

ForTheL as a Controlled Natural Language for Lean

BY PETER KOEPKE

University of Bonn, Germany

Lean Together 2020

Pittsburgh, 10 January 2020

Ordinary mathematical texts, e.g., from W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*

Theorem 1. *If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x < y$, then there exists a $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x < p < y$.*

Proof. Since $x < y$, we have $y - x > 0$, and (a) furnishes a positive integer n such that

$$n(y - x) > 1.$$

Apply (a) again, to obtain positive integers m_1 and m_2 such that $m_1 > nx$, $m_2 > -nx$. Then

$$-m_2 < nx < m_1.$$

Hence there is an integer m (with $-m_2 \leq m \leq m_1$) such that

$$m - 1 \leq nx < m.$$

If we combine these inequalities, we obtain

$$nx < m \leq 1 + nx < ny.$$

Since $n > 0$, it follows that

$$x < \frac{m}{n} < y.$$

This proves (b), with $p = m/n$.

□

Ordinary mathematical texts

- Natural language with symbolic elements
- intuitive descriptions of mathematical situations, analogous to real-world situations
- exploration of situations by argumentation, with argumentative sentences and phrases

- Striving for unambiguity and exactness
- emphasizing mathematically relevant facts
- omitting routine technical details

Natural mathematical texts

- Natural language with symbolic elements
- intuitive descriptions of mathematical situations, analogous to real-world situations
- exploration of situations by argumentation, with argumentative sentences and phrases

- Striving for unambiguity and exactness
- emphasizing mathematically relevant facts
- omitting routine technical details

Approximating natural mathematical texts by ForTheL

- ForTheL = Formula Theory Language (\sim sublanguage of natural mathematical language)
- 1970 *Evidence Algorithm* (Victor Glushkov)
- 1980 *System for Automated Deduction* (SAD)
- 2008 Andrei Paskevich, Haskell implementation of SAD (PhD project)
- 2017 Adoption of SAD by the Bonn Natural Proof Checking project: Naproche-SAD

- ForTheL: controlled natural language (CNL) defined by a formal grammar and implementation
- Naproche-SAD: proof-checking ForTheL texts by translations to First-Order logic and a strong ATP (e prover)

Formalizing Rudin in Naproche-SAD

Theorem 2. *If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x < y$, then there exists a $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x < p < y$.*

Proof. Since $x < y$, we have $y - x > 0$, and (a) furnishes a positive integer n such that

$$m(y - x) > 1.$$

Apply (a) again, to obtain positive integers m_1 and m_2 such that $m_1 > nx$, $m_2 > -nx$. Then

$$-m_2 < nx < m_1.$$

Hence there is an integer m (with $-m_2 \leq m \leq m_1$) such that

$$m - 1 \leq nx < m.$$

If we combine these inequalities, we obtain

$$nx < m \leq 1 + nx < ny.$$

Since $n > 0$, it follows that

$$x < \frac{m}{n} < y.$$

This proves (b), with $p = m/n$. □

Theorem 3. (120b) *If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x < y$ then there exists a rational number p such that $x < p < y$.*

Proof. Assume $x < y$. We have $y - x > 0$. Take a positive integer n such that $n \cdot (y - x) > 1$ (by 120a). Take an integer m such that $m - 1 \leq n \cdot x < m$. Then

$$n \cdot x < m = (m - 1) + 1$$

$$\leq (n \cdot x) + 1 < (n \cdot x) + (n \cdot (y - x))$$

$$= n \cdot (x + (y - x)) = n \cdot y.$$

$m \leq (n \cdot x) + 1 < n \cdot y$. $\frac{m}{n} < \frac{n \cdot y}{n}$. Indeed $m < n \cdot y$ and $1/n > 0$. Then

$$x = \frac{n \cdot x}{n} < \frac{m}{n} < \frac{n \cdot y}{n} = y.$$

Let $p = \frac{m}{n}$. Then $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $x < p < y$. □

Formalizing Rudin in Naproche-SAD

Theorem 4. *If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x < y$, then there exists a $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x < p < y$.*

Proof. Since $x < y$, we have $y - x > 0$, and (a) furnishes a positive integer n such that

$$n(y - x) > 1.$$

Apply (a) again, to obtain positive integers m_1 and m_2 such that $m_1 > nx$, $m_2 > -nx$. Then

$$-m_2 < nx < m_1.$$

Hence there is an integer m (with $-m_2 \leq m \leq m_1$) such that

$$m - 1 \leq nx < m.$$

If we combine these inequalities, we obtain

$$nx < m \leq 1 + nx < ny.$$

Since $n > 0$, it follows that

$$x < \frac{m}{n} < y.$$

This proves (b), with $p = m/n$. □

Theorem 5. (120b) *If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x < y$ then there exists a rational number p such that $x < p < y$.*

Proof. Assume $x < y$. We have $y - x > 0$. Take a positive integer n such that $n \cdot (y - x) > 1$ (by 120a). Take an integer m such that $m - 1 \leq n \cdot x < m$. Then

$$n \cdot x < m = (m - 1) + 1$$

$$\leq (n \cdot x) + 1 < (n \cdot x) + (n \cdot (y - x))$$

$$= n \cdot (x + (y - x)) = n \cdot y.$$

$m \leq (n \cdot x) + 1 < n \cdot y$. $\frac{m}{n} < \frac{n \cdot y}{n}$. Indeed $m < n \cdot y$ and $1/n > 0$. Then

$$x = \frac{n \cdot x}{n} < \frac{m}{n} < \frac{n \cdot y}{n} = y.$$

Let $p = \frac{m}{n}$. Then $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $x < p < y$. □

Density of the rationals in Lean-mathlib

algebra/archimedean.lean:

```
theorem exists_rat_btwn {x y :  $\alpha$ } (h : x < y) :  $\exists q : \langle \text{bbb-Q} \rangle, x < q \wedge (q : \alpha) < y :=$ 
begin
  cases exists_nat_gt (y - x)-1 with n nh,
  cases exists_floor (x * n) with z zh,
  refine  $\langle (z + 1 : \langle \text{bbb-Z} \rangle) / n, \_ \rangle,$ 
  have n0 := nat.cast_pos.1 (lt_trans (inv_pos (sub_pos.2 h)) nh),
  have n0' := (@nat.cast_pos  $\alpha$  _ _).2 n0,
  rw [rat.cast_div_of_ne_zero, rat.cast_coe_nat, rat.cast_coe_int,
div_lt_iff n0'],
  refine  $\langle (\text{lt\_div\_iff } n0').2 \$$ 
    (lt_iff_lt_of_le_iff_le (zh _)).1 (lt_add_one _), _ $\rangle,$ 
  rw [int.cast_add, int.cast_one],
  refine lt_of_le_of_lt (add_le_add_right ((zh _).1 (le_refl _)) _) _,
  rwa [ $\leftarrow$  lt_sub_iff_add_lt',  $\leftarrow$  sub_mul,
 $\leftarrow$  div_lt_iff' (sub_pos.2 h), one_div_eq_inv],
  { rw [rat.coe_int_denom, nat.cast_one], exact one_ne_zero },
  { intro H, rw [rat.coe_nat_num,  $\leftarrow$  coe_coe, nat.cast_eq_zero] at H,
subst H, cases n0 },
  { rw [rat.coe_nat_denom, nat.cast_one], exact one_ne_zero }
end
```


Can (a variant of) ForTheL be used as an input language for Lean?

- ... for natural readability of Lean formalizations?
- ... for a wider acceptance in the mathematical community?
- ForTheL is an input language for FOL, with natural language types represented by unary predicates and type guards
- Propositions in dependent type theory are close to FOL
- ForTheL statements can be translated to Lean propositions (see below)
- ForTheL proofs correspond to natural deduction and FOL calculi
- Lean proofs are type theoretic terms that type-check

Natural mathematical language is weakly typed

- Aarne Ranta
- Mohan Ganesalingam
- The Naproche project (Natural Proof Checking)
- Marcos Cramer

Example

THEOREM 1.1 (The Kepler conjecture). No packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space has density greater than that of the face-centered cubic packing.

Example

THEOREM 1.1 (The Kepler conjecture). No packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space has density greater than that of the face-centered cubic packing.

[synonym number/-s]

Signature. A real number is a notion.

Let x, y stand for real numbers.

Signature. x is greater than y is an atom.

Signature. A packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space is a notion.

Signature. The face centered cubic packing is a packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space.

Let P denote a packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space.

Signature. The density of P is a real number.

Theorem The_Kepler_conjecture. No packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space has density greater than the density of the face centered cubic packing.

Example

Signature. A packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space is a notion.

```
forall v0 ((HeadTerm ::  
aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace(v0))  
implies truth)
```

Signature. The face centered cubic packing is a packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space.

```
forall v0 ((HeadTerm :: v0 = theFaceCenteredCubicPacking) implies  
aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace(v0))
```

Theorem The_Kepler_conjecture. No packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space has density greater than the density of the face centered cubic packing.

```
forall v0 (aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace(v0)  
implies not isGreaterThan(theDensityOf(v0),  
theDensityOf(theFaceCenteredCubicPacking)))
```

Parsing of ForTheL texts

Signature and definition commands identify defining patterns of notions for further parsing and reasoning.

“Signature. A packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space is a notion.” registers the following:

- a new linguistic pattern [Wd [“packing”], Wd [“of”], Wd [“congruent”], Wd [“balls”], Wd [“in”], Wd [“euclidean”], Wd [“three”], Wd [“space”]]
- a new unary relation symbol `aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace`
- a new introductory axiom
`forall v0 ((HeadTerm :: aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace(v0
implies truth)`

Notion parsing

- parsing in Naproche-SAD uses a hierarchy of (sub-)parsers which are combined by parser combinators
- new notions are introduced by a host of parsers, including

```
sigNotion = do
  ((n,h),u) <- wellFormedCheck (ntnVars . fst) sig
  uDecl <- makeDecl u
  return $ dAll uDecl $ Imp (Tag HeadTerm n) h
  . . .
```
- sigNotion produces the introductory axiom

```
forall v0 ((HeadTerm :: aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace(v0)
implies truth)
```
- sigNotion also produces

```
forall v0 ((HeadTerm :: v0 = theFaceCenteredCubicPacking) implies
aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace(v0))
```

Notion parsing

Quantified notions are parsed by parsers like

```
quNotion = label "quantified notion" $
  paren (fa <|> ex <|> no)
  where
    fa = do ...
    ex = do ...
    no = do
      wdToken "no"; (q, f, v) <- notion
      vDecl<- mapM makeDecl v
      return (q . flip (foldr dAll) vDecl . blImp f . Not, map pVar v)
```

and lead to first-order fragments like

```
forall v0 (aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace(v0) implies not
```


Correspondences between languages

Semantics	classes of objects	mathematical objects	
Natural language	common noun	proper noun	quantifier
Example	real number	3	for all real numbers ...
Type theory	type	element	
	\mathbb{R}	$3: \mathbb{R}$	$\forall v: \mathbb{R}, \dots$
Lean	constant	constant	
	$\mathbb{R}: \text{Type}$	$3: \mathbb{R}$	$\forall v: \mathbb{R}, \dots$
First-order logic	unary predicate	constant	
	$\mathbb{R}(\cdot)$	$\mathbb{R}(3)$	$\forall v (\mathbb{R}(v) \rightarrow \dots)$
ForTheL	notion	term	
	real number	3 is a real number	for all real numbers ...
	aRealNumber	$\forall v (v = 3 \rightarrow \text{aRealNumber}(v))$...
Set/class theory	set/class	element	bounded quantification
	\mathbb{R}	$3 \in \mathbb{R}$	$\forall v \in \mathbb{R}, \dots$

For everyday mathematics, these differences often are only notational. Here is an experiment with a simple text from mathlib

ForTheL texts and Lean texts

```
class monoid (α : Type u) extends semigroup α,  
  has_one α := (one_mul : ∀ a : α, 1 * a = a)  
  (mul_one : ∀ a : α, a * 1 = a)
```

```
class comm_monoid (α : Type u) extends monoid α,  
  comm_semigroup α
```

```
class group (α : Type u) extends monoid α, has_inv  
α := (mul_left_inv : ∀ a : α, a-1 * a = 1)
```

```
class comm_group (α : Type u) extends group α,  
  comm_monoid α
```

```
lemma mul_assoc [semigroup α] : ∀ a b c : α, a * b  
* c = a * (b * c) := semigroup.mul_assoc
```

```
instance semigroup_to_is_associative [semigroup α] :  
is_associative α (*) := ⟨mul_assoc⟩
```

```
lemma mul_comm [comm_semigroup α] : ∀ a b : α,  
a * b = b * a := comm_semigroup.mul_comm
```

Signature. A *type* is a set. Let α stand for a type. Let $a : t$ stand for a is an element of t .

...

Definition 6. A monoid is a semigroup α such that α is a type with one and $\forall a : \alpha$ $1^\alpha *^\alpha a = a$ and $\forall a : \alpha$ $a *^\alpha 1^\alpha = a$.

Definition 7. A commutative monoid is a monoid that is a commutative semigroup.

Definition 8. A group is a monoid α such that α is a type with inverses and for all $a : \alpha$ $a^{-1, \alpha} *^\alpha a = 1^\alpha$.

Definition 9. A commutative group is a group that is a commutative monoid.

Lemma 10. [mul assoc] Let α be a semigroup. Then for all $a, b, c : \alpha$ $a *^\alpha (b *^\alpha c) = a *^\alpha (b *^\alpha c)$.

Lemma 11. [mul comm] Let α be a commutative semigroup. Then for all $a, b : \alpha$ $a *^\alpha b = b *^\alpha a$.

Translating from ForTheL to Lean

Modifying the FOL output of Naproche-SAD we could produce valid Lean code (FOL typeguards \mapsto type restrictions) (with Adrian De Lon and Daniel Kollert)

Signature. A prime number is a natural number.

Let p denote a prime number.

Axiom PrimeIrred. If $p \mid (b * c)$ then $p \mid b$ or $p \mid c$.

Axiom ClearDenom. There exist coprime b, c such that $b * q = c$.

Proposition PrimeNoSquare. $q * q = p$ for no rational number q .

Proof. Assume the contrary. Take a rational number q such that $p = q * q$. Take coprime a, b such that $a * q = b$. Then $p * (a * a) = b * b$. Therefore p divides b . Take a natural number c such that $b = c * p$.

Then $p * (a * a) = p * (c * b)$.

Therefore $a * a$ is equal to $p * (c * c)$. Hence p divides a . Contradiction.
qed.

```
axiom isPrimeNumber : NaturalNumber → Prop
```

```
notation `PrimeNumber` := {x : NaturalNumber // isPrimeNumber x}
```

```
axiom PrimeIrred : ∀ p : PrimeNumber, ∀ b c : NaturalNumber, ((Div p) (m b c)) → Div p b ∨ Div p c
```

```
axiom ClearDenom : ∀ q : RationalNumber, (∃ v0 v1 : NaturalNumber, Coprime v0 v1 ∧ (eq (m v0 q)) (v1))
```

```
theorem PrimeNoSquare : ∀ p : PrimeNumber, ∀ v0 : RationalNumber, not ((eq (m v0 v0)) (p)) := omitted
```

Parsing ForTheL to type theory

The command

Signature. A packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three space is a notion.

should register

- a new linguistic pattern [Wd [“packing”], Wd [“of”], Wd [“congruent”], Wd [“balls”], Wd [“in”], Wd [“euclidean”], Wd [“three”], Wd [“space”]]
- a new type constant aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace
- a new introductory axiom
aPackingOfCongruentBallsInEuclideanThreeSpace(v0)) : Type

```
sigNotion = do
  ((n,h),u) <- wellFormedCheck (ntnVars . fst) sig
  uDecl <- makeDecl u
  return $ dAll uDecl $ Imp (Tag HeadTerm n) h
  -- type theoretic return like (n 'member' "Type")
  . . .
```

Problems and perspectives

- identify function terms and function types in natural language mathematics
- declarative proofs (ForTheL) versus procedural proofs (Lean)
- concentrate on declarative statements (definitions, statements of theorems)
- this corresponds to the abstracts approach
- CNLtoLean: divide Naproche-SAD parsing into 1. linguistic parsing + 2. translation into formal logic (FOL + type theory)
- investigate declarative proofs for Lean, corresponding to Isar proofs for Isabelle
- natural language statements motivate to work towards naturally structured proofs in type theory, similar to the natural proofs in Naproche-SAD
- natural proofs require strong automatic proving for implicit simple proof obligations

General aspects

- Mathematics uses natural language with specific mathematical phrases
- The language of mathematics can be modeled by different logics
- The language of mathematics serves the communication between mathematicians and thus possesses a high degree of universality, independent of logical modeling
- Development of controlled general mathematical languages that can be projected out to several logics

General aspects

- Mathematics uses natural language with specific mathematical phrases
- The language of mathematics can be modeled by different logics
- The language of mathematics serves the communication between mathematicians and thus possesses a high degree of universality, independent of logical modeling
- Development of controlled general mathematical languages that can be projected out to several logics
- Richard Montague, 1970: *I reject the contention that an important theoretical difference exists between formal and natural languages.*
- Provocation 1: *I reject the contention that an important theoretical difference exists between formal and natural mathematical languages.*
- Provocation 2: *I reject the contention that an important theoretical difference exists between formal and natural mathematics.*

Thank you for your attention!