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## 1 Quantifiers

Up to now, we have been vague about what, exactly, our atomic propositions $A$ are representing. In order to discuss quantification, however, we need to be precise over what, exactly, we are quantifying over. We do this via a new judgment $t: \tau$, where $\tau$ is some to-be-defined type. Oftentimes, we are interested in some particular type, like the type of natural numbers or the type of Turing Machines, but the meaning of the $\exists$ and $\forall$ connectives are independent of this. The rules for verifying these are as follows:


By now, you should be comfortable with erasing the arrows to recover the rules defining these connectives for natural deduction. The intuition for these rules should be straightforward - to prove that some proposition $A(x)$ is true for all $x: \tau$, we should be able to derive $A(c)$ true for some arbitrary $c: \tau$. Similarly, we can introduce an existential by demonstrating some object satisfying the proposition.

Eliminating foralls is similarly simple. To eliminate an existential, however, we must do a little more work. If we have $\exists x: \tau . A(x)$, then we may not assume anything else about the witness! It must be an object of type $\tau$, and also that it satisfies $A(x)$, but any other properties must be abstracted out, to be replaced with an arbitrary object with the known properties.

## 2 Examples with quantifiers

Consider predicates $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ which depend on $x: \tau$.
Task 1. Show $\forall x: \tau . A(x) \wedge B(x) \supset \forall x: \tau . A(x) \wedge \forall x: \tau . B(x)$ true.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solution 1: }
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, let $A(x, y)$ be a formula with two variables $x: \tau$ and $y: \sigma$.
Task 2. Show that you can "swap" an existential and universal. Do a verification proof. To prove this, show that $\exists x: \tau . \forall y$ : $\sigma . A(x, y) \supset \forall y: \sigma . \exists x: \tau . A(x, y)$.

## Solution 2:

## 3 Heyting Arithmetic

Now that we have fully explored the surrounding machinery, let's try and look at a more sophisticated system of logic.

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& & \overline{x: \text { nat }} \\
& \overline{C(x) \text { true }}^{u} \\
& & \\
n \text { : nat } \quad C(0) \text { true } & C(S x) \text { true } \\
C(n) \text { true }
\end{array}
$$

The other was the rule of primitive recursion, which introduces a new term constructor $R$ for each type $\tau$ :


Its behaviour is captured by the following reduction rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(0, t_{0}, \text { x.r. } t_{s}\right) & \Longrightarrow_{R} t_{0}, \\
R\left(S n^{\prime}, t_{0}, \text { x.r. } t_{s}\right) & \Longrightarrow_{R}\left[R\left(n^{\prime}, t_{0}, \text { x.r. } t_{s}\right) / r\right]\left[n^{\prime} / x\right] t_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These rules $R$ indicate that $R$ describes a recursive function " $R(n)$ " on the first parameter, with value $t_{0}$ when $n=0$, and value $\left[R\left(n^{\prime}\right) / r\right]\left[n^{\prime} / x\right] t_{s}$ when $n=S n^{\prime}$. This motivates the more readable schema of primitive recursion, where we define the function (call it " $f$ " to avoid confusion) $f$ by cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(0) & =t_{0} \\
f(S x) & =t_{s}(x, f(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can recover the recursor version of the definition as follows:

$$
f=\left(f n n \Rightarrow R\left(n, t_{0}, x . r . t_{s}(x, r)\right)\right) .
$$

### 3.1 All of the rules in one place!

Here are all of the Heyting arithmetic rules.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{0: \text { nat }} \text { nat }_{0} \quad \frac{x: \text { nat }}{\mathrm{s} x: \text { nat }} \text { nat } I_{S} \\
& \overline{y: \mathrm{nat}} \quad \bar{C}(y) \text { true } \\
& \frac{x \text { : nat } \quad C(0) \text { true } \quad C(\mathrm{~s} y) \text { true }}{C(x) \text { true }} \text { nat } E^{y, u} \\
& \overline{0=0 \text { true }}=I_{00} \quad \frac{x=y \text { true }}{\text { s } x=s y \text { true }}=I_{S S} \\
& \frac{0=\mathrm{s} x \text { true }}{C \text { true }}=E_{0 S} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~s} x=0 \text { true }}{C \text { true }}=E_{S 0} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~s} x=\mathrm{s} y \text { true }}{x=y \text { true }}=E_{S S} \\
& \overline{R\left(0, t_{0}, x . r . t_{S}\right) \Rightarrow_{R} t_{0}} \Rightarrow_{R} I_{0} \quad \overline{R\left(\mathrm{~s} n, t_{0}, \text { x.r.t } t_{S}\right) \Rightarrow_{R}\left[R\left(n, t_{0}, \text { x.r.ts }\right) / r\right][n / x] t_{S}} \Rightarrow_{R} I_{S} \\
& \frac{A(x) \text { true } \quad x \Rightarrow_{R} y}{A(y) \text { true }} \Rightarrow_{R} E_{1} \quad \frac{\text { A(y) true } \quad x \Rightarrow_{R} y}{A(x) \text { true }} \Rightarrow_{R} E_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2 Working with these ideas

Task 3. The judgmental form of the principle of induction can be used to show the following more traditional formulation that uses universal quantification:

$$
\forall n: \text { nat. } C(0) \supset(\forall x: \text { nat. } C(x) \supset C(S x)) \supset C(n) \text { true. }
$$

## Solution 3:

Task 4. (BONUS) Prove

$$
\forall n: \text { nat. } R(n, 0, x . r . S(S r))=R(n, n, x . r . S r) \text { true }
$$

You may assume for the purposes of this proof that $R(n, S y, x . r . S r) \Rightarrow_{R} R(S(R(n, y, x . r . S r))$ ) (note that while they are equivalent, neither side actually reduces to the other).
Furthermore, although this is not a rule, assume you may step underneath successors, as if you have a rule $\Rightarrow_{R} I^{*}$ with the premise $x \Rightarrow_{R} y$ and conclusion $S x \Rightarrow_{R} S y$.

Solution 4: (BONUS) Let the following section be called $X$ :

Let the following section be called $Y$ :

Let the following section be called $Z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{R(S y, y, x . r . S r) \Rightarrow_{R} S(R(y, y, x . r . S r)) t r u e} \Rightarrow_{R} I_{S} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\frac{Y \quad \overline{S(R(S ~ y, y, x . r . S r)) \Rightarrow_{R} S(S(R(y, y, x . r . S r))) t r u e}}{R(S y, 0, x . r . S(S r))=S(R(S y, y, x . r . S r)) \text { true }} \Rightarrow_{R} I_{R} E_{2} \quad \overline{R(S ~ y, S ~ y, x . r . S r) \Rightarrow_{R} S(R(S y, y, x . r . S r)) \text { true }} \text { given }
\end{array} \\
& R(S y, 0, x . r . S(S r))=R(S y, S y, x . r . S r) \text { true }
\end{aligned}
$$

The full proof is then:

$$
\frac{\overline{a: n a t} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{Z}}{\frac{\operatorname{R(a,0,x.r.S(Sr))=R(a,a,x.r.Sr)\operatorname {true}}}{} \text { nat } \mathrm{E}^{y, u}} \forall \mathrm{I}^{n}
$$

