

## SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK #9

2. a. Free;  $x = x$   
 b. Free;  $y = y$   
 c. Free;  $z = \bar{0}$   
 d. Free;  $\exists x (\bar{0} + y = x)$   
 e. Free;  $\exists w (w + x = \bar{0})$   
 f. Not free;  $\forall w (x + (x + w) = \bar{0})$   
 g. Not free;  $\forall w (x + (x + y) = \bar{0}) \wedge \exists y (x + y = x)$   
 h. Free;  $\forall u (u = v) \rightarrow \forall z (z = y)$
3. a. There are lots of terms that denote 5 in this structure; for example,  $S(S(S(S(S(c)))))$  and  $(S(S(S(S(S(c)))))) + c$ .  
 b. To denote  $n$ , just use the term  $S(S(S(\dots S(c))))$ , with  $n$   $S$ 's in all. More formally, use induction on  $n$ ; if  $n = 0$ , use  $c$ ; and if  $t$  denotes  $n$ ,  $S(t)$  denotes  $n + 1$ .  
 c. If  $t$  denotes  $n$ , then so do  $(t + c)$ ,  $((t + c) + c)$ ,  $((((t + c) + c) + c)$ , and so on.
6. a. To show  $\exists x (\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x \varphi(x) \wedge \exists x \psi(x))$  is valid, I need to show that it is true in every structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ . I will use Lemma 2.4.5 repeatedly.  
 Saying that the formula above is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$  amounts to saying that if  $\exists x (\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x))$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$  then  $(\exists x \varphi(x) \wedge \exists x \psi(x))$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . To show this, suppose  $\exists x (\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x))$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . By Lemma 2.4.5, this means that there is some  $a$  in the universe of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{a}) \wedge \psi(\bar{a})$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For this value of  $a$ , both  $\varphi(\bar{a})$  and  $\psi(\bar{a})$  are true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . But this means that  $\exists x \varphi(x)$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $\exists x \psi(x)$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and hence  $\exists x \varphi(x) \wedge \exists x \psi(x)$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , which is what I needed to show.  
 Note that saying " $\theta$  is true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ " is synonymous with " $\mathfrak{A} \models \theta$ "; which phrasing you use is a matter of taste.
- b. To show that the other direction is not valid, it's enough to describe a counterexample. Let  $L$  be a language with two constant symbols  $a$  and  $b$ , and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a structure in which  $a$  and  $b$  denote different objects (say, the structure with universe  $\{0, 1\}$ , where  $a^{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$ ,  $b^{\mathfrak{A}} = 1$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{A} \models \exists x (x = a) \wedge \exists x (x = b)$ , but  $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \exists x (x = a \wedge x = b)$ . (There are many other simple counterexamples.)

7. a. This is false. For example, let  $\varphi$  be the formula  $\forall x \forall y R(x, y)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is true in a structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  where the denotation of  $R$  holds of everything (for example, take  $\langle \mathbb{N}, Q \rangle$  where  $Q$  holds of every pair of natural numbers), and  $\varphi$  is false in a structure  $\mathfrak{B}$  where there is at least one pair of values for which the denotation of  $R$  does not hold (for example, take  $\langle \mathbb{N}, <^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$ ).
- b. This is true, by Lemma 2.4.5: for any structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A} \models \neg\varphi$  iff  $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \varphi$ .
- c. This is false. For example, let  $\Gamma$  be the empty set, and use part (a).