## 21-237: Math Studies Algebra I

December 5, 2022

Lecture 36: Noetherian quotient properties

Lecturer: James Cummings

Scribe: Rajeev Godse

## 1 Polynomials and freely generated rings

Let R, S be rings, with  $R \leq S$ . Given  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in S$ , consider the least subring of S containing  $R \cup \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ . Written  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , it's easy to see that it's equal to  $\{f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) : f \in R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\}$ . It's also easy to see that the "evaluation map"  $\phi : f \mapsto f(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is a HM from  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  to S with  $\operatorname{im}(\phi) = R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ . By the first isomorphism theorem,  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \simeq R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] / \ker(\phi)$ .

**Intution**:  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is the "most general" ring of the form  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ .

## 2 The N-word

Recall: An R-module M is Noetherian  $\iff$  all submodules of M are finitely generated  $\iff$  all increasing chains of submodules are eventually constant.

R is a Noetherian ring  $\iff$  R is a Noetherian R-module  $\iff$  all ideals are finitely generated  $\iff$  all increasing chains of ideals are eventually constant.

R is Noetherian  $\implies R[x]$  is Noetherian, and by an easy inductive argument  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is Noetherian (as  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}][x_n] \simeq R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ ).

**Fact**: If R is Noetherian and I is an ideal of R, then R/I is Noetherian.

*Proof*: The ideals of R/I correspond to the ideals of R containing I, so an ideal of R/I must be of the form J/I. Then, say finite S generates J, then  $\phi_I[S]$  generates J/I.

Corollary: If R is Noetherian,  $R \leq S$ ,  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in S$ , then  $R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$  is Noetherian.

**Fact**: Let R be any ring. Let N be an R-module,  $M \le N$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1) N is a Noetherian R-module.
- (2) M and N/M are both Noetherian R-modules.

*Proof*: Suppose N is Noetherian. Any increasing chain of submodules of M is an increasing chain of submodules of N, hence it's eventually constant. Submodules of N/M are in correspondence with submodules of N containing M, so any increasing chain of submodules in N/M corresponds to an increasing chain of submodules of N containing M, which stabilizes, so its quotient does as well. Thus, M, N/M are Noetherian.

Conversely, assume that M, N/M are Noetherian. Let  $N_0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \ldots$  be submodules of N.

 $(N_i \cap M)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing chain of submodules of M. As M is Noetherian, it is eventually constant.

 $\phi_M[N_i] = (N_i + M)/M$ , and  $(\phi_M[N_i])_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing chain of submodules of N/M. As N/M is Noetherian, it is eventually constant.

So there is  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $j \geq i$ ,  $N_i \cap M = N_i \cap M$  and  $\phi_M[N_i] = \phi_M[N_i]$ .

Claim:  $N_i = N_j$  for  $j \ge i$ .

*Proof*: Since  $N_i \subseteq N_j$ , it suffices to show  $N_j \subseteq N_i$ .

Let  $n \in N_j$ .  $\phi_M(n) \in \phi_M[N_j] = \phi_M[N_i]$ . So there is  $\overline{n} \in N_i$  such that  $n+M=\overline{n}+M$ , i.e.  $\overline{n}-n \in M$ . As  $N_i \subseteq N_j$ ,  $n, \overline{n} \in N_j$ , so  $\overline{n}-n \in M \cap N_j = M \cap N_i \subseteq N_i$ . So  $n=\overline{n}-(\overline{n}-n) \in N_i$ .  $\square$ So indeed, N is Noetherian under this hypothesis.  $\square$ Corollary 1: If M,N both Noetherian R-modules, then  $M \oplus N$  is Noetherian.  $Proof \colon M \oplus 0 \simeq M, \frac{M \oplus N}{M \oplus 0} \simeq N.$   $\square$ Corollary 1.5: If  $M_1, \ldots, M_t$  are Noetherian,  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^t M_j$  Noetherian.  $Proof \colon \text{Induction, Corollary 1.}$   $\square$ Corollary 2: If R is a Noetherian ring, then the R-module  $R^n$  is a Noetherian R-module.  $Proof \colon \text{It's the direct sum of } n \text{ copies of } R$ . Apply Corollary 1.5.

Corollary 3: If R is a Noetherian ring and M is a finitely generated R-module, then M is a Noetherian R-module.  $Proof \colon \text{Let } M$  be spanned by  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ , let  $\phi \colon R^n \to M$ ,  $\phi(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i m_i$ ,  $\phi$  is surjective and R-linear.

Apply the first IM theorem to see that  $M \simeq R^n/\ker(\phi)$ .  $R^n$  is Noetherian from corollary 2, and then

apply the theorem.