### User-Level Dynamic Memory Allocation: Malloc and Free

## **Dynamic Memory Allocation**

- Allocator maintains heap as collection of variable sized blocks, which are either allocated or free
- Types of allocators
  - *Explicit allocator*: application allocates and frees space
    - E.g., malloc and free in C
  - Implicit allocator: application allocates, but does not free space
    - E.g. garbage collection in Java, ML, and Lisp

### Will discuss simple explicit memory allocation today

# The malloc Package

#### #include <stdlib.h>

#### void \*malloc(size\_t size)

- Successful:
  - Returns a pointer to a memory block of at least size bytes aligned to an 8-byte (x86) or 16-byte (x86-64) boundary
  - If size == 0, returns NULL
- Unsuccessful: returns NULL (0) and sets errno

#### void free(void \*p)

- Returns the block pointed at by p to pool of available memory
- p must come from a previous call to malloc or realloc

#### **Other functions**

- **calloc:** Version of **malloc** that initializes allocated block to zero.
- realloc: Changes the size of a previously allocated block.
- sbrk: Used internally by allocators to grow or shrink the heap

## malloc Example

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void foo(int n) {
  int i, *p;
  /* Allocate a block of n ints */
  p = (int *) malloc(n * sizeof(int));
  if (p == NULL) {
     perror("malloc");
     exit(0);
  }
  /* Initialize allocated block */
  for (i=0; i<n; i++)
          p[i] = i;
  /* Return allocated block to the heap */
  free(p);
```

## **Assumptions Made in This Lecture**

- Memory is word addressed.
- Words are int-sized.



## **Allocation Example**



## Constraints

### Applications

- Can issue arbitrary sequence of malloc and free requests
- free request must be to a malloc'd block

### Allocators

- Can't control number or size of allocated blocks
- Must respond immediately to malloc requests
  - *i.e.*, can't reorder or buffer requests
- Must allocate blocks from free memory
  - *i.e.*, can only place allocated blocks in free memory
- Must align blocks so they satisfy all alignment requirements
  - 8-byte (x86) or 16-byte (x86-64) alignment on Linux boxes
- Can manipulate and modify only free memory
- Can't move the allocated blocks once they are malloc'd
  - *i.e.*, compaction is not allowed

# **Performance Goal: Throughput**

Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:

•  $R_{0}, R_{1}, ..., R_{k}, ..., R_{n-1}$ 

#### Goals: maximize throughput and peak memory utilization

These goals are often conflicting

### Throughput:

- Number of completed requests per unit time
- Example:
  - 5,000 malloc calls and 5,000 free calls in 10 seconds
  - Throughput is 1,000 operations/second

# Performance Goal: Peak Memory Utilization

### Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:

•  $R_{0}, R_{1}, ..., R_{k}, ..., R_{n-1}$ 

### Def: Aggregate payload P<sub>k</sub>

- malloc(p) results in a block with a payload of p bytes
- After request R<sub>k</sub> has completed, the aggregate payload P<sub>k</sub> is the sum of currently allocated payloads

### Def: Current heap size H<sub>k</sub>

- Assume  $H_k$  is monotonically nondecreasing
  - i.e., heap only grows when allocator uses **sbrk**

### Def: Peak memory utilization after k+1 requests

•  $U_k = (max_{i \le k} P_i) / H_k$ 

### Fragmentation

- Poor memory utilization caused by *fragmentation* 
  - internal fragmentation
  - external fragmentation

## **Internal Fragmentation**

For a given block, internal fragmentation occurs if payload is smaller than block size



#### Caused by

- Overhead of maintaining heap data structures
- Padding for alignment purposes
- Explicit policy decisions (e.g., to return a big block to satisfy a small request)

#### Depends only on the pattern of *previous* requests

Thus, easy to measure

## **External Fragmentation**

 Occurs when there is enough aggregate heap memory, but no single free block is large enough



### Depends on the pattern of future requests

Thus, difficult to measure

## **Implementation Issues**

- How do we know how much memory to free given just a pointer?
- How do we keep track of the free blocks?
- What do we do with the extra space when allocating a structure that is smaller than the free block it is placed in?
- How do we pick a block to use for allocation -- many might fit?

### How do we reinsert freed block?

## **Knowing How Much to Free**

#### Standard method

- Keep the length of a block in the word preceding the block.
  - This word is often called the *header field* or *header*
- Requires an extra word for every allocated block



## **Keeping Track of Free Blocks**

Method 1: Implicit list using length—links all blocks



Method 2: Explicit list among the free blocks using pointers



Method 3: Segregated free list

Different free lists for different size classes

### Method 4: Blocks sorted by size

 Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

# Method 1: Implicit List

#### For each block we need both size and allocation status

• Could store this information in two words: wasteful!

### Standard trick

- If blocks are aligned, some low-order address bits are always 0
- Instead of storing an always-0 bit, use it as a allocated/free flag
- When reading size word, must mask out this bit



### **Detailed Implicit Free List Example**



Double-word aligned Allocated blocks: shaded Free blocks: unshaded Headers: labeled with size in bytes/allocated bit

# Implicit List: Finding a Free Block

#### First fit:

Search list from beginning, choose *first* free block that fits:

p = start;	
while (( $p < end$ ) &&	<pre>\\ not passed end</pre>
((*p & 1)	<pre>\\ already allocated</pre>
(*p <= len)))	$\setminus$ too small
p = p + (*p & -2);	<pre>\\ goto next block (word addressed)</pre>

- Can take linear time in total number of blocks (allocated and free)
- In practice it can cause "splinters" at beginning of list

#### Next fit:

- Like first fit, but search list starting where previous search finished
- Should often be faster than first fit: avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks
- Some research suggests that fragmentation is worse

#### Best fit:

- Search the list, choose the **best** free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over
- Keeps fragments small—usually improves memory utilization

**Will typically run slower than first fit** Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

# Implicit List: Allocating in Free Block

#### Allocating in a free block: *splitting*

 Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block



## **Implicit List: Freeing a Block**

#### Simplest implementation:

```
Need only clear the "allocated" flag
void free block(ptr p) { *p = *p & -2 }
```





### There is enough free space, but the allocator won't be able to find it

# **Implicit List: Coalescing**

Join (coalesce) with next/previous blocks, if they are free

Coalescing with next block



But how do we coalesce with previous block?

# Implicit List: Bidirectional Coalescing

#### Boundary tags [Knuth73]

- Replicate size/allocated word at "bottom" (end) of free blocks
- Allows us to traverse the "list" backwards, but requires extra space
- Important and general technique!



### **Constant Time Coalescing**



## **Constant Time Coalescing (Case 1)**



## **Constant Time Coalescing (Case 2)**



## **Constant Time Coalescing (Case 3)**



## **Constant Time Coalescing (Case 4)**



## **Disadvantages of Boundary Tags**

- Internal fragmentation
- Can it be optimized?
  - Which blocks need the footer tag?
  - What does that mean?

# **Summary of Key Allocator Policies**

### Placement policy:

- First-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc.
- Trades off lower throughput for less fragmentation
- Interesting observation: segregated free lists (next lecture) approximate a best fit placement policy without having to search entire free list

### Splitting policy:

- When do we go ahead and split free blocks?
- How much internal fragmentation are we willing to tolerate?

### Coalescing policy:

- Immediate coalescing: coalesce each time free is called
- Deferred coalescing: try to improve performance of free by deferring coalescing until needed. Examples:
  - Coalesce as you scan the free list for malloc
  - Coalesce when the amount of external fragmentation reaches some threshold

# **Implicit Lists: Summary**

Implementation: very simple

### Allocate cost:

linear time worst case

### Free cost:

- constant time worst case
- even with coalescing

#### Memory usage:

- will depend on placement policy
- First-fit, next-fit or best-fit

Not used in practice for malloc/free because of lineartime allocation

used in many special purpose applications

### However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to *all* allocators

## **Keeping Track of Free Blocks**

Method 1: Implicit free list using length—links all blocks



Method 2: Explicit free list among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: Segregated free list
  - Different free lists for different size classes

#### Method 4: Blocks sorted by size

 Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

## **Explicit Free Lists**



#### Maintain list(s) of *free* blocks, not all blocks

- The "next" free block could be anywhere
  - So we need to store forward/back pointers, not just sizes
- Still need boundary tags for coalescing
- Luckily we track only free blocks, so we can use payload area

### **Explicit Free Lists**

Logically:



Physically: blocks can be in any order



# **Allocating From Explicit Free Lists**

conceptual graphic





## **Freeing With Explicit Free Lists**

- Insertion policy: Where in the free list do you put a newly freed block?
- LIFO (last-in-first-out) policy
  - Insert freed block at the beginning of the free list
  - Pro: simple and constant time
  - *Con:* studies suggest fragmentation is worse than address ordered

#### Address-ordered policy

- Insert freed blocks so that free list blocks are always in address order: *addr(prev) < addr(curr) < addr(next)*
- Con: requires search
- Pro: studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO

## Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 1)

conceptual graphic



Insert the freed block at the root of the list



# Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 2)

conceptual graphic



Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



# Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 3)

conceptual graphic



Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the root of the list



# Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 4)

conceptual graphic



Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



# **Explicit List Summary**

### Comparison to implicit list:

- Allocate is linear time in number of *free* blocks instead of *all* blocks
  - *Much faster* when most of the memory is full
- Slightly more complicated allocate and free since needs to splice blocks in and out of the list
- Some extra space for the links (2 extra words needed for each block)
  - Does this increase internal fragmentation?
- Most common use of linked lists is in conjunction with segregated free lists
  - Keep multiple linked lists of different size classes, or possibly for different types of objects

## **Keeping Track of Free Blocks**

Method 1: Implicit list using length—links all blocks



Method 2: Explicit list among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: Segregated free list
  - Different free lists for different size classes

#### Method 4: Blocks sorted by size

 Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

# **Segregated List (Seglist) Allocators**

Each *size class* of blocks has its own free list



Often have separate classes for each small size
 For larger sizes: One class for each two-power size

# **Seglist Allocator**

Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class

#### To allocate a block of size n:

- Search appropriate free list for block of size m > n
- If an appropriate block is found:
  - Split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
- If no block is found, try next larger class
- Repeat until block is found

### If no block is found:

- Request additional heap memory from OS (using sbrk())
- Allocate block of n bytes from this new memory
- Place remainder as a single free block in largest size class.

# Seglist Allocator (cont.)

### To free a block:

Coalesce and place on appropriate list

#### Advantages of seglist allocators

- Higher throughput
  - log time for power-of-two size classes
- Better memory utilization
  - First-fit search of segregated free list approximates a best-fit search of entire heap.
  - Extreme case: Giving each block its own size class is equivalent to best-fit.

## **More Info on Allocators**

 D. Knuth, "The Art of Computer Programming", 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Addison Wesley, 1973

The classic reference on dynamic storage allocation

Wilson et al, "Dynamic Storage Allocation: A Survey and Critical Review", Proc. 1995 Int'l Workshop on Memory Management, Kinross, Scotland, Sept, 1995.

- Comprehensive survey
- Available from CS:APP student site (csapp.cs.cmu.edu)