

Probability
Spring 2008
Prof. A. Pisztor*

Chris Almost[†]

The textbook for this course is *Probability Theory and Examples* by Richard Durrett. Course notes by R.S. Varadhan are an additional reference, available from <http://www.math.nyu.edu/faculty/varadhan/processes.html>.

Contents

Contents	1
1 Measure theoretic framework of probability	2
1.1 σ -fields	2
1.2 Dynkin systems	3
1.3 Probability measures	4
1.4 Independence	5
1.5 Measurable maps	7
1.6 Distribution functions	8
1.7 Expectation	8
2 Product spaces	12
2.1 Kernels and Fubini's theorem	12
2.2 Countable products	13
2.3 Applications	14
3 Conditional Expectation	16
3.1 Discrete-time martingales	16
3.2 Gambling systems	18
4 Weak Convergence	19
4.1 Fourier transforms of probability measures	19
Index	21

*pisztor@andrew.cmu.edu
[†]cdalmost@cmu.edu

1 Measure theoretic framework of probability

1.1 σ -fields

Let Ω be a non-empty set. We think of Ω as the collection of “outcomes” of an experiment.

1.1.1 Definition. A collection of subsets \mathcal{F} of Ω is called a σ -field if

- (i) $\emptyset, \Omega \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (ii) $A \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$; and
- (iii) $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

By DeMorgan’s law, if $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence \mathcal{F} contains the sets of the form $\bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k$. This is the set of $\omega \in \Omega$ that are in infinitely many of the A_n , and will be denoted $\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}$.

1.1.2 Examples.

- (i) $\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ is a σ -field.
- (ii) The power set of Ω is a σ -field.
- (iii) For any $A \subseteq \Omega$, $\{A, A^c, \Omega, \emptyset\}$ is a σ -field.

In using probability theory to model reality, σ -fields correspond to the collection of “observable events.”

The (arbitrary) intersection of σ -fields is a σ -field, so given any collection \mathcal{B} of subsets of Ω there is a smallest σ -field that contains \mathcal{B} . We denote this σ -field by $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$.

1.1.3 Examples.

- (i) Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. The σ -field $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$ generated by \mathcal{T} is the *Borel σ -field*, and is denoted $\mathcal{B}(X)$.
- (ii) Let \mathcal{Z} be a countable partition of Ω . Then $\sigma(\mathcal{Z})$ has the particularly simple form $\{\bigcup_j Z_j \mid \{Z_j\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\}$.

1.1.4 Example. Consider the *time evolution* of a random system with *state space* (S, \mathcal{S}) . The correct choice of Ω is S^I , where I is the collection of times under consideration, usually an interval or \mathbb{N} . For each $i \in I$, let $X_i: \Omega \rightarrow S : \omega \mapsto \omega_i$ be the state of the system at time i . Clearly we would like all of the X_i to be measurable.

Suppose $I = \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{B}_n be the collection of sets of the form $\{X_n \in A\}$ for $A \in \mathcal{S}$, the collection of events observable at time $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \mathcal{B}_n is a σ -field since \mathcal{S} is a σ -field. Let $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_n)$, and let $\mathcal{F} := \sigma(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n)$, the σ -field of “observable events.” (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a *measurable space* and $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ is a *filtration* on this space.

Let $\mathcal{F}_n^* := \sigma(\bigcup_{k \geq n} \mathcal{B}_k)$, the σ -field of events observable at and after time n , and let $\mathcal{F}^* := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n^*$, the *tail σ -field*. \mathcal{F}^* contains events, for example, of the form $\{X_n \in A \text{ i.o.}\}$ for a fixed $A \in \mathcal{S}$. Indeed,

$$\{X_n \in A \text{ i.o.}\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{k \geq n} \{X_k \in A\} = \bigcap_{n \geq m} \bigcup_{k \geq n} \{X_k \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}_m^*$$

for any $m \geq 1$, so $\{X_n \in A \text{ i.o.}\} \in \mathcal{F}^*$.

1.2 Dynkin systems

1.2.1 Definition. A collection of subsets \mathcal{D} of Ω is called a *Dynkin system* (or λ -system) if

- (i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}$;
- (ii) $A \in \mathcal{D}$ implies $A^c \in \mathcal{D}$; and
- (iii) $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{D}$ with $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ implies $\bigcup_i A_i \in \mathcal{D}$.

A non-empty collection of subsets Ω is called a π -system if it is closed under finite intersections.

Notice that if $A \subseteq B$ then $B \setminus A = (B^c \cup A)^c \in \mathcal{D}$.

1.2.2 Proposition. *If \mathcal{D} is both a λ -system and a π -system then it is a σ -field.*

PROOF: Indeed, in this case $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$ implies

$$A \cup B = (A \setminus A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \setminus (A \cap B)) \in \mathcal{D},$$

so \mathcal{D} is closed under finite union. For arbitrary $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\bigcup_n A_n = \bigcup_n \left(\bigcup_{k \leq n} A_k \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} A_k \right) \in \mathcal{D}. \quad \square$$

1.2.3 Theorem (Dynkin's π - λ Theorem). *Let \mathcal{B} be a π -system. Then the smallest λ -system containing \mathcal{B} is equal to $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$.*

PROOF: Let \mathcal{D} denote the smallest Dynkin system containing \mathcal{B} . $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{B})$ since every σ -field is a Dynkin system. We show that \mathcal{D} is closed under finite intersection and conclude with the above Proposition. For $B \in \mathcal{B}$ consider the set

$$\{A \in \mathcal{D} \mid A \cap B \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

This set is a Dynkin system (note $A^c \cap B = B \setminus (A \cap B)$) that contains \mathcal{B} (since \mathcal{B} is closed under finite intersection), so it is equal to \mathcal{D} .

Consider now, for any $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the set $\{A \in \mathcal{D} \mid A \cap D \in \mathcal{D}\}$. This set is a Dynkin system that contains \mathcal{B} (by the first consideration) so it is equal to \mathcal{D} . Therefore \mathcal{D} is closed under finite intersection. \square

1.3 Probability measures

1.3.1 Definition. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space. A probability measure is a map $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

- (i) $\mathbb{P}[\Omega] = 1$; and
- (ii) if $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ with $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ then $\mathbb{P}[\bigcup_i A_i] = \sum_i \mathbb{P}[A_i]$.

These two axioms imply many things about probability measures. For example,

- (i) $\mathbb{P}[\emptyset] = 0$;
- (ii) $\mathbb{P}[A] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[A^c]$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (iii) $\mathbb{P}[A \cup B] = \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B]$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (iv) if $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\mathbb{P}[\bigcup_n A_n] \leq \sum_n \mathbb{P}[A_n]$.

1.3.2 Example. If \mathcal{Z} is a countable partition of Ω ...

1.3.3 Theorem (Monotone Convergence Theorem).

Let \mathbb{P} be a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) .

- (i) If $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ then $\mathbb{P}[\bigcup_n A_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[A_n]$; and
- (ii) if $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$ then $\mathbb{P}[\bigcap_n A_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[A_n]$.

1.3.4 Theorem (First Borel-Cantelli Lemma). If $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\sum_n \mathbb{P}[A_n] < \infty$ then $\mathbb{P}[\bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k] = \mathbb{P}[\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}] = 0$.

PROOF:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k \right] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \geq n} \mathbb{P}[A_k] = 0. \quad \square$$

One must be careful about mixing limits and inequalities. It is not necessarily the case that $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$, for the simple reason that either of those limits may not exist! However, one can conclude that both $\liminf_n a_n \leq \liminf_n b_n$ and $\limsup_n a_n \leq \limsup_n b_n$.

1.3.5 Theorem. Let \mathbb{P}_1 and \mathbb{P}_2 be two probability measures on a measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . If there is a π -system $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that \mathbb{P}_1 and \mathbb{P}_2 agree on \mathcal{B} , then they agree on $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$.

PROOF: Let $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid \mathbb{P}_1[A] = \mathbb{P}_2[A]\}$. Then \mathcal{D} contains \mathcal{B} and is a Dynkin system. Indeed, if $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{D}$ are pairwise disjoint then

$$\mathbb{P}_1 \left[\bigcup_n A_n \right] = \sum_n \mathbb{P}_1[A_n] = \sum_n \mathbb{P}_2[A_n] = \mathbb{P}_2 \left[\bigcup_n A_n \right],$$

so $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{D}$. Whence \mathcal{D} contains $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ by Dynkin's π - λ Theorem. □

1.3.6 Example. Let S be a countable set, \mathcal{S} be the power set of S , and $\Omega = S^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{X_k \in A \mid k \geq 0, A \in \mathcal{S}\}$. A *stochastic process* is any measure \mathbb{P} on Ω . It is determined by its values on sets of the form $\{X_0 = s_0, X_1 = s_1, \dots, X_k = s_k\}$ since the collection of sets of this form is closed under intersection and generates \mathcal{F} .

A sequence of *independent experiments* with values in S , where each experiment has outcome x with probability $\mu(x)$ (so μ is a probability measure on S), has the form

$$\mathbb{P}[X_0 = s_0, \dots, X_k = s_k] = \mu(s_0) \cdots \mu(s_k).$$

A *Markov chain* on S with *initial distribution* μ (a probability measure on S) and *transition kernel* K is a probability measure of the form

$$\mathbb{P}[X_0 = s_0, \dots, X_k = s_k] = \mu(s_0)K(s_0, s_1) \cdots K(s_{k-1}, s_k).$$

$K(s_j, s_k)$ is interpreted as the probability of jumping from state s_j to s_k in one time step. We must have $1 = \sum_{k \geq 1} K(s_j, s_k)$ for each $j \geq 1$. The existence of such a probability measure (given an initial distribution and transition kernel) follows from Carathéodory's extension theorem.

1.3.7 Theorem (Carathéodory). *Let \mathcal{B} be an algebra on Ω and \mathbb{P} be a normalized, σ -additive, set function on \mathcal{B} . Then there is a unique extension of \mathbb{P} to $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$.*

The proof of this theorem is usually given in a course on measure theory. The uniqueness follows from the fact that \mathcal{B} is closed under finite intersections. The following lemma comes in handy when actually applying Carathéodory's extension theorem.

1.3.8 Lemma. *Let \mathcal{B} be an algebra on Ω and \mathbb{P} an additive set function on \mathcal{B} . Then \mathbb{P} is σ -additive on \mathcal{B} if and only if "whenever $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ are such that $B_n \searrow \emptyset$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(B_n) = 0$."*

1.4 Independence

1.4.1 Definition. A collection $\{\mathcal{B}_i\}_{i \in I}$ set-systems $\mathcal{B}_i \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is *independent* if for every choice of $A_i \in \mathcal{B}_i$, the collection of events $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ is *independent*. That is to say, for every $J \subseteq I$ finite, $\mathbb{P}[\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j] = \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{P}[A_j]$.

1.4.2 Theorem. *Let $\{\mathcal{B}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a independent collection of set-systems that are closed under finite intersections. Then*

- (i) $\{\sigma(\mathcal{B}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is also independent; and
- (ii) if $\{J_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a partition of I then $\{\sigma(\bigcup_{i \in J_k} \mathcal{B}_i)\}_{k \in K}$ is also independent.

1.4.3 Theorem (Second Borel-Cantelli Lemma). *If $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ are independent and $\sum_n \mathbb{P}[A_n] = \infty$ then $\mathbb{P}[\bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k] = \mathbb{P}[\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}] = 1$.*

PROOF: It suffices to show that $\mathbb{P}[\bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k] = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, or equivalently that $\mathbb{P}[\bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k^c] = 0$, for all $n \geq 1$. But $(A_k^c)_{k \geq 1}$ is independent, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k^c\right] &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m A_k^c\right] \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^m (1 - \mathbb{P}[A_k]) \leq \liminf_m \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{P}[A_k]\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

using the inequality $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$. \square

1.4.4 Example. Let A_i be the event that an immortal, randomly typing monkey types your favourite book in the i^{th} one million characters that he types. $\mathbb{P}[A_i] > 0$, they have the same probability, and we may assume that they are independent. Therefore, by the second Borel-Cantelli lemma, the monkey will type your favourite book infinitely many times.

1.4.5 Theorem (Kolmogorov's 0-1 Law). Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable collection of independent σ -fields. Define

$$\mathcal{F}^* := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma\left(\bigcup_{k \geq n} \mathcal{F}_k\right),$$

the tail σ -field. Then $\mathbb{P}[A] = 0$ or 1 for all $A \in \mathcal{F}^*$.

PROOF: Set $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_n) \supseteq \mathcal{F}^*$. We will show that \mathcal{F}^* and \mathcal{F}_∞ are independent, so that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}^*$, $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ and

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \mathbb{P}[A \cap A] = \mathbb{P}[A]^2.$$

Indeed, $\{\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n, \sigma(\bigcup_{k > n} \mathcal{F}_k)\}$ are independent and $\mathcal{F}^* \subseteq \sigma(\bigcup_{k > n} \mathcal{F}_k)$, so $\{\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}^*\}$ are independent. It follows that $\{\mathcal{F}^*, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots\}$ are independent, so \mathcal{F}^* and \mathcal{F}_∞ are independent. \square

1.4.6 Example (Site percolation). Let $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ and let

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma\{X_z \mid \|z\|_\infty = k, z \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

Define \mathbb{P} on $(\Omega, \sigma\{X_z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^d\})$ by

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{z_1}, \dots, X_{z_k} = 1, X_{y_1}, \dots, X_{y_n} = 0] = p^k (1-p)^n.$$

Then $(\mathcal{F}_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is an independent family of σ -fields. Define a set $C \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ to be *connected* if it is connected in the sense of the game of Go. An *open cluster* is a connected set of cells with value 1. Let A be the event that there is an open cluster of infinite size. Then $A \in \mathcal{F}^* = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \sigma(\bigcup_{k \geq n} \mathcal{F}_k)$ since it doesn't matter what happens inside any box of finite size. By Kolmogorov's 0-1 Law, $\mathbb{P}[A] = 0$ or 1 , so there is either always an infinite cluster or there is never one. This critical probability p_c must be strictly between 0 and 1 for $d \geq 2$. Unfortunately, the Law does not tell us what happens at $p = p_c$, and in fact little is known.

1.5 Measurable maps

1.5.1 Definition. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and (Ω', \mathcal{F}') be measurable spaces and let $X : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ be a function. Define

$$\sigma(X) = \{\{X \in A\} \mid A \in \mathcal{F}\} = \{X^{-1}(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{F}\} = X^{-1}(\mathcal{F}),$$

the σ -field generated by X . The map X is said to be \mathcal{F}'/\mathcal{F} -measurable if $\sigma(X) \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$, i.e. if $\{X \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}'$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

1.5.2 Exercises.

- (i) Check that $\sigma(X)$ truly is a σ -field.
- (ii) Show that it is sufficient to check that $\{X \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{B} generates \mathcal{F} . (Hint: $\{A \subseteq \Omega \mid \{X \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}'\}$ is a σ -field containing \mathcal{B} .)
- (iii) Prove the composition of measurable maps is measurable.

1.5.3 Examples.

- (i) A continuous map between topological spaces is measurable with respect to the corresponding Borel σ -fields. For $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that X is \mathcal{F} -measurable if X is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(Z)$, where Z is a countable partition of Ω , then a measurable map is constant on each atom.

1.5.4 Lemma. $\Phi : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ is always $\sigma(\Phi)/\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. If $X : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\sigma(\Phi)$ -measurable then there is an \mathcal{F} -measurable map $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X = \varphi \circ \Phi$.

1.5.5 Example. Let $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and as usual let X_n be the n^{th} coordinate map, $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_n)$, and $\mathcal{F} := \sigma(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n)$. Let $T := \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-n} X_n$, so that $T : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$, and let $\Omega_0 = \{\{X_n = 1\} \text{ i.o.}\}$, so that $T|_{\Omega_0}$ is a bijection with $(0, 1]$. We have for $c \in (0, 1]$,

$$\{T < c\} = \bigcup_{n: \bar{c}_n = 1} \left\{ \{X_n = 0\} \cap \bigcap_{k < n} \{X_k = \bar{c}_k\} \right\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

so T is measurable. If we define $\mathbb{P}[X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_k = x_k] = 2^{-k}$ then it turns out that $\mathbb{P}[T < c] = c$, so T has a uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$, and the law of T is Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$.

1.5.6 Definition. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and (Ω', \mathcal{F}') be measurable spaces, $X : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ a measurable function, and \mathbb{P}' a probability measure on (Ω', \mathcal{F}') . Then $\mathbb{P}_X[A] := \mathbb{P}'[X \in A]$ is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , called the *image measure* of \mathbb{P}' under X , or the *distribution* of X with respect to \mathbb{P}' , or the *law* of X , and is written $\mathbb{P}' \circ X^{-1}$.

1.6 Distribution functions

1.6.1 Definition. If μ is a probability measure on \mathbb{R} then $F_\mu(x) := \mu((-\infty, x])$ is the *distribution function* (or *cumulative distribution function*) of μ .

1.6.2 Proposition. The probability measure μ is uniquely determined by F_μ , and F_μ has the following properties.

- (i) $F_\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F_\mu(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_\mu(x) = 1$;
- (ii) F_μ is increasing; and
- (iii) F_μ is right continuous.

1.6.3 Definition. A function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that satisfies all of the properties of 1.6.2 is called a *distribution function*.

In this case define $\mu_F((-\infty, x]) := F(x)$. It can be shown that μ_F is a probability measure on \mathbb{R} , and $F_{\mu_F} = F$. Indeed, set $G(y) := \inf\{x \mid F(x) > y\}$, the unique right continuous “inverse” of F . Then

$$\{G \leq x\} = \begin{cases} [0, F(x)) & \text{if } F \text{ is constant after } c \\ [0, F(x)] & \text{if } F \text{ is increasing after } c \end{cases}$$

so G is a measurable function $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\mu_F = \text{meas} \circ G^{-1}$.

1.7 Expectation

1.7.1 Definition. $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}))$ (where $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ is generated by the collection $\{[-\infty, c] \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$) is called a *random variable* if it is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ -measurable.

It is useful to note that the collection of r.v.’s is closed under “countable operations” such as sup, lim sup, and lim.

1.7.2 Definition. A *step function* (or *elementary function*) is a (finite) linear combination of indicator functions of measurable sets, $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$. We may assume that the A_i ’s are disjoint and the c_i ’s are distinct.

1.7.3 Theorem. If X is a non-negative r.v. then there is an increasing sequence of step functions X_n such that $X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$.

PROOF: Take X_n to be the following and check the conclusion of the theorem.

$$X_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n2^n-1} \frac{k}{2^n} \mathbf{1}_{k2^n \leq X < (k+1)2^n} + n \mathbf{1}_{n \leq X}. \quad \square$$

1.7.4 Theorem (Lifting). Let $T : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\Omega', \mathcal{F}')$ be measurable and let X be a r.v. that is also $\sigma(T)$ -measurable. Then there is a r.v. X' on Ω' such that $X = X' \circ T$.

PROOF (MEASURE-THEORETIC INDUCTION):

- (i) If $X = \mathbf{1}_A$ for some $A \in \sigma(T)$ then $A = \{T \in B\}$ for some $B \in \mathcal{F}$, so take $X' = \mathbf{1}_B$.
- (ii) If X is a step function then take X' to be the corresponding step function assembled from the bits obtained in Step 1.
- (iii) If X is a non-negative r.v. then take step functions $X_n \nearrow X$. Use the X'_n 's from Step 2 and check that $X' := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X'_n$ works. Indeed,

$$X' \circ T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X'_n \circ T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X.$$

- (iv) For a general r.v. write $X = X^+ - X^-$ and use the result from Step 3. \square

1.7.5 Definition. The definition of *expectation* is by measure-theoretic induction.

- (i) $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_A] := \mathbb{P}[A]$;
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}[\sum_i c_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}] := \sum_i c_i \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_i}]$;
- (iii) For $X \geq 0$ with step functions $X_n \nearrow X$, $\mathbb{E}[X] := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n]$;
- (iv) For any r.v. X , $\mathbb{E}[X] := \mathbb{E}[X^+] - \mathbb{E}[X^-]$.

This definition requires checking. Namely, that it doesn't depend on the decomposition of a simple function and that it doesn't depend on the approximating sequence. It can be checked that expectation is linear, monotone, and the expectation of a r.v. that is zero a.s. is zero.

Let X be a r.v. on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] =: \int_{\Omega} X d\mathbb{P} = \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) \mathbb{P}(d\omega).$$

$\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ denotes the collection of *integrable* r.v.'s, i.e. those X for which $\mathbb{E}[X^+] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^-] < \infty$. An r.v. for which at most one (but not both) of those inequalities fails is said to be *semi-integrable*.

1.7.6 Theorem (Monotone Convergence Theorem).

If $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $X_0 \leq X_1 \leq \dots$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. then

$$\mathbb{E}[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n].$$

This is also called the Beppo-Levi Theorem. That $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}^1$ is necessary. For a counterexample, take $\Omega = (0, 1]$ and $X_0 := -\frac{1}{x}$ and $X_n := X_0 \mathbf{1}_{(0, \frac{1}{n}]}$. Then $X_n \nearrow 0$ but $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = -\infty$ for all n .

1.7.7 Theorem (Fatou's Lemma).

Suppose that $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded below by an integrable function. Then

$$-\infty < \mathbb{E}[\liminf_n X_n] \leq \liminf_n \mathbb{E}[X_n].$$

Similarly, if $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded above by an integrable function then

$$\infty > \mathbb{E}[\limsup_n X_n] \geq \limsup_n \mathbb{E}[X_n].$$

PROOF: Applying the monotone convergence theorem and the fact that expectation is monotone,

$$-\infty \leq \mathbb{E}[Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[\liminf_n X_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\inf_{k \geq n} X_k] \leq \liminf_n \mathbb{E}[X_n]. \quad \square$$

1.7.8 Theorem (Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem).

Suppose that $|X_n|$ is dominated by an integrable function and $X := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. Then $\mathbb{E}[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n]$ and $\mathbb{E}[|X - X_n|] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF: First, $|X_n| \rightarrow |X|$ a.s., so $|X|$ is dominated by an integrable function and thus is integrable. Applying Fatou's Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \mathbb{E}[\limsup_n X_n] \geq \limsup_n \mathbb{E}[X_n] \\ &\geq \liminf_n \mathbb{E}[X_n] \geq \mathbb{E}[\liminf_n X_n] = \mathbb{E}[X] \end{aligned}$$

Further, applying the result to $|X - X_n|$ gives that $\mathbb{E}[|X - X_n|] \rightarrow 0$. \square

Remark. In measure theory $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

1.7.9 Theorem (Chebyshev's inequality).

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative measurable function. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ and set $C_A = \inf_{a \in A} \varphi(a)$. Then for any r.v. X ,

$$C_A \mathbb{P}[X \in A] \leq \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X); X \in A] \leq \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)].$$

1.7.10 Theorem (Jensen's inequality). Let $X \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and u be convex.

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{E}[u(X)] \geq u(\mathbb{E}[X]).$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } u \text{ is strictly convex and } X \text{ is not constant a.s. then } \mathbb{E}[u(X)] > u(\mathbb{E}[X]).$$

Warning: This statement of Jensen's inequality holds only for probability measures (and not for general measures, or even σ -finite measures).

PROOF: Since u is convex it has a support line $\ell(x) = ax + b$ over $\mathbb{E}[X]$, i.e. such that $u(x) \geq \ell(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u(\mathbb{E}[X]) = \ell(\mathbb{E}[X])$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[u(X)] \geq \mathbb{E}[\ell(X)] = \ell(\mathbb{E}[X]) = u(\mathbb{E}[X]). \quad \square$$

1.7.11 Example. For $p \geq 1$, let \mathcal{L}^p be the collection of r.v.'s X such that $\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] < \infty$. Then for any $1 \leq p' \leq p$ we have

$$\infty > \mathbb{E}[|X|^p] = \mathbb{E}[|X|^{p' \frac{p}{p'}}] \geq \mathbb{E}[|X|^{p'}]^{\frac{p}{p'}},$$

so $\mathcal{L}^p \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{p'}$. For probability measures the L^p spaces are decreasing, and the embeddings are contractions.

1.7.12 Theorem. Let $T : (\Omega', \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow (\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ be measurable and \mathbb{P}' be a measure on (Ω', \mathcal{F}') and \mathbb{P} the induced measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Then for any non-negative r.v. X on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}'[X \circ T]$.

PROOF: By measure-theoretic induction. □

1.7.13 Corollary. If X is a r.v. such that $\mathbb{P}[X \in \mathbb{R}] = 1$ then the distribution of $\mu = \mathbb{P} \circ X^{-1}$ is concentrated on \mathbb{R} and for each measurable function $\varphi \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \mu(dx)$$

if the expectation on the left is defined.

1.7.14 Examples.

(i) $\mathbb{E}[X^+] = \int_0^\infty x \mu(dx)$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^-] = \int_{-\infty}^0 x \mu(dx)$.

(ii) $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{-\infty}^\infty x \mu(dx)$ whenever one of the above expectations is defined.

(iii) $\mathbb{E}[|X|^k] = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |x|^k \mu(dx)$, the k^{th} moment of X .

(iv) For a pair of r.v.'s $(X, Y) : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\mu((-\infty, a] \times (-\infty, b]) := \mathbb{P}[(X, Y) \in (-\infty, a] \times (-\infty, b]] = \mathbb{P}[X \leq a, Y \leq b]$$

μ is the induced measure on \mathbb{R}^2 , the joint distribution of X and Y .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X, Y) &= \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} xy \mu(dx, dy) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \mathbb{P}[X \in dx] \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \mathbb{P}[Y \in dy] \end{aligned}$$

(v) $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Cov}(X, X)$.

1.7.15 Theorem.

(i) For $p \leq q$, $\|X\|_p \leq \|X\|_q$, which implies that $\mathcal{L}^p \supseteq \mathcal{L}^q$.

(ii) Hölder's inequality: $\|XY\|_1 \leq \|X\|_p \|Y\|_q$ when $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and for all $X \in \mathcal{L}^p$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}^q$.

(iii) *Minkowski's inequality*: $\|X + X'\|_p \leq \|X\|_p + \|X'\|_p$.

1.7.16 Theorem (Riesz-Fischer). $(L^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is complete for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

1.7.17 Theorem. Random variables X and Y are independent if and only if

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)g(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \mathbb{E}[g(Y)] \text{ for all } f, g \geq 0 \text{ measurable.}$$

In this case, if $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}^1$ then $XY \in \mathcal{L}^1$

2 Product spaces

2.1 Kernels and Fubini's theorem

Let (S_i, \mathcal{S}_i) be measurable spaces and $\Omega = S_1 \times S_2$ and $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow S_i$ denote projection on the i^{th} coordinate.

2.1.1 Definition. A stochastic kernel from S_1 to S_2 is a map $K : S_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

- (i) $K(x, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on S_2 for all $x \in S_1$; and
- (ii) $K(\cdot, A)$ is \mathcal{S}_1 -measurable for all $A \in \mathcal{S}_2$.

The interpretation of a stochastic kernel is that $K(x, A)$ is the probability of a transition from x (the current location) into the set A .

2.1.2 Examples.

- (i) $K(x, \cdot) = \mathbb{P}_2[\cdot]$ for all $x \in S_1$ is a kernel with no coupling; all transitions are independent.
- (ii) $K(x, \cdot) = \delta_{T(x)}$ for $T : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ measurable is a kernel with deterministic coupling; the only transition from x_1 is to $x_2 = T(x_1)$.
- (iii) Countable Markov chains. Take $S_1 = S_2 = S$ countable with the power set σ -field, and take $K(x, \{y\}) = q_{xy}$ to be a (countable) matrix with $q_{xy} \geq 0$ for all x, y and $\sum_y q_{xy} = 1$ for all x . Then $K(x, A) = \sum_{y \in A} q_{xy}$.
- (iv) Take $S_1 = S_2 = \mathbb{R}$ with the Borel σ -field, and $K(x, \cdot) = \mathcal{N}(0, \beta x^2)$. Is there a $\beta > 0$ such that the system converges to zero? (What do we mean by "converges" in this case?)

Given a probability measure \mathbb{P}_1 on (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and a stochastic kernel K from S_1 to S_2 , we would like to construct a probability measure \mathbb{P} on the product space such that

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 \in A_1] = \mathbb{P}_1[A_1] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}[X_2 \in A_2 \mid X_1 = x_1] = K(x_1, A_2)$$

2.1.3 Theorem (Fubini). Let $\Omega := S_1 \times S_2$ and $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_2 := \sigma(\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2)$. Given a probability measure \mathbb{P}_1 on (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) and a stochastic kernel K from S_1 to S_2 , there is a unique probability measure \mathbb{P} on Ω such that for all $f \in m^+ \mathcal{F}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mathbb{P} := \int_{S_1} \left(\int_{S_2} f(x_1, x_2) K(x_1, dx_2) \right) \mathbb{P}_1(dx_1).$$

In particular,

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{P}[A_1 \times A_2] = \int_{A_1} \mathbb{P}_1(dx_1) K(x_1, A_2).$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathbb{P}[A] = \int_{S_1} \mathbb{P}_1(dx_1) K(x_1, A_{x_1}) \text{ where } A_{x_1} := \{x_2 \in S_2 \mid (x_1, x_2) \in A\} \text{ is the } x_1\text{-section of } A;$$

We denote $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}_1 \times K$.

PROOF: Make notes on the proof of this. □

2.1.4 Corollary. If $K(x, \cdot) = \mathbb{P}_2[\cdot]$ has no coupling then $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ and we recover the classical theorem of Fubini.

Remark. Fubini's theorem is true for σ -finite measures, such as Lebesgue measure on an infinite interval, but in this case the integrand needs to be integrable.

2.1.5 Example. For a non-negative r.v. X ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) \mathbb{P}[d\omega] \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}[d\omega] \int_0^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{[0, X(\omega))}(s) \, ds \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} ds \left(\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{(s, \infty]}(X(\omega)) \mathbb{P}[d\omega] \right) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[X > s] \, ds \end{aligned}$$

and in fact $\int f \, d\mu = \int_0^{\infty} \mu(f > c) \, dc$ for any finite measure μ , and this implies the inequality

$$\int |f| \, d\mu \geq \sup_c c \mu(|f| > c).$$

2.2 Countable products

Let $\{(S_n, \mathcal{S}_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a countable collection of measurable spaces and let

$$(S^n, \mathcal{S}^n) := (S_0 \times \cdots \times S_n, \mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{S}_n)$$

Let μ_0 be a probability measure on S_0 and for $n \geq 1$, let K_n be a stochastic kernel from S^{n-1} to S_n (note the indices). Set $\mu^0 := \mu_0$ and inductively define $\mu^n := \mu^{n-1} \times K_n$, a measure on S^n , so that for $f \in m^+ \mathcal{S}^n$,

$$\int_{S^n} f d\mu^n = \int_{S_0} \mu_0(dx_0) \int_{S_1} K_1(x_0, dx_1) \cdots \int_{S_n} K_n(x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}, dx_n) f(x).$$

Finally, set $\Omega := S_0 \times S_1 \times \cdots$, let $X_n(\omega) := \omega_n$ be the projections, and let $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathcal{F} := \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots) =: \sigma(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n)$. We would like a probability measure μ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that $\mu \circ X_{\{0, \dots, n\}}^{-1} = \mu^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

2.2.1 Theorem (Ionescu-Tulcea). *Given the setup above, there is a unique probability measure μ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that $\mu \circ X_{\{0, \dots, n\}}^{-1} = \mu^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

PROOF: Since the \mathcal{F}_n 's are increasing, $\mathcal{A} := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n$ is an algebra. Define μ on \mathcal{A} by

$$\mu(A^n \times S_{n+1} \times S_{n+2} \times \cdots) := \mu^n(A^n)$$

for $A^n \in \mathcal{S}^n$. Now μ is well-defined since if $A \in \mathcal{F}_n \cap \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ and $A = A^n \times S_{n+1} \times \cdots = A^{n-1} \times S_n \times \cdots$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \mu^n(A^n) = \mu^n(A^{n-1} \times S_n) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \mu^{n-1}(d\hat{x}) \int_{S_n} K(\hat{x}, dx_n) \mathbf{1}_{A^{n-1}}(\hat{x}) \mathbf{1}_{S^n}(x_n) \\ &= \mu^{n-1}(A^{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and μ is additive on \mathcal{A} by monotonicity. To apply the Carathéodory extension theorem we must prove that μ is σ -additive on \mathcal{A} , and then we may conclude that there is a unique extension of μ to a probability measure on $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the conclusion of the theorem.

Suppose that $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are such that $A_n \searrow \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ for all $n \geq 1$ (see course notes), and write $A_n = A^n \times S_{n+1} \times \cdots$.

Fill in the details from the course notes. \square

2.3 Applications

2.3.1 Theorem (Weak Law of Large Numbers).

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.'s (in fact uncorrelated is enough) with finite variance σ^2 and mean μ . Then $\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ converges to μ in \mathcal{L}^2 and in probability.

PROOF: Recall that the variance of X_i variance is $\mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)^2] = \sigma^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\bar{X}_n - m)^2] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - m)^2 \right] && \text{by independence} \\ &= \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{L}^2 . That the convergence is also in probability follows from the next lemma. \square

2.3.2 Lemma. *For any $p > 0$, convergence in \mathcal{L}^p implies convergence in probability.*

PROOF: Suppose that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{L}^p . By subtraction it suffices to consider $X_n \rightarrow 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Chebyshev's inequality, with $\varphi(x) = |x|^p$, $A = \{|x| \geq \varepsilon\}$, and $C_A = \varepsilon^p$, we get $\mathbb{P}[|X_n| \geq \varepsilon] \leq \varepsilon^{-p} \mathbb{E}[|X_n|^p] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

2.3.3 Theorem (Strong Law of Large Numbers).

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of pairwise independent identically distributed r.v.'s with $\mu := \mathbb{E}X_1 < \infty$. Then $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mu$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

PROOF:

- (i) Show WLOG $X_1 \geq 0$.
- (ii) Apply truncation.
- (iii) Estimate variance.
- (iv) Prove convergence along a subsequence.
- (v) Fill the gap between the subsequence and the full sequence. \square

2.3.4 Lemma. *Almost sure convergence implies convergence in probability.*

PROOF: Fill this in. \square

2.3.5 Theorem (Strong Law of Large Number for Semi-integrable). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}[X_1^+] = +\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_1^-] < \infty$. Then $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow +\infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.*

2.3.6 Example (Renewals). Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $0 < X_i < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., and let $T_n := X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Think of X_i as the lifetime of a light bulb and T_n as the time of the replacement of the n^{th} light bulb. Let

$$N_t := \sup\{n \geq 1 \mid T_n \leq t\},$$

the number of replacements up to time t . We claim that if $\mathbb{E}X_1 = \mu \leq \infty$ then $\frac{1}{t}N_t \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu}$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. Indeed, by the SLLN, $\frac{1}{n}T_n \rightarrow \mu$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. Note that since $X_i < \infty$, $N_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By definition of N_t , $T_{N_t} \leq t < T_{N_t+1}$, so dividing both sides by N_t and taking $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mu \leftarrow \frac{T_{N_t}}{N_t} \leq \frac{t}{N_t} < \frac{T_{N_t+1}}{N_t+1} \frac{N_t+1}{N_t} \rightarrow \mu, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

2.3.7 Example (Monte-Carlo Integration). How do we approximate an integral of the form

$$\int_{[0,1]} \cdots \int_{[0,1]} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n$$

when φ may be complicated to deal with? Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. uniform on $[0, 1]$. Then \vec{X} has the distribution of λ_n on $[0, 1]^n$, so

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\vec{X})] = \int_{[0,1]^n} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \lambda_n(dx).$$

By the SLLN we can approximate the integral by computing a large number of realizations and taking the average.

3 Conditional Expectation

3.0.8 Definition. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and $X \in m\mathcal{F}$. An $X_0 \in m\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_{A_0}] = \mathbb{E}[X_0\mathbf{1}_{A_0}]$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ is (a version of) the *conditional expectation* of X given \mathcal{F}_0 , and is denoted $\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_0]$.

3.0.9 Theorem. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and $X \in m\mathcal{F}$. If $X \in \mathcal{L}^1$ or $X \geq 0$ then $\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_0]$ exists and is unique.

PROOF: If $X \in \mathcal{L}^1$ then it is a difference of non-negative random variables, so we may assume that $X \geq 0$. Let $Q[A_0] = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_{A_0}]$ for $A_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$, a σ -finite measure on \mathcal{F}_0 that is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathbb{P}|_{\mathcal{F}_0}$. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem there is $X_0 \in m^+\mathcal{F}_0$ such that $Q[A_0] = \mathbb{E}[X_0\mathbf{1}_{A_0}]$. Uniqueness is trivial. \square

3.1 Discrete-time martingales

Skipped the definitions of filtrations, martingales.

3.1.1 Definition. $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1$ is said to be *uniformly integrable* (or *u.i.*) if

$$\limsup_{c \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \int_{\{|X|>c\}} |X| d\mathbb{P} = 0$$

3.1.2 Examples.

(i) If $X \in \mathcal{L}^1$ then $\{X\}$ is u.i., since

$$\int_{\{|X|>c\}} |X| d\mathbb{P} = \int |X| d\mathbb{P} - \int_{\{|X|\leq c\}} |X| d\mathbb{P} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } c \rightarrow \infty$$

by the monotone convergence theorem, since $\mathbf{1}_{|X|\leq c}|X| \nearrow |X|$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) If there is $Y \in \mathcal{L}^1$ such that $|X| \leq Y$ for all $X \in \mathcal{H}$ then \mathcal{H} is u.i. To show this we need another characterization of uniform integrability.

3.1.3 Lemma. *If there is $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\frac{g(x)}{x} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{H}} \int g(|X|) d\mathbb{P} < \infty$$

then \mathcal{H} is u.i.

In particular, if \mathcal{H} is bounded in \mathcal{L}^p for any $p > 1$ then it is u.i. If \mathcal{H} is bounded in \mathcal{L}^1 then it is not necessarily u.i.

3.1.4 Theorem. *$f_n \rightarrow f$ in \mathcal{L}^1 if and only if the set $\{f_n\}$ is u.i. and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in probability.*

In the particular case where $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise (a stronger form of convergence than in probability) and there is $g \in \mathcal{L}^1$ with $|f_n| \leq g$ for all n (which implies in particular that $\{f_n\}$ is u.i.) this theorem is seen to imply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. It is better theorem because it characterizes convergence in \mathcal{L}^1 .

3.1.5 Example (Gambler's Ruin). Let $X_n = x + S_n$, where S_n is a simple random walk with probability p of increase. Let $a < x < b$ and

$$T := \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid X_n \notin (a, b)\}.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma $\mathbb{P}[T < \infty] = 1$, and in fact it can be shown that $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$. Indeed, let A_n be the event that X_n leaves (a, b) at a time in the set

$$\{n(b-a), n(b-a)+1, \dots, (n+1)(b-a)-1\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}[A_n] \geq p^{b-a} > 0$, the latter being the probability of the path consisting of $(b-a)$ up-steps. It follows that $\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{P}[A_n] = \infty$, and since these events are independent, $\mathbb{P}[A_n \text{ i.o.}] = 1$ by the second Borel-Cantelli Lemma. In particular

$$\mathbb{P}[T < \infty] = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{n \geq 0} A_n\right] \geq \mathbb{P}[A_n \text{ i.o.}] = 1$$

The probability of ruin is $r(x) := \mathbb{P}[X_T = a]$. When $p = \frac{1}{2}$ X itself is a martingale, so

$$x = \mathbb{E}[X_0] = \mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge n}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_T] = b(1-r(x)) + ar(x),$$

by dominated convergence, since X_T is bounded. Whence in the case of a symmetric random walk $r(x) = \frac{b-x}{b-a}$.

When $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$, let $h(z) = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^z$, and notice that $h(X_n)$ is a martingale. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[h(X_{n+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_n] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{X_n+Y_{n+1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{X_n} \left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^1 p + \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{-1} (1-p)\right) = h(X_n) \end{aligned}$$

By optional stopping and dominated convergence,

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}[X_0] = \mathbb{E}[h(X_T)] = h(b)(1 - r(x)) + h(a)r(x)$$

so in non-symmetric case

$$r(x) = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{b-x}}{1 - \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{b-a}}.$$

Note that if $p < \frac{1}{2}$ then $r(x) \geq 1 - \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{b-x}$, and this lower bound does not depend on a . In the particular case of casino roulette, the probability of winning is $\frac{18}{37}$.

3.2 Gambling systems

Let $(V_n, n \geq 1)$ be a *previsible process*, i.e. a process for which V_n is \mathcal{F}_{n-1} -measurable for all $n \geq 1$. Let $(X_n, n \geq 0)$ be a martingale. Then the *discrete stochastic integral* $V \cdot X$, defined by

$$(V \cdot X)_n := \sum_{k=1}^n V_k (X_k - X_{k-1})$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $(V \cdot X)_0 = 0$, is a martingale.

A common and illustrative way to interpret V is as a *gambling system*. If $(Y_n, n \geq 1)$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with parameter $p = 2$, representing the sequence of outcomes of a fair game, then $X_n := \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is a martingale, and $(V \cdot X)_n = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i Y_i$ is the cumulative winnings up to and including time n .

3.2.1 Example. How long do you have to wait for the occurrence of a fixed binary text $[a_1 \cdots a_N]$ in a random binary sequence? Let $(Y_n, n \geq 0)$ be a sequence of independent Bernoulli r.v.'s with $p = \frac{1}{2}$, and set

$$T := \inf\{n \geq 1 \mid y_{n-N+1} = a_1, \dots, Y_n = a_N\}.$$

By the second Borel-Cantelli Lemma $\mathbb{P}[T < \infty] = 1$ (Divide the infinite text into blocks of text of length N . There is a non-zero probability that a block is the desired text, and the blocks are independent, so a block of text is the desired string infinitely often. Estimate to show that $T \in \mathcal{L}^1$.) What is $\mathbb{E}[T]$?

Consider the following gambling system...

3.2.2 Example (Branching process). Let $\{Y_{n,k} \mid n, k \geq 1\}$ be an array of i.i.d. r.v.'s with distribution μ (not deterministic), with $\mathbb{E}[Y_{1,1}] = m < \infty$. Let $X_0 := 1$ and

$$X_n := Y_{n,1} + \cdots + Y_{n,X_n}.$$

X represents the size of the total population at the n^{th} generation, where $Y_{n,k}$ represents the number of children of the k^{th} individual, and parent die every generation. Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma(Y_{\ell,k}, 1 \leq \ell \leq n, k \geq 1)$ and $M_n = \frac{X_n}{m^n}$. Then M_n is a martingale for this filtration, bounded in \mathcal{L}^1 .

Let $T = \min\{n \geq 0 \mid X_n = 0\}$ be the time of extinction.

3.2.3 Example (Microeconomics). Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given (payoff) function. Let $X_n = x + Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$ be a random walk on $\{a < n < b\}$ and let $S := \min\{n \geq 0 \mid X_n \in \{a, b\}\}$ be the first hitting time of X to the boundary. We wish to find a stopping time T for which $\mathbb{E}[g(X_T^S)]$ is maximal.

For the solution, let h the concave envelope of g (the smallest concave function at least g pointwise). Then $h(X_n^S)$ is a super-martingale, so

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[h(X_0^S)] \geq \mathbb{E}^x[h(X_T^S)] \geq \mathbb{E}^x[g(X_T^S)]$$

for any stopping time T . If $h(x) = g(x)$ then the solution is to take $T := 0$, and if $h(x) > g(x)$ then we take $T := \min\{n \geq 0 \mid X_n \in \{g = h\}\}$. Then $h(X^T)$ is a martingale since h is linear on this range, so

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[h(X_0)] = \mathbb{E}^x[h(X_T)] = \mathbb{E}^x[g(X_T)]$$

is best possible.

4 Weak Convergence

4.1 Fourier transforms of probability measures

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There is a r.v. X such that the law of μ is X , and we will make use of this fact heavily, but it is possible to develop this theory for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

4.1.1 Definition. For $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\hat{\mu}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iu \cdot x} \mu(dx) = \mathbb{E}[e^{iu \cdot X}],$$

the *Fourier transform* of μ .

Notice that $|\mathbb{E}[e^{iu \cdot X}]| \leq \mathbb{E}[|e^{iu \cdot X}|] = 1$ for all u , and in fact the Fourier transform is continuous.

4.1.2 Examples.

(i) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\hat{f}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iu \cdot x} f(x) dx$. Further, $|\hat{f}(u)| \leq \|f\|_1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, so $\hat{f} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(ii) Let $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\varepsilon^2}}$, the density of a $\mathcal{N}(0, \varepsilon^2)$ distribution with respect to Lebesgue measure. Then $\hat{\varphi}_\varepsilon(u) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 u^2}$.

4.1.3 Theorem. Let $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f * \varphi_\varepsilon(x) - f(x)| = 0.$$

This says that $f * \varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ in $(C_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Unfortunately $f * \varphi_\varepsilon \notin C_c$.

Index

- λ -system, 3
- $\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, 9
- k^{th} moment, 11
- π -system, 3
- σ -field, 2

- Borel σ -field, 2

- conditional expectation, 16
- cumulative distribution function, 8

- discrete stochastic integral, 18
- distribution, 7
- distribution function, 8
- Dynkin system, 3

- elementary function, 8
- expectation, 9

- filtration, 2
- Fourier transform, 19

- gambling system, 18

- image measure, 7
- independent, 5
- independent experiments, 5
- initial distribution, 5
- integrable, 9

- joint distribution, 11

- law, 7

- Markov chain, 5
- measurable, 7
- measurable space, 2

- previsible process, 18
- probability measure, 4

- random variable, 8

- semi-integrable, 9
- state space, 2
- step function, 8

- stochastic kernel, 12
- stochastic process, 5

- tail σ -field, 3, 6
- time evolution, 2
- transition kernel, 5

- u.i., 16
- uniformly integrable, 16