervised models, although it has multiple key differences from “knowledge distillation”.

- It may lead to faster inference, smaller storage cost, and better interpretability.
Module III: Pseudo-supervised Approximation (PSA)

PSA works well for \textit{proximity-based models} operating on Euclidean space, but not \textit{linear models}.

The visual on synthetic data shows some \textit{regularization effects} on decision boundary.

See paper for extensive quantitative analysis.
Conclusion

A three-module acceleration framework, SUOD, is proposed for the training and prediction with many unsupervised outlier detectors: \{Random Projection\}, \{Balanced Parallel Scheduling\}, \{Pseudo Supervised Approximation\}. They are independent but can be mixed and match for flexibility.

Future Directions:

1. Demonstrate the module effectiveness as a complete framework.
2. Investigate the performance with the parallelization system with many workers.
3. Further analyze why and when will pseudo-supervised approximation work.
Model Reproducibility and Accessibility

- SUOD’s code, experiment results, and figures are openly shared: https://github.com/yzhao062/SUOD

- Production level implementation will appear in Python Outlier Detection Toolbox (PyOD) [1]: https://github.com/yzhao062/pyod


* An extended version is under review at AAAI 2020 Workshop. Will revise and resubmit for KDD 2020 (ADS track).
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