## Constructive Logic (15-317), Spring2022 Assignment 3: Proofs as Programs + Verifications and Uses

Instructor: Klaas Pruiksma TAs: Runming Li, Onyekachi Onyeador, Viraj Puri, Xiao Yu

Due: Thursday, February 10, 2022, 11:59 pm

The assignments in this course must be submitted electronically through Gradescope. Written homework PDFs and coding Dcheck files will both go to Gradescope. For this homework, submit two files:

- hw3.pdf (your written solutions)
- hw3.deriv (your coding solutions)

## **Trees are Programs**

Task 1 (18 points). Provide proof terms for the following theorems using the proof-as-program logic. Your solution should go in hw3.pdf.

- a. prove deMorgagain:  $\neg A \land \neg B \supset \neg (A \lor B)$
- b. prove toptobottom:  $(A \supset \top) \land (\bot \supset A)$
- c. prove reuse:  $((A \supset B) \land (A \supset C)) \supset (A \supset B \land C)$
- d. prove ormap:  $((A \lor B) \supset C) \supset (A \supset C) \land (B \supset C)$
- e. prove curry:  $(A \land B \supset C) \supset A \supset B \supset C$
- f. prove exclusion:  $((A \lor B) \land \neg A) \supset B$

## I thunk therefore I am

Task 2 (8 points). Consider a unary connective  $\circ$  defined by the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\top \ true} \ u \\ \vdots \\ \circ A \ true \\ \circ A \ true \\ \circ C \end{array} \circ I^u \qquad \underbrace{\circ A \ true \ \top \ true}_{A \ true} \ \circ E \end{array}$$

- 1. Can you prove a simple relationship between A true and  $\circ A$  true?
- 2. Using **thunk**(u.M) as the proof term for the intro rule (aka introduction form), give the appropriate intro rule. for **thunk**(u.M) :  $\circ A$ .
- 3. Using *M* << *N* as the proof term for the elim rule (aka elimination form), give the appropriate elim rule for *M* << *N* : *A*.
- 4. Does  $\circ$  have a reduction rule<sup>1</sup>? Write out a reduction rule for  $\circ$  if one exists. Otherwise, show that no reduction rule is possible.
- 5. Why might a programming language or programmer want to use thunks in code?<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Remember that a contraction rule shows how to reduce the elimination form of a connective to a simpler term <sup>2</sup>Any reasonable guess is fine

## Verifications

Consider the **\$** connective.

**Task 3** (5 points). Give rules for forming the judgments that  $\clubsuit(A, B, C)$  has a verification and that  $\clubsuit(A, B, C)$  can be used.

Task 4 (4 points). Give a verification for the following proposition in Dcheck, using the VU system.

$$(\neg P \land Q) \supset ((P \supset Q) \supset (\neg P \supset \neg Q)) \supset \bot$$

Your solution should go in hw3.deriv. For clarification on how to write a verifications-and-uses proof, please look at the course website<sup>3</sup>. Note that we use P and Q here to denote atomic propositions.

**Task 5** (13 points). For each of the following propositions, give a verification-and-uses proof and its **corresponding** proofs-as-programs term. Your proof should go in hw3.deriv and the proof term should go in hw3.pdf.

- 1.  $\bot \supset \top$
- 2.  $\perp \supset \top$  (Do not use the same verification/proof term as part a. Use a new one.)

3. 
$$(P \supset Q) \supset (\neg Q \supset \neg P)$$

- 4.  $(P \supset Q) \supset (Q \supset R) \supset (P \supset R)$
- 5.  $((P \supset R) \land (Q \supset R)) \supset ((P \lor Q) \supset R)$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/kpruiksm/15317s22/example.deriv