Problem Solutions: Set 9 (October 29, 2003)

35. a) Use force expressions from Problem 34, with additional driving force:

$$-kx + \frac{3kx^{2}}{a} - \frac{2kx^{3}}{a^{2}} - b\frac{dx}{dt} + F_{o}\cos\omega t = m\frac{d^{2}x}{dt^{2}}.$$

With suggested numerical values (m = 1, k = 1, a = 1, b = 0.1),

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + 0.1\frac{dx}{dt} + x - 3x^2 + 2x^3 = F_0 \cos \omega t$$

- b) The limit cycle is more or less elliptical, with $x_{\text{max}} \approx 0.022$ and $v_{\text{max}} \approx 0.004$.
- c) The limit cycle passes approximately through the points (x = 0.022, v = 0) and (x = 0, v = 0.004). If we use the first of these as initial conditions, the limit cycle is approached very quickly. Not so for the other point, because the *phase* of the driving force isn't right. But if we use the second point with a driving force $F = 0.02 \sin(0.2t)$ instead of $\cos(0.2t)$, the limit cycle is again approached quickly.
- d) Chaotic motion develops when F_0 is greater than about 0.097.
- e) Many possibilities; for example, with $F_0 = 0.1$, running to t = 100, phase trajectory for initial conditions (x = 0, v = 0) is very different from trajectory for (x = 0.01, v = 0).

36. a) For $0 \le x \le 1$, the maximum value of x(1-x) (at x = 1/2) is 1/4. So if

$$a \le 4$$
, then $ax(1-x) \le 1$ for $0 \le x \le 1$.

b) We need x = ax(1-x). Solve for x: x = 0, $x = 1 - \frac{1}{a}$. (Note that when $a \le 1$, the only root in the interval $0 \le x \le 1$ is x = 0.)

c) Numerical experiments

d)
$$x_{n+1} = ax_n(1-x_n);$$
 $x_{n+2} = ax_{n+1}(1-x_{n+1});$
 $x_{n+2} = a[ax_n(1-x_n)][1-ax_n(1-x_n)].$

If $x_{n+2} = x_n$, then x must satisfy the equation

$$x = a[ax(1-x)][1-ax(1-x)]$$

This is a fourth degree equation. Two of the roots are already known: x = 0 and x = 1 - 1/a = 0.677419. So in principle the problem could be reduced to solving a quadratic equation. Instead, use Maple to find all four roots, which are

0, 0.558013, 0.677419, 0.764568.

The second and fourth are attractors, the other two are repellers.

- e) Numerical experiments. The attractors are independent of the value of x_0 .
- f) The second bifurcation occurs at a = 3.449490.
- g) When a = 3, y = 3x(1-x), $\frac{dy}{dx} = 3 6x$. From (b), intersection point is at $x = 1 - \frac{1}{a} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$. Slope of parabola at this point is the value of $\frac{dy}{dx}$ at this point: $3 - 6\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -1$. Slope of line is +1; so the two are perpendicular at the intersection point.

- 37. a) $0 \le a \le 1$.
 - b) $x = 0.6\sin(\pi x)$ eq := x = 0.6*sin(Pi*x); attractor := fsolve(eq, x = 0.1..1);
 - x = 0, 0.580781. (The command fsolve(eq, x); returns only the value x = 0.)
 - c) The value x = 0.5 satisfies the equation $x = 0.5 \sin(0.5\pi)$.

In (b) and (c), use numerical experiments to show that the values of x are attractors and not repellers.

d) The first three bifurcations occur at approximately

$$a = 0.719, 0.833, 0.858$$

38. a)
$$-kx_1 + k(x_2 - x_1) = 3m\ddot{x}_1,$$

 $-k(x_2 - x_1) = 2m\ddot{x}_2.$

b) Try a solution in the form $x_1 = a_1 \cos \omega t$, $x_2 = a_2 \cos \omega t$; let $\lambda = \omega^2$. Substitute, divide out the common factor $\cos \omega t$:

$$-2ka_{1} + ka_{2} = -3m\omega^{2}a_{1}, \qquad (2k - 3m\lambda) a_{1} - ka_{2} = 0, ka_{1} - ka_{2} = -2m\omega^{2}a_{2}, \qquad or \qquad -ka_{1} + (k - 2m\lambda)a_{2} = 0.$$

For non-trivial solutions, secular determinant must be zero:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2k - 3m\lambda & -k \\ -k & k - 2m\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0, \quad (2k - 3m\lambda)(k - 2m\lambda) - k^2 = 0,$$
$$(6m\lambda - k)(m\lambda - k) = 0, \qquad \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{6}\frac{k}{m}, \qquad \lambda_2 = \frac{k}{m}.$$

Amplitudes: For λ_1 , $(2k - \frac{1}{2}k)a_1 - ka_2 = 0$, $a_2 = \frac{3}{2}a_1$. For λ_2 , $(2k - 3k)a_1 - ka_2 = 0$, $a_2 = -a_1$.

c)
$$x_1 = a \cos \omega_1 t + b \cos \omega_2 t = q_1 + q_2,$$

$$x_2 = \frac{3}{2} a \cos \omega_1 t - b \cos \omega_2 t = \frac{3}{2} q_1 - q_2$$
general solution

Solve for q_1 and q_2 : $q_1 = \frac{2}{5}(x_1 + x_2)$, $q_2 = \frac{3}{5}x_1 - \frac{2}{5}x_2$.

(continued)

38. (continued)

d) Substitute into $\Sigma F = ma$ equations:

$$-2k(q_1 + q_2) + k(\frac{3}{2}q_1 - q_2) = 3m(\ddot{q}_1 + \ddot{q}_2),$$

$$-k(\frac{3}{2}q_1 - q_2) + k(q_1 + q_2) = 2m(\frac{3}{2}\ddot{q}_1 - \ddot{q}_2).$$

Subtract second equation from first:

$$-5kq_2 = 5m\ddot{q}_2$$
, or $\ddot{q}_2 = -\frac{k}{m}q_2$, $\lambda_2 = \omega_2^2 = \frac{k}{m}$.

Multiply first equation by 2, second by 3, and add:

$$-\frac{5}{2}kq_1 = 15m\ddot{q}_1$$
, or $\ddot{q}_1 = -\frac{k}{6m}q_1$, $\lambda_1 = \omega_1^2 = \frac{k}{6m}$.

e)
$$E = \frac{3}{2}m\dot{x_1}^2 + m\dot{x_2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}kx_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}k(x_2 - x_1)^2.$$

Substitute normal-coordinate transformation from (c) and simplify, to obtain

$$E = \frac{15}{4}m\dot{q}_1^2 + \frac{5}{2}m\dot{q}_2^2 + \frac{5}{8}kq_1^2 + \frac{5}{2}kq_2^2.$$

The first and third terms contain only q_1 , the other two contain only q_2 .

f)
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, so $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Also, from (c),

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{so} \quad \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Check: $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$