

Categorical logic – lecture notes

Clive Newstead, Monday 27th March 2017

Last time: encoding of first-order logic in system with λ -abstractions and equality.

Recall that we encoded

$$\forall x.\varphi \equiv ((\lambda x.\varphi) = (\lambda x.\top))$$

This needs to satisfy

$$\frac{\Delta x \mid \Gamma \vdash \varphi}{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \forall x.\varphi}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \forall x.\varphi}{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \varphi[t/x]}$$

To see this, note that we have

$$\frac{\frac{\Delta x \mid \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \top}{\Delta x \mid \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \top} \quad \frac{\Delta x \mid \Gamma \vdash \varphi}{\Delta x \mid \Gamma, \top \vdash \varphi}}{\Delta x \mid \Gamma \vdash \varphi = \top} \quad \frac{}{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.\varphi) = (\lambda x.\top)}$$

and

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.\varphi) = (\lambda x.\top)}{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.\varphi)t = (\lambda x.\top)t}}{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \varphi[t/x] = \top}}{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \varphi[t/x]}$$

We also need encodings of \wedge , \top , \Rightarrow , \forall , \vee , \perp , \exists . For example we can take

$$\varphi \vee \psi \equiv \forall p : \Omega. (\varphi \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (\psi \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow p$$

Internal logic of a topos

Let \mathcal{E} be a topos. Its internal language is given by:

- A higher-order signature $\Sigma(\varphi) = (\Sigma(\mathcal{E})_0, \Sigma(\mathcal{E})^c)$, which is defined in a similar way as for cartesian closed categories. Specifically, we have
 - $\Sigma(\mathcal{E})_0 = \text{ob}(\mathcal{E})$
 - For $A \in \tau(\Sigma(\mathcal{E})_0)$, define $\Sigma(\mathcal{E})_t^c = \text{hom}(1, \llbracket A \rrbracket)$.
- There is a canonical $\Sigma(\mathcal{E})$ -structure $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{E})$ in \mathcal{E} defined by
 - $X_{\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{E})} = X$ for $X \in \Sigma(\mathcal{E})$; and
 - $f_{\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{E})} = f$ for $f \in \Sigma(\mathcal{E})_t^c$.

We can prove a lot of things using the internal language of a topos.

Recall that for $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{E} we define

$$\ulcorner f \urcorner = \Lambda(1 \times A \xrightarrow{p} A \xrightarrow{f} B) : 1 \rightarrow B^A$$

Observation. For $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{E} , we have

$$\llbracket x : A \mid \ulcorner f \urcorner(x) : B \rrbracket = f$$

Theorem 1. For $A \in \mathcal{E}$, the poset $(\text{Hom}(A, \Omega), \leq)$ (which is equivalent to $\text{Sub}(A)$) is a Heyting algebra, with operations $\wedge, \top, \vee, \perp, \Rightarrow$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x : A \mid \varphi : \Omega \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket x : A \mid \psi : \Omega \rrbracket &= \llbracket x : A \mid \varphi \wedge \psi : \Omega \rrbracket \\ \llbracket x : A \mid \varphi : \Omega \rrbracket \vee \llbracket x : A \mid \psi : \Omega \rrbracket &= \llbracket x : A \mid \varphi \vee \psi : \Omega \rrbracket \\ \llbracket x : A \mid \varphi : \Omega \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket x : A \mid \psi : \Omega \rrbracket &= \llbracket x : A \mid \varphi \Rightarrow \psi : \Omega \rrbracket \\ \top &= \llbracket x : A \mid \top \rrbracket \quad \perp = \llbracket x : A \mid \perp \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We check that \wedge is indeed a binary meet, that is for $p, q, r : A \rightarrow \Omega$ we have

$$p \wedge q \leq p, \quad p \wedge q \leq q, \quad \text{and if } r \leq p \text{ and } r \leq q, \text{ then } r \leq p \wedge q$$

Assume $p = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$, $q = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$ and $r = \llbracket \theta \rrbracket$. We have

- $p \wedge q \leq p$ if and only if $(x : A \mid \varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \varphi)$ is valid—this is a tautology;

- $p \wedge q \leq q$ if and only if $(x : A \mid \varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \psi)$ is valid—this is a tautology.

The remaining condition is equivalent to the validity of the following rule of inference:

$$\frac{x \mid \theta \vdash \varphi \quad x \mid \theta \vdash \psi}{x \mid \theta \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi}$$

This is valid, since it is the introduction rule for the conjunction operator, so by soundness we're done.

Likewise for the other connectives. □

Theorem 2. For $f : B \rightarrow A$, the function

$$- \circ f : \text{Hom}(A, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, \Omega)$$

preserves Heyting algebra structure.

Proof. This follows from

$$\llbracket a : A \mid \varphi \rrbracket \circ f = \llbracket a : A \mid \varphi \rrbracket \circ \llbracket b : B \mid \ulcorner f^{-1}(b) \urcorner \rrbracket = \llbracket b : B \mid \varphi[\ulcorner f^{-1}(b) \urcorner / a] \rrbracket$$

From this, we have, for instance

$$\begin{aligned} (\llbracket a : A \mid \varphi \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket a : A \mid \psi \rrbracket) \circ f &= \llbracket a : A \mid \varphi \wedge \psi \rrbracket \circ f \\ &= \llbracket b : B \mid (\varphi \wedge \psi)[\ulcorner f^{-1}(b) \urcorner / a] \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket b : B \mid \varphi[\ulcorner f^{-1}(b) \urcorner / a] \wedge \psi[\ulcorner f^{-1}(b) \urcorner / a] \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket b : B \mid \varphi[\ulcorner f^{-1}(b) \urcorner / a] \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket b : B \mid \psi[\ulcorner f^{-1}(b) \urcorner / a] \rrbracket \\ &= (\llbracket a : A \mid \varphi \rrbracket \circ f) \wedge (\llbracket a : A \mid \psi \rrbracket \circ f) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ and let $p_1 : A \times B \rightarrow A$ be the projection morphism. Then the functor

$$- \circ p_1 : \text{Hom}(A, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A \times B, \Omega)$$

has left and right adjoints \exists_B, \forall_B .

Proof. Take

$$\exists_B \llbracket \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket a \mid \exists b. \psi \rrbracket \quad \text{and} \quad \forall_B \llbracket \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket a \mid \forall b. \psi \rrbracket$$

Note that the following rules of inference are valid:

$$\frac{\Delta x \mid \varphi \vdash \psi}{\Delta \mid \varphi \vdash \forall x. \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Delta x \mid \psi \vdash \varphi}{\Delta \mid \exists x.\psi \vdash \varphi}$$

So in the internal logic we have $f \leq \forall_B g$ if and only if $f \circ p_1 \leq g$ and $\exists_B g \leq f$ if and only if $g \leq f \circ p_1$.

Theorem 4 (Beck–Chevalley). Consider a pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times C & \xrightarrow{p_1} & A \\ f \times \text{id}_C \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ B \times C & \xrightarrow{p_1} & B \end{array}$$

Then given $\llbracket b, c \mid \varphi \rrbracket : B \times C \rightarrow \Omega$, we have

$$\exists_C(\llbracket b, c \mid \varphi \rrbracket \circ (f \times \text{id})) = (\exists_C \llbracket b, c \mid \varphi \rrbracket) \circ f$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \exists_C(\llbracket b, c \mid \varphi \rrbracket \circ (f \times \text{id})) &= \exists_C(\llbracket b, c \mid \varphi \rrbracket \circ \llbracket a, c \mid (f^{-1}(a), c) \rrbracket) \\ &= \exists_C(\llbracket a, c \mid \varphi[f^{-1}(a)/b] \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket a \mid \exists c.\varphi[f^{-1}(a)/b] \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation for the expression on the right-hand side yields the same result. Likewise for \forall . \square

Theorem 5. The topos \mathcal{E} is a regular category.

Proof. Let $e : B \rightarrow A$. Consider the graph

$$\text{Gr}_e = \llbracket a, b \mid e(b) = a \rrbracket$$

Note that $\exists_B \text{Gr}_e = \llbracket a \mid \exists b.e(b) = a \rrbracket$. Now

$$p_1^*(\exists_B \text{Gr}_e) \geq \text{Gr}_e$$

. Thus we get a map from Gr_e into the pullback of $\exists_B \text{Gr}_e$ along p_1 , and hence (equivalently) a map k as in: as in:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\cdot & \xrightarrow{k} & \cdot \\
\text{Gr}_e \downarrow & \searrow e & \downarrow \exists_B \text{Gr}_e \\
A \times B & \xrightarrow{p_1} & A
\end{array}$$

We claim that this provides the required image factorisation of e .

By the universal property of $\exists_{p_1} \dashv p_1^*$, we have $\exists_B \text{Gr}_e$ is the least subobject of A that e factors through. This implies, in particular, that k is an extremal epimorphism (\equiv cover, since \mathcal{E} has finite limits). This gives the required image factorisation.

It remains to show that this factorisation is stable under pullback.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & A & \xrightarrow{k} & \cdot \\
& \nearrow \text{Gr}_e & \downarrow \text{Gr}_e & & \downarrow \exists_B \text{Gr}_e \\
D & \xrightarrow{k'} & \cdot & & \cdot \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g^*e \\
C \times B & \xrightarrow{p_1} & A \times B & \xrightarrow{p_1} & A \\
& \nearrow & & \searrow g & \\
& & C & &
\end{array}$$

The map $A \rightarrow A \times B$ is $\llbracket a, b \mid \ulcorner e \urcorner(b) = a \rrbracket$. The map $D \rightarrow C \times B$ is $\llbracket c, b \mid \ulcorner e \urcorner(b) = \ulcorner g \urcorner(c) \rrbracket$. The map $\cdot \rightarrow C$ is $\llbracket c \mid \exists b. \ulcorner e \urcorner(b) = g(c) \rrbracket$.