# Compressive Sensing, Lecture 2

Yesterday

- Undetermined systems of equations and  $\ell_1$  minimization
- Compressive sensing
  - Probabilistic approach: isotropy & incoherence
  - Deterministic approach: restricted isometry property

### Today

- Ideas of the main proofs
- Main computational tool: convex optimization

# Recap

### Compressive sampling approach

- measure  $b = A\bar{x}$
- obtain  $\hat{x}$  via  $\ell_1$  minimization:  $\hat{x} := \operatorname{argmin}_x \{ \|x\|_1 : Ax = b \}.$

### Probabilistic approach

- fix  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  arbitrary
- randomize A
- with high probability  $\hat{x}$  recovers  $\bar{x}$  or  $\bar{x}_s$

### Deterministic approach: RIP

- find  $m \times n$  matrix A satisfying RIP
- $\hat{x}$  recovers  $\bar{x}$  or  $\bar{x}_s$  for all  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

## RIP and exact recovery

### Recall

Given a sensing matrix A,  $\delta_k$  is smallest  $\delta$  such that

$$(1-\delta)\|x\|_2^2 \le \|Ax\|_2^2 \le (1+\delta)\|x\|_2^2$$

for all k-sparse vector x.

# $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:second} \mbox{Observe} \\ \delta_{2s} \mbox{ is the smallest } \delta \mbox{ such that} \end{array}$

$$(1-\delta)\|x_1 - x_2\|_2^2 \le \|A(x_1 - x_2)\|_2^2 \le (1+\delta)\|x_1 - x_2\|_2^2$$

for all s-sparse vectors  $x_1, x_2$ .

Therefore if  $\delta_{2s} < 1$  in principle we can recover  $\bar{x}$  from  $b = A\bar{x}$ , e.g., via  $\ell_0$  minimization.

RIP and signal recovery (special case)

#### Theorem

Assume  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and A satisfies RIP with  $\delta_{2s} \leq \sqrt{2} - 1$ . Then the  $\ell_1$  solution  $\hat{x}$  satisfies

$$\|\hat{x} - \bar{x}\|_2 \le C \cdot \frac{\|\bar{x} - \bar{x}_s\|_1}{\sqrt{s}}$$

for some constant C.

#### Proof

Let  $h := \hat{x} - \bar{x}$ . Put  $T_0 :=$  indexes of s largest entries of |h|,  $T_1 :=$  indexes of s largest entries of  $|h_{T_0^c}|$ , etc.

Let 
$$\Delta := \frac{\|\bar{x} - \bar{x}_s\|_1}{\sqrt{s}}$$
.

By construction of the  $T_j$ s:

$$\sum_{j\geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 \le \frac{\|h_{T_0^c}\|_1}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

By optimality of  $\hat{x}$ :

$$\|h_{T_0^c}\|_1 \le \|h_{T_0}\|_1 + 2 \cdot \sqrt{s} \cdot \Delta.$$

By RIP:

$$(1 - \delta_{2s}) \|h_{T_0 \cup T_1}\|_2 \le \sqrt{2} \cdot \delta_{2s} \cdot \sum_{j \ge 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2.$$

Hence  $\|h_{T_0\cup T_1}\|_2 \leq \frac{2\rho\cdot\Delta}{1-\rho}$  for  $\rho := \frac{\sqrt{2}\cdot\delta_{2s}}{1-\delta_{2s}}$ . Therefore  $\|h\|_2 \leq \frac{2(1+\rho)}{1-\rho}\cdot\Delta$ 

5/26

# Gaussian matrices and RIP

#### Theorem

Let M be an  $m \times n$  Gaussian matrix and  $A := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}M$ . If  $m \geq \frac{k \log(en/k)}{\delta^2}$  for  $\delta \in (0, 1/3)$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , then with probability at least  $1 - 2e^{-\delta^2 m}$ 

 $1 - 3\delta \le \sigma_{\min}(A_T) \le \sigma_{\max}(A_T) \le 1 + 3\delta$  for all |T| = k.

In particular, A satisfies RIP with high probability.

Related property of random projections

Theorem (Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma) Assume  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $k \ge \frac{8\delta \log n}{\epsilon^2(1-2\epsilon/3)}$  for some  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$  and  $\delta \ge 1$ , then a random projection  $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$  satisfies

$$(1-\epsilon)\frac{k}{d}\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \le \|\Pi x_i - \Pi x_j\|_2^2 \le (1+\epsilon)\frac{k}{d}\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2, \ \forall i \ne j$$

with probability at least  $1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{n^{2\delta}}$ .

Key lemmas (for both Johnson-Lindenstrauss and Gaussian RIP): Lemma (Borell, Tsirelson-Ibragimov-Sudakov) Let  $X \sim N(0, I_d)$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be L-Lipschitz. Then for  $t \ge 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] > t\right) \le e^{-t^2/2L^2}$$

Lemma (Sudakov-Fernique)

Let  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  and  $(Y_t)_{t \in I}$  be Gaussian processes. If  $\mathbb{E}X_t = \mathbb{E}Y_t$  and  $\mathbb{E}(X_s - X_t)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}(Y_s - Y_t)^2$  for all  $s, t \in I$  then

 $\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in I} X_t \leq \mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in I} Y_t.$ 

### Proof of Gaussian RIP Theorem

Assume |T| = k and  $t \ge 0$ .

By Sudakov-Fernique:

$$\mathbb{E}(\sigma_{\max}(M_T)) \le \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k}$$
$$\mathbb{E}(\sigma_{\min}(M_T)) \ge \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}.$$

By Borell, Tsirelson-Ibragimov-Sudakov:

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_{\max}(M_T) \ge \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + t) \le e^{-t^2/2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_{\min}(M_T) \le \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - t) \le e^{-t^2/2}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{|T|=k} \sigma_{\max}(A_T) \ge 1 + \frac{\sqrt{k}+t}{\sqrt{m}}\right) \le \binom{n}{k} e^{-t^2/2} \le (en/k)^k e^{-t^2/2}$$
$$= \exp\left(k \log(en/k) - t^2/2\right).$$
To finish, take  $t = 2\sqrt{m} \cdot \delta$ . (Similar for  $\sigma_{\min}$ .)

9 / 26

Signal recovery for probabilistic ("RIPless") approach

### Probabilistic approach

- Suppose  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is *s*-sparse.
- Pick  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and measure  $b = A\bar{x}$ .

### Question

How likely it is that the solution  $\hat{x}$  to the  $\ell_1$  minimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \|x\|_1 \\ & Ax = b \end{array}$$

recovers  $\bar{x}$ ?

# Optimality conditions for $\ell_1$ minimization

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & \|x\|_1 \\ & Ax = b \end{array}$ 

### Optimality conditions

A feasible  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is optimal iff there exists  $v = A^* \lambda$  such that

• 
$$v_i = \operatorname{sgn}(x_i)$$
 for  $i \in T := \{i : x_i \neq 0\}.$ 

• 
$$|v_i| \le 1$$
 for  $i \in T^c := \{i : x_i = 0\}.$ 

### Sufficient condition for uniqueness

If, in addition,  $|v_i| < 1$  for all  $i \in T^c$  and  $A_T$  is full column rank then x is the unique solution.

Strategy to prove exact recovery via  $\ell_1$  minimization

Suppose  $\bar{x}$  has support T, i.e.,  $T := \{i : x_i \neq 0\}$ . Take

$$v := A^* A_T (A_T^* A_T)^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x_T).$$

By construction  $v = A^* \lambda$  and  $v_i = \operatorname{sgn}(x_i)$  for  $i \in T$ .

We would be done if we can show that  $|v_i| < 1$  for  $i \in T^c$ .

An easy probabilistic result:

#### Theorem

For A Gaussian, achieve exact recovery with probability at least  $1-3/\sqrt{n}$  provided  $m \ge 4s \log n$ .

# Proof of Theorem

Put  $w := A_T (A_T^* A_T)^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x_T).$ 

Observe:  $w, A_i$  are independent for  $i \in T^c$ .

Thus  $v_i | w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|w\|_2^2)$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(|v_i| \ge 1|w) \le 2e^{-1/2||w||_2^2}.$$

On the other hand, as in the RIP Theorem,

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_{\min}(A_T) \le \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{s} - t) \le e^{-t^2/2}.$$

Therefore with probability at least  $1-e^{-t^2/2}$ 

$$||w|| \le \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{m} - \sqrt{s} - t} := B.$$

Consequently

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i\in T^c} |v_i| \ge 1\right) \le 2ne^{-1/2B^2} + e^{-mt^2/2}.$$

To finish, take  $t := \sqrt{\log n}$ .

# Optimality of Compressive Sensing

### Back to Gaussian sensing

m Gaussian measurements and  $\ell_1$  decoding:

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \lesssim \frac{\|x - x_s\|_1}{\sqrt{s}}, \ s \approx \frac{m}{\log(n/m)}.$$

#### Question

Can we do better with other measurements or other algorithms?

# Signals with power law







sorted wavelet coefficients

Power law decay:  $|x|_{(1)} \ge |x|_{(2)} \ge \cdots \ge |x|_{(n)}$ 

$$|x|_{(k)} \le \frac{C}{k^p}$$

Model  $\ell_p \text{ ball } \mathcal{B}_p := \{ x : \|x\|_p \le 1 \}.$ Discuss case p = 1 but same discussion applies to  $0 \le p \le 1$ . Recovery of  $\ell_1$  ball  $\mathcal{B}$ 

### Gaussian sensing

- Suppose unknown vector is in  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{B}}$
- Take m Gaussian measurements

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\log(n/m) + 1}{m}}.$$

### Ideal sensing

Best we can hope from m linear measurements:

$$E_m(\mathcal{B}) = \inf_{D,F} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{B}} ||x - D(F(x))||.$$

# Gelfand widths

### Theorem (Donoho)

$$d_m(\mathcal{B}) \le E_m(\mathcal{B}) \le C \cdot d_m(\mathcal{B}),$$

where  $d_m(\mathcal{B})$  is the *m*-width of  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$d_m(\mathcal{B}) := \inf_V \left\{ \sup_{x \in \mathcal{B}} \|P_V x\|_2 : \operatorname{codim}(V) < m \right\}$$

# Theorem (Kashin, Garnaev-Gluskin) For $\ell_1$ ball

$$C_1 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log(n/m) + 1}{m}} \le d_m(\mathcal{B}) \le C_2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log(n/m) + 1}{m}}.$$

Compressive sensing achieves the limits of performance.

# Convex functions and sets

### Subdifferential

Assume  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . A vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a subgradient of f at x if

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle g, y - x \rangle, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Subdifferential  $\partial f(x) := \{g : g \text{ subgradient of } f \text{ at } x\}.$ 

### Normal cone

Assume  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex and  $x \in S$ . Normal cone to S at x:

$$N_S(x) := \{ d : \langle d, y - x \rangle \le 0 \text{ for all } y \in S \}.$$

# Convex optimization

Problem of the form

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ & x \in S. \end{array}$ 

where f and S are convex.

Sufficient optimality conditions

A point  $\bar{x} \in S$  is a solution to the above problem if

 $-\partial f(\bar{x}) \cap N_S(\bar{x}) \neq \emptyset.$ 

Special convex optimization problems

### Linear programming

Objective function is linear:  $f(x) = \langle c, x \rangle$ Constraint set is polyhedral:  $S = \{x : Ax = b, Bx \ge d\}$ .

Optimality conditions for linear programming

$$c = A^*y + B^*z, \ z \ge 0, \ \langle z, Bx - d \rangle = 0.$$

# Special convex optimization problems

Consider the vector space  $\mathbb{S}^n:n\times n$  symmetric matrices with inner product

$$\langle X, Z \rangle = \operatorname{trace}(XZ).$$

Cone of positive semidefinite matrices

$$\mathbb{S}^n_+ := \{ X \in \mathbb{S}^n : \lambda(X) \ge 0 \} = \{ X : u^\mathsf{T} X u \ge 0 \; \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$$

Write  $X \succeq Z$  for  $X - Z \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$ .

### Semidefinite programming

Objective function:  $f(X) = \langle C, X \rangle$ Constraint set:  $S = \{X \in \mathbb{S}^n : \mathcal{A}(X) = b, \mathcal{B}(X) \succeq D\}$  for some linear maps  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ .

Sufficient optimality conditions for semidefinite programming

$$C = \mathcal{A}^*(y) + \mathcal{B}^*(Z), \ Z \succeq 0, \ \langle Z, \mathcal{B}(X) - D \rangle = 0.$$

What is so special about linear and semidefinite programming?

- They have powerful duality properties
- They can be solved efficiently (via interior-point methods)
- Popular matlab-based solvers: SeDuMi, SDPT3
- Matlab toolbox CVX serves as a wrapper for these solvers

### CVX examples

To solve



Use CVX code

```
cvx_begin
variable x(n);
variable t(n);
minimize(sum(t));
subject to
    x <= t;
    -x <= t;
    A*x == b;
cvx_end
```

CVX does some standard transformations.

To solve

$$\min \quad \begin{aligned} \|x\|_1 \\ Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

Use CVX code

```
cvx_begin
 variable x(n);
 minimize(norm(x,1));
 subject to
   A*x == b;
cvx_end
```

More CVX examples

To solve

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \langle I, X \rangle \\ & \langle A, X \rangle = b \\ & X \succeq 0 \end{array}$$

use CVX code

```
cvx_begin
variable X(n,n) symmetric;
minimize( trace( I * X ) );
subject to
    trace( A * X ) == b;
    X == semidefinite(n);
cvx_end
```

# Main references for today's material

- Slides for this minicourse: http://andrew.cmu.edu/user/jfp/UNencuentro
- E. Candès, "The restricted isometry property and its implications for compressed sensing," *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Ser. I 346, pp. 589-592, 2008.
- R. Baraniuk, M. Davenport, R. DeVore, M. Wakin, "A Simple Proof of the Restricted Isometry Property for Random Matrices," *Constructive Approximation*, 2008.
- D. Donoho, "Compressed sensing," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol 52, no. 4, pp. 1289–1306, April 2006.
- M. Grant and S. Boyd, CVX: Matlab Software for Disciplined Convex Programming, http://cvxr.com/cvx/