

Van Dalen Exercise 1.5.2

By contraposition.

Suppose: $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\} \vdash \perp$.

So there exists derivation:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \\ D \\ \perp \end{array}}$$

So there exists derivation:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \frac{\phi_1 \&, \dots, \& \phi_n}{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n} \&E \text{ iterated} \\ D \\ \perp \\ \hline \phi_1 \&, \dots, \& \phi_n \longrightarrow \perp \quad \longrightarrow I \end{array}}$$

So $\vdash \neg(\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_n)$

Suppose: $\vdash \neg(\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_n)$.

So there exists derivation:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} D \\ (\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_n) \longrightarrow \perp \end{array}}$$

So there exists derivation:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} D \qquad \frac{[(\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_{n-1})] \quad [\phi_n]}{(\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_n)} \\ \frac{(\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_n) \longrightarrow \perp}{\perp} \\ \hline \frac{\perp}{\phi_n \longrightarrow \perp} \\ \hline (\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_{n-1}) \longrightarrow (\phi_n \longrightarrow \perp) \end{array}}$$

So $\vdash (\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \neg \phi_n$.

Suppose: $\vdash (\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \neg \phi_n$.

So there exists derivation:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} D \\ (\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_{n-1}) \longrightarrow (\phi_n \longrightarrow \perp) \end{array}}$$

So there exists derivation:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} D \qquad \frac{\phi_1 \dots \phi_{n-1}}{\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_{n-1}} \\ \frac{(\phi_1 \& \dots \& \phi_{n-1}) \longrightarrow (\phi_n \longrightarrow \perp)}{(\phi_n \longrightarrow \perp)} \quad \phi_n \\ \hline \perp \end{array}}$$

So $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\} \vdash \perp$.