





Slide 3













There are different approaches to creating a project architecture. In this section, we will look at a "bottom-up" approach and a "top-down" approach.

In a bottom-up approach, you start by identifying components, then determine how they will relate to each other. In a top-down approach, you start by identifying overall patterns for the architecture, then determine what components are needed to implement the patterns. Most architects do both at the same time, trying out different ideas until they are happy with the result.

When identifying components, consider commonality, variability, and kinds of change. Isolating areas that change is an important part of any architecture.





Things that are shared in the application (libraries, functions, data) may be put into one or more components that are shared in the application. So you look for common things and create components to hold them. This gives you a single point of maintenance for things that are shared, which is very desirable. Look at the lesson on product line architectures for examples of this approach.

Slide 9



Look at the application for areas that vary from a common base. Each variation can be made into a component that shares the common base functionality. Refer to the lesson on product line architectures for examples of this approach.



A thing way to approach architecture is to consider what kind of changes might be required to the code in the future. In the best architecture, a particular kind of change should take place (as much as possible) within one component. Kinds of changes could be changes to the data (format change, change of database, addition or deletion of fields), changes to features (adding or deleting features, custom features, locking or unlocking features), or changes to the look and feel (change of UI colors, adding logos, internationalization).

So if the most common kind of change to your application is the addition of new features, then you might want a design with some basic service type components, then add a component for each feature. A feature component would include all the UI for that feature, the business rules, and the code to access the database (if not its own database). On the other hand, if your most common change is to the user interface, then you would put all of the user interface together in a component, which is used by other components of the system whenever interaction with the user is desired.





Having identified some components, we may later put those components into one or more of the common architectural patterns. If you start with an architectural pattern, then you have to identify the components of the pattern. In either approach, you end up with an overall pattern, and components that are meaningful to your application.

Slide 12



We start to document the components of the architecture using subsystems. At this point we are working at Bredemeyer's conceptual level. We show the component name, and assign responsibilities to the component.

slide 13





A subsystem is more than a name; it also has responsibilities. One way to indicate the responsibilities of a subsystem is by assigning use cases to the subsystem. This shows that the subsystem has to provide code to implement these use cases.

```
Slide 15
```



Another way to indicate the responsibilities of a subsystem is by assigning operations to the subsystem. This shows that the subsystem has to provide code to implement these operations.





Another way to indicate the responsibilities of a subsystem is by showing that it implements one or more interfaces. This shows that the subsystem has to provide code to implement the operations in the interfaces.

There is a subtle difference between assigning operations to a component and having the component implement an interface. If operations are assigned to a component, the operations are part of the component. However, an interface is separate from the component, so you can plug any component into the interface as long as the component provides an implementation for the interface. This is one way to implement a plug-in architecture.





We will look at assigning responsibilities, creating sequence diagrams, and creating relationships between components later in the lesson. For now, we just create a basic diagram with the components and write descriptions for each.





You do not have to show any relationships to global packages. Putting the notation "global" on the package indicates that all other subsystems (packages) can use this package.







This process is based around the idea of starting with a pattern for the architecture and developing it further with specifics for your application. We are still working on getting a set of components for our architecture.





Slide 24







We will go through the top-down approach to the same point as the bottom-up – identifying and describing components. Once we have reached that point, both methods continue the same way, by continuing to refine the components of the architecture. The difference in top-down and bottom-up is just how you go about selecting the components to begin with.









There are quite a number of basic architectural patterns identified. See for example: Pattern-Oriented Software Architecture, Volume 1: A System of Patterns; Frank Buschmann, Regine Meunier, Hans Rohnert, Peter Sommerlad, Michael Stal – and Software Architecture: Perspectives on an Emerging Discipline; Mary Shaw, David Garlan



```
Slide 30
```



One way to pick an architectural pattern is to find one that is commonly used for your kind of application. For example, if you are working on writing a new operating system, you will certainly consider a layered architecture for the project, because layered architectures are commonly used for operating systems. You could invent some other architecture, but a layered architecture is known to work very well for this kind of application and you will have plenty of other problems to solve where you can apply your skill and creativity. Don't reinvent the wheel if you don't have to. By using what is known to work, you eliminate certain categories of failure points from your application. Each architectural pattern is good for certain things (it solves certain problems) and not so good for others.

Slide 31







If the application specific layer needs something at the operating system level, the request has to go first to the cross-application layer, then to the database and distribution layer, then finally to the OS layer. The response has to return by the same path (but in reverse).



This example was shared with me by a good friend at Rational Software who worked on the system. It was also written up for the ACM.

CAATS = Canadian Air Air Traffic System

HATS = ? Air Traffic System

You see here that you can look at the layers a couple of different ways. One is to specify layers that are domain independent (not part of an air traffic control system) versus those that are specific to the domain. Another way of looking at it is to say that some layers are common across all air traffic control systems, and others are specific to a particular customer. Both viewpoints may be needed at various points in time.

ATC = Air Traffic Control OS = Operating System COTS = Commercial Off The Shelf ACM = Association for Computing Machinery

Slide 34



Layered architectures are quite common, as you see from the several examples presented here. Note that the communication is always to one layer below. There is no communication that skips around a layer. The rule for layered architecture is that each layer only communicates with the layer directly below it.



Slide 36











At point A, you have a dumb terminal with all the processing done on the server

At point B, all of the presentation software is on the client

this enables sophisticated GUI's without interaction with the server

At point C, some application logic resides on the client

At point D, you have a thick client with a database server. The transaction monitor may move to the client, or all transactions may be handled inside the database.

At point E, some of the database moves to the client, usually in the form of client-side caching of data.

There is a great description of these architectures in the book Enterprise Computing with Objects; Shan, Earle.





Slide 42













This is just a quick introduction to web architecture. There is another whole lecture on just web architectures.

















Slide 52









Now that the components are identified, we add interfaces to the components. This moves us from Bredemeyer's conceptual toward the logical architecture. Assigning standard non-functional (usability, reliability, performance, security) or "shall" requirements (the system shall do blah) is fairly easy because they are relatively small. Assigning use cases to components is harder, because often the use case is bigger than one component.

Slide 55





Slide 57















The sequence diagram shows you the operations for each component and the relationships between the components. If there is a message passed between two components, then you will have a dependency relationship between those components. The arrow on the dependency relationship points the same direction as the message. Notice that the dashed arrow on the sequence diagram shows the return of data. This is indicated in the text of the message shown above, where the returned data comes after the colon.





An alternate way of showing component responsibilities is to use interfaces. Here the message is put in the interface rather than in the component itself. Most architects will show component responsibilities using interfaces rather than putting the operations directly in the component. Using interfaces allows you to plug in any component to the interface.

The dashed line with the triangle head is called realizes or implements. This tells me that the subsystem Inventory has to provide an implementation for all of the operations in the Inventory interface. The other dashed line with the stick arrowhead is dependency or uses. This tells me that the subsystem Order Management uses (makes calls to) the operations in the Inventory interface.

Slide 63









Slide 67















Byzeek Inc Fault tolerance	
 Does the system need to recover from failure? To what degree? Does the system have to handle everything except catastrophic failure, or will a lesser degree be sufficient? Do you need "hot backup" systems to go online if one of the primary processors fails? 	
Copyright © Wyyzk, Inc. 2004 Project Architecture - 73 Version 5.0	



Slide 75

Wyyzzk, Inc. Fail Safe	
 Is the system allowed to crash? If not, what will you do to prevent the system from crashing? Are there mechanisms in place, such as redundant systems, or transaction monitoring, to allow other parts of the system to pick up the load if some parts fail? 	ו ל
Copyright © Wyyzzk, Inc. 2004 Project Architecture - Version 5.0	75



Slide 77









Slide 81

Wyyzzk, Inc. Three	ıd	
 Lightweig Threads process 	ht flow of control run in the context of an enclosing	
Copyright © Wyyzzk, Inc. 2004 Version 5.0	Project Architecture - 81	



Concurrency requirements define the extent to which parallel execution of tasks is required for the system. These requirements help shape the architecture.

A system whose behavior must be distributed across processors or nodes virtually requires a multi-process architecture. A system which uses some sort of Database Management System or Transaction Manager also must consider the processes which those major subsystems introduce.

If dedicated processors are available to handle events, a multi-process architecture is probably best. On the other hand, to ensure events are handled, a uni-process architecture may be needed to circumvent the 'fairness' resource sharing algorithm of the operating system: it may be necessary for the application to monopolize resources by creating a single large process, using threads to control execution within the process.

In order to provide good response times, it may be necessary to place computationally intensive activities in a process or thread of their own so that the system is still able to respond to user inputs while computation takes place, albeit with fewer resources. If the operating system or environment does not support threads (lightweight processes) there is little point in considering their impact on the system architecture.

The above are mutually exclusive and may conflict with one another. Ranking requirements in terms of importance will help resolve the conflict.

Slide 83





The above concurrency requirements were documented in the Course Registration

System Supplemental Specification (see the Course Registration Requirements Document).

The first requirement is typical of any system, but the multi-tier aspects of our planned architecture will require some extra thought for this.

The second requirement demonstrates the need for a shared, independent process managing access to the course offerings.

The third issue will lead us to use some sort of mid-tier caching or preemptive retrieval strategy.



For each separate flow of control needed by the system, create a process or a thread (lightweight process). A thread should be used in cases where there is a need for nested flow of control (i.e. within a process, there is a need for independent flow of control at the sub-task level).

For example, we can say (not necessarily in order of importance) that separate threads of control may be needed to:

Use of multiple CPUs. There may be multiple CPUs in a node or multiple nodes in a distributed system

Increased CPU utilization. Processes can be used to increase CPU utilization by

allocating cycles to other activities when a thread of control is suspended

Fast reaction to external stimuli

Service time-related events. Examples: timeouts, scheduled activities, periodic activities Prioritize activities. Separate processes allows functionality in different processes to be prioritized individually

Scalability. Load sharing across several processes and processors

Separation of concerns. Separating concerns between different areas of the software, e.g., safety

Availability. Redundant processes. You can achieve a higher system availability by having backup processes

Support major subsystems. Some major subsystems may required separate processes (e.g., the DBMS, Transaction Manager, etc.)











Slide 91



Slide 92







Slide 95



Each process or thread of control must be created and destroyed. In a single-process architecture, process creation occurs when the application is started and process destruction occurs when the application ends. In multi-process architectures, new processes (or threads) are typically spawned or forked from the initial process created by the operating system when the application is started. These processes must be explicitly destroyed as well.

The sequence of events leading up to process creation and destruction must be determined and documented, as well as the mechanism for creation and deletion.











Slide 101



When you pass data between processes, you put the data into an object which is defined by a class. That class is included in both processes, because it describes to the processes the data that they are sharing.

Slide 102





Classes and subsystems may be allocated to one or more processes and threads.

Inside-out

Group classes and subsystems together in sets of cooperating elements that (a) closely cooperate with one another and (b) need to execute in the same thread of control. Consider the impact of introducing inter-process communication into the middle of a message sequence before separating elements into separate threads of control.

Conversely, separate classes and subsystems which do not interact at all, placing them in separate threads of control.

This clustering proceeds until the number of processes has been reduced to the smallest number that still allows distribution and use of the physical resources.

Outside-in

Identify external stimuli to which the system must respond. Define a separate thread of control to handle each stimuli and a separate server thread of control to provide each service.

Consider the data integrity and serialization constraints to reduce this initial set of threads of control to the number that can be supported by the execution environment.

Slide 104



If your subsystems have a relationship, then the processes that contain those subsystems also have a relationship.

Slide 105



The operations from a subsystem become the operations of the process that contains the subsystem.











Slide 111



Use cases that are architecturally significant are described in the use case view. These are use cases that lead you to selecting one architecture over another.

Important to the business - process orders for an online business

Describe a flow through most of the components – involve the use of user interfaces, business logic, and persistent data

Select an architectural pattern – use cases that describe batch processes would cause you to select a different architecture than use cases that describe human interactions with the system

Cause the addition of significant components – login, user tracking, logging can cause you to add a whole security component to your architecture.

Slide 112



Slide 113



Slide 114









Slide 118





Slide 120



