An effective theory of initial conditions in inflation

Hael Collins

University of Massachusetts, Amherst

references

scalar field: hep-th/0501158, gravity/backreaction: hep-th/0605107 power spectrum: *in progress*

hep-th/0501158, hep-th/0507081 hep-th/0605107, hep-th/0609002 in progress

collaborator: Rich Holman (Carnegie Mellon University)

PASCOS 2006 — Parallel Cosmology Talk — September 11, 2006

Inflation and structure formation

- Inflation provides a very elegant and economical explanation for the observed structure, relying upon two essential ingredients:
 - quantum fluctuations

•

- an accelerating expansion
- A general set of predictions shared across many particular models
 - nearly flat primordial power spectrum
 - small non-Gaussianities
 - correlations of features (TT-TE) on super-(Hubble)-horizon scales
 - synchronized acoustic oscillations
- This picture is not, however, without its problems (Brandenberger)



The trans-Planckian problem of inflation

- One problem in particular arises directly from the ingredients which make inflation so appealing: its quantum fluctuations and its expansion
 - 60–70 *e*-folds of expansion solves the horizon problem
 - but most models have much more
- A little thought-experiment: consider some feature in the primordial power spectrum at the end of inflation that later will cause a feature in the microwave background radiation
 - starts outside horizon (t_{end})
 - going backwards: left the horizon (t_{exit})
 - still earlier: it was smaller than I/M_{pl}
- A breakdown of the principle of decoupling



An effective description of the initial state

- How do we address this problem?
 - One method is to assume something about the behavior above M_{pl}
 - stringy uncertainty relation, shortest distance, noncommuting space-time, etc.
 - Another approach is more in keeping with the effective theory principle
 - is the nominal vacuum is the true vacuum at short distances?
- Divide the features of the initial state according to whether they vary much on a length scale I/M
 - here *M* is the scale of new physics
 - fit the long distances to observations
 - leave short distances completely general
 - we define the state at an "initial time" η_{o}
 - is this picture perturbatively consistent?

Martin & Brandenberger — Easther, Greene, Kinney & Shiu — Kaloper, Kleban, Lawrence, Shenker & Susskind — Burgess, Cline, Lemieux & Holman — Niemeyer — Kempf — Starobinsky — Goldstein & Lowe — Danielsson — among many others

$$\varphi = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \Big[\varphi_k(\eta) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} a_{\mathbf{k}} + \text{h.c.} \Big]$$

 $\varphi_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - f_k f_k^*}} \left[U_k + f_k U_k^* \right]$ **Bunch-Davies** modes

Greene, Schalm, van der Schaar & Shiu — Collins & Holman — Easther, Kinney & Peiris — Anderson, Molina-Paris & Mottola

 $f_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \frac{k^n}{(aM)^n}$

Boundary divergences & renormalization

 The new short-distance structure describes how the true state departs from the standard vacuum,

$$\varphi_k(\eta_\circ) - \varphi_k^{BD}(\eta_\circ) \to \sum d_n \frac{k^n}{M^n}$$

- Because these effects grow at short distances, they produce new divergences in processes summing over all scales
 - loop processes in interacting theories
 - the energy-momentum tensor
- This second example relates to a further requirement: the *backreaction* on the energy-momentum should not be larger than the original vacuum energy

Greene, Schalm, van der Schaar & Shiu Porrati, Nitti & Rombouts Collins & Holman: hep-th/0605107



Backreaction from leading term:

$$\rho_{\rm tPl} \approx \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{H}{M} H^4$$

Compare this to the vacuum energy sustaining the inflationary expansion:

$$\rho_{\rm vac} \approx M_{\rm pl}^2 H^2$$

So the backreaction is small.

Loop corrections in an interacting theory

hep-th/0501158 hep-th/0507081

- We shall use a formalism that time-evolves the entire matrix element forward from a specified initial state
 - Schwinger-Keldysh and not the S-matrix
- A loop correction sums over all points, including over all the trans-Planckian structure of the state
 - This produces divergences confined to the initial boundary ($\eta = \eta_0$)
 - They are cancelled by adding a 3d boundary counterterm action
- Upon renormalization,

•

- trans-Planckian corrections are small and finite
- obeys an extended Callan-Symanzik Equation

In the Schwinger-Keldysh approach, we evolve both the state and its dual,

$$\left< O_{\rm eff}(\eta) \middle| \varphi(\eta, \mathbf{x}) \middle| O_{\rm eff}(\eta) \right>$$

becomes

$$\langle o_{\rm eff} | T \left(\varphi e^{-i \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta} d\eta' \left[H_I^+ - H_I^- \right]} \right) | o_{\rm eff} \rangle$$

 H_{I}^{\pm} is the interaction Hamiltonian

Long or short distance structures in the state accordingly require relevant or irrelevant counterterm on the boundary

$$f_k = d_{\rm I} \frac{k}{aM}$$

e.g., requires dimension four operators,

$$S_{\delta} \propto \frac{1}{M} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g_3} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \varphi^4 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_n^2 \varphi^2 + \cdots \right\}$$

The energy-momentum tensor

hep-th/0605107 hep-th/0609002

- The approach, in brief: the classical equations of motion arise as a tadpole condition on the quantum theory
 - small quantum metric fluctuations
 - $g_{\mu\nu} = a^2(\eta) \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} + b_{\mu\nu} \right]$

۰

- The expectation value of the energymomentum tensor sums over all scales
 - two types of divergences: "bulk" and "boundary" (bulk = standard divergences)
- The extra $d\eta'$ integral is useful for treating the boundary divergences
 - isolate the potentially divergent terms
 - integrate by parts with respect to η'
 - add appropriate boundary counterterms
 - renders the theory finite

- the backreaction is small: $\frac{\rho_{\text{tPl}}}{\rho_{\text{vac}}} \approx \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} \frac{H}{M}$

A renormalization condition:

$$\langle o_{\rm eff}(\eta) | b_{\mu\nu}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) | o_{\rm eff}(\eta) \rangle = c$$

To leading order in a small fluctuation:

$$o = \frac{1}{2} \int d\eta' \log(\eta, \eta') \\ \left\{ 2M_{\rm pl}^2 \Big[G_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \Big] - T_{\mu} \\ + \cdots \right\}$$

 T_{uv} is the expectation value of the energy-momentum in a scalar field φ

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \left\langle \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{eff}} \left| T_{\mu\nu} [\varphi] \right| \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{eff}} \right\rangle$$

Expand the counterterm to first order in the fluctuation too:

$$-\int d^3x \left\{ a^3 b^{\mu\nu} \delta T_{\mu\nu} \delta (\eta' - \eta_0) \right\}$$

Observability

- We shall conclude by considering the observability of a trans-Planckian signal
- The natural place to look for a trans-Planckian signal is in the CMB
 - adds a modulation about the standard, flat primordial power spectrum
 - typical size of the signal scales as H/M
 - depends on an amplitude and phase, but the frequency is not independent (unlike a signal from the inflaton potential)
 - a source for non-Gaussianities (?)
 - A better place to look is in the LSS
 - CMB accuracy: about 0.1%
 - long-term LSS accuracy: about 0.001%

(from D. Spergel's ISCAP talk)

CMB experiments:

- the 3yr WMAP data set
 6yr final WMAP data set

– Planck satellite

The data will be measured in any event, so we should understand the role of a trans-Planckian signal

The same acoustic peaks have been seen in the SDSS data

Large scale structure (LSS) experiments:

- Square kilometre array (10 x SDSS)
- 21 cm high redshift gas
- Cosmic inflation probe

More & more of the matter is still in a linear regime the farther we look back