

80-310/610 Logic and Computation

Exercise Set 3

Kevin T. Kelly

Exercise 1 *Van Dalen 1.4.5.*

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma \vdash \phi &\Rightarrow \Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash \phi; \\ \Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \Delta \wedge \phi \vdash \psi &\Rightarrow \Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash \psi.\end{aligned}$$

Answer: Suppose $\Gamma \vdash \phi$. Then there exists $\mathcal{D} \in \text{der}$ such that $\text{hyps}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\text{conc}(\mathcal{D}) = \phi$. So $\text{hyps}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \Gamma \cup \Delta$. So in virtue of \mathcal{D} , $\Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash \phi$.

Suppose $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ and $\Delta, \phi \vdash \psi$. Then there exist $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}' \in \text{der}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{hyps}(\mathcal{D}) &\subseteq \Gamma; \\ \text{hyps}(\mathcal{D}') &\subseteq \Delta \cup \{\phi\}; \\ \text{conc}(\mathcal{D}) &= \phi; \\ \text{conc}(\mathcal{D}') &= \psi.\end{aligned}$$

Then clamp \mathcal{D}' onto ϕ in \mathcal{D} to obtain \mathcal{D}'' . Then $\text{hyps}(\mathcal{D}'') = \Gamma \cup \Delta$. So $\Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash \psi$.

Exercise 2 *Van Dalen 1.4.6. Give a recursive definition of the set of hypotheses of a derivation.*

Answer I'm writing out the derivations horizontally rather than vertically, which doesn't make any difference formally. That makes it easier to typeset constructions involving proofs. Thus,

$$\mathcal{D}(\phi \wedge \psi) | \phi$$

is the $\wedge E$ rule.

$$\begin{aligned}h(\phi) &= \{\phi\}; \\ h(\mathcal{D}\phi, \mathcal{D}'\psi | (\phi \wedge \psi)) &= h(\mathcal{D}) \cup h(\mathcal{D}'); \\ h(\mathcal{D}(\phi \wedge \psi) | \phi) &= h(\mathcal{D}); \\ h([\phi]\mathcal{D}\psi | (\phi \rightarrow \psi)) &= h(\mathcal{D}) \setminus \{\phi\}; \\ h(\mathcal{D}\phi \rightarrow \psi, \mathcal{D}\phi | \psi) &= h(\mathcal{D}) \cup h(\mathcal{D}'); \\ h(\mathcal{D}\perp | \phi) &= h(\mathcal{D}); \\ h([\neg\phi]\mathcal{D}\perp | \phi) &= h(\mathcal{D}) \setminus \{\phi\}.\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3 *Van Dalen 1.4.7. Give a recursive definition of substitution of a formula in a derivation. Show that a substituted derivation is a derivation. Show that if $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ then $\Gamma[\delta/p] \vdash \phi[\delta/p]$.*

Answer Recall that we already have substitution of a prop for an atom: $\phi[\delta/p]$. For derivations use angle brackets instead $\mathcal{D}\langle\delta/p\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi\langle\delta/p\rangle &= \phi[\delta/p]; \\
(\mathcal{D}\phi, \mathcal{D}'\psi | (\phi \wedge \psi))\langle\delta/p\rangle &= \mathcal{D}\langle\delta/p\rangle\phi[\delta/p], \mathcal{D}'\langle\delta/p\rangle\psi[\delta/p] | \phi[\delta/p]; \\
&\vdots \\
([\neg\phi]\mathcal{D}\perp | \phi)\langle\delta/p\rangle &= ([\neg\phi[\delta/p]]\mathcal{D}\langle\delta/p\rangle\perp | \phi[\delta/p])[\delta/p].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, show that if \mathcal{D} is a derivation then $\mathcal{D}\langle\delta/p\rangle$ is as well. By induction on derivations of course. In the base case if ϕ is a derivation, so is $\phi\langle\delta/p\rangle = \phi[\delta/p]$, since $\phi[\delta/p]$ is a proposition. For an example of the induction, consider the RAA case. Suppose that $[\neg\phi]\mathcal{D}\perp | \phi$ is a derivation. Then

$$([\neg\phi]\mathcal{D}\perp | \phi)\langle\delta/p\rangle = ([\neg\phi[\delta/p]]\mathcal{D}\langle\delta/p\rangle\perp | \phi[\delta/p])[\delta/p].$$

By the IH, $\mathcal{D}\langle\delta/p\rangle$ is a derivation. Since the same substitutions are performed on the formulas that must match, it follows that $([\neg\phi[\delta/p]]\mathcal{D}\langle\delta/p\rangle\perp | \phi[\delta/p])[\delta/p]$ is a derivation.

Finally, suppose that $\Gamma \vdash \phi$. Then there exists derivation \mathcal{D} such that $h(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \Gamma$ and the conclusion of \mathcal{D} is ϕ . But then $\mathcal{D}\langle\delta/p\rangle$ is a derivation whose hypotheses are in $\Gamma[\delta/p]$ and whose conclusion is $\phi[\delta/p]$. So $\Gamma[\delta/p] \vdash \phi[\delta/p]$.