CMU SCS

Carnegie Mellon Univ.
Dept. of Computer Science
15-415 - Database Applications

Schema Refinement & Normalization -
Normal Forms

(R&G, ch. 19)

CMU SCS

Overview - detailed

- DB design and normalization
  - pitfalls of bad design
  - decomposition
  - normal forms

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   2

CMU SCS

Goal

- Design 'good' tables
  - sub-goal#1: define what 'good' means
  - sub-goal#2: fix 'bad' tables
- in short: "*we want tables where the attributes depend on the primary key, on the whole key, and nothing but the key*"
- Let's see why, and how:

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   3

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

takes1 (ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   4

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

'Bad' - why? because: ssn->address, name

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   5

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

- Redundancy
  - space
  - (inconsistencies)
  - insertion/deletion anomalies:

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   6

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

- insertion anomaly:
  - "jones" registers, but takes no class - no place to store his address!

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
...	...	...	...	...
234	null	null	jones	Forbes

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   7

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

- deletion anomaly:
  - delete the last record of 'smith' (we lose his address!)

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   8

CMU SCS

Solution: decomposition

- split offending table in two (or more), eg.:

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

?   ?

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   9

CMU SCS

Overview - detailed

- DB design and normalization
  - pitfalls of bad design
  - decomposition
    - lossless join decomp.
    - dependency preserving
  - normal forms

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   10

CMU SCS

Decompositions

There are 'bad' decompositions. Good ones are:
- lossless and
- dependency preserving

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   11

CMU SCS

Decompositions - lossy:

R1(ssn, grade, name, address)   R2(c-id, grade)

Ssn	Grade	Name	Address
123	A	smith	Main
123	B	smith	Main
234	A	jones	Forbes

c-id	Grade
413	A
415	B
211	A

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   12

2

CMU SCS

Decompositions - lossy:

can not recover original table with a join!

Ssn	Grade	Name	Address
123	A	smith	Main
123	B	smith	Main
234	A	jones	Forbes

c-id	Grade
413	A
415	B
211	A

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos CMU SCS 15-415 13

CMU SCS

Decompositions

example of non-dependency preserving

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

S#	status
123	E
125	E
234	A

S# -> address, status

address -> status

S# -> address   **S# -> status**

Faloutsos CMU SCS 15-415 14

CMU SCS

Decompositions

(drill: is it lossless?)

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

S#	status
123	E
125	E
234	A

S# -> address, status

address -> status

S# -> address   **S# -> status**

Faloutsos CMU SCS 15-415 15

CMU SCS

Decompositions - lossless

Definition:

consider schema R, with FD 'F'. R1, R2 is a lossless join decomposition of R if we **always** have: $r1 \bowtie r2 = r$

An easier criterion?

Faloutsos CMU SCS 15-415 16

CMU SCS

Decomposition - lossless

Theorem: lossless join decomposition if the joining attribute is a superkey in at least one of the new tables

Formally:

$R1 \cap R2 \rightarrow R1\ or$

$R1 \cap R2 \rightarrow R2$

Faloutsos CMU SCS 15-415 17

CMU SCS

Decomposition - lossless

example:

R1

Ssn	c-id	Grade
123	413	A
123	415	B
234	211	A

ssn, c-id -> grade

R2

Ssn	Name	Address
123	smith	Main
234	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos CMU SCS 15-415 18

Overview - detailed

- DB design and normalization
  - pitfalls of bad design
  - decomposition
    - lossless join decomp.
    - **dependency preserving**
  - normal forms

Decomposition - depend. pres.

informally: we don't want the original FDs to span two tables - counter-example:

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

S#	status
123	E
125	E
234	A

S# -> address, status

address -> status

S# -> address   S# -> status

Decomposition - depend. pres.

dependency preserving decomposition:

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

address	status
London	E
Paris	E
Pitts.	A

S# -> address, status

address -> status

S# -> address   address -> status

(but: S#->status ?)

Decomposition - depend. pres.

informally: we don't want the original FDs to span two tables.

More specifically: … the FDs of the **canonical cover**.

Decomposition - depend. pres.

why is dependency preservation good?

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

S#	status
123	E
125	E
234	A

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

address	status
London	E
Paris	E
Pitts.	A

S# -> address

S# -> status

(address->status: 'lost')

S# -> address   address -> status

Decomposition - depend. pres.

A: eg., record that 'Philly' has status 'A'

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

S#	status
123	E
125	E
234	A

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

address	status
London	E
Paris	E
Pitts.	A

S# -> address

S# -> status

(address->status: 'lost')

S# -> address   address -> status

Decomposition - conclusions

- decompositions should always be lossless
  - joining attribute -> superkey
- whenever possible, we want them to be dependency preserving (occasionally, impossible - see 'STJ' example later…)

Overview - detailed

- DB design and normalization
  - pitfalls of bad design
  - decomposition (-> how to fix the problem)
  - **normal forms** (-> how to detect the problem)
    - BCNF,
    - 3NF
    - (1NF, 2NF)

Normal forms - BCNF

We saw how to fix 'bad' schemas -
but what is a 'good' schema?

Answer: 'good', if it obeys a 'normal form',
ie., a set of rules.

Typically: Boyce-Codd Normal form

Normal forms - BCNF

Defn.: Rel. R is in BCNF wrt F, if

- informally: everything depends on the full key, and nothing but the key
- semi-formally: every determinant (of the cover) is a candidate key

Normal forms - BCNF

Example and counter-example:

Ssn	Name	Address
123	smith	Main
999	smith	Shady
234	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

ssn, c-id -> grade

Normal forms - BCNF

Formally: for every FD a->b in F
  - a->b is trivial (a superset of b) or
  - a is a superkey

Normal forms - BCNF

Theorem: given a schema R and a set of FD 'F', we can always decompose it to schemas R1, … Rn, so that
- R1, … Rn are in BCNF and
- the decompositions are lossless.

(but, some decomp. might lose dependencies)

Normal forms - BCNF

How? algorithm in book: for a relation R
- for every FD X->A that violates BCNF, decompose to tables (X,A) and (R-A)
- repeat recursively

eg. TAKES1(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)
    ssn -> name, address
    ssn, c-id -> grade

Normal forms - BCNF

eg. TAKES1(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)
    ssn -> name, address   ssn, c-id -> grade

Normal forms - BCNF

Ssn	c-id	Grade
123	413	A
123	415	B
234	211	A

ssn, c-id -> grade

Ssn	Name	Address
123	smith	Main
123	smith	Main
234	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

ssn, c-id -> grade

Normal forms - BCNF

pictorially: we want a 'star' shape

:not in BCNF

Normal forms - BCNF

pictorially: we want a 'star' shape

or

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

or a star-like: (eg., 2 cand. keys):

STUDENT(ssn, st#, name, address)

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   37

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

but **not**:

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   38

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

consider the 'classic' case:

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)

   T-> J

   S,J -> T

is it BCNF?

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   39

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)

   T-> J   S,J -> T

How to decompose it to BCNF?

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   40

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)

   T-> J   S,J -> T

1) R1(T,J) R2(S,J)

   (BCNF?   - lossless? - dep. pres.?)

2) R1(T,J) R2(S,T)

   (BCNF?   - lossless?   - dep. pres.?)

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   41

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)

   T-> J   S,J -> T

1) R1(T,J) R2(S,J)

   (BCNF? **Y+Y** - lossless? **N** - dep. pres.? **N**)

2) R1(T,J) R2(S,T)

   (BCNF? **Y+Y** - lossless? **Y** - dep. pres.? **N**)

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   42

7

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
  T-> J   S,J -> T
in this case: impossible to have both
- BCNF **and**
- dependency preservation
Welcome 3NF!

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   43

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
  T-> J   S,J -> T



informally, 3NF 'forgives' the red arrow in the can. cover

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   44

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
  T-> J   S,J -> T

Formally, a rel. R with FDs 'F' is in 3NF if: for every a->b in F:

- it is trivial or
- a is a superkey or
- b: part of a candidate key

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   45

Normal forms - 3NF

how to bring a schema to 3NF?
two algo's in book: First one:
- start from ER diagram and turn to tables
- then we have a set of tables R1, ... Rn which are in 3NF
- for each FD (X->A) in the cover that is not preserved, create a table (X,A)

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   46

Normal forms - 3NF

how to bring a schema to 3NF?
two algo's in book: Second one ('synthesis')
- take all attributes of R
- for each FD (X->A) in the cover, add a table (X,A)
- if not lossless, add a table with appropriate key

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   47

Normal forms - 3NF

Example:
  R: ABC
  F: A->B, C->B
Q1: what is the cover?

Q2: what is the decomposition to 3NF?

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   48

Normal forms - 3NF

Example:

R: ABC

F: A->B, C->B

Q1: what is the cover?

A1: 'F' is the cover

Q2: what is the decomposition to 3NF?

Normal forms - 3NF

Example:

R: ABC

F: A->B, C->B

Q1: what is the cover?

A1: 'F' is the cover

Q2: what is the decomposition to 3NF?

A2: R1(A,B), R2(C,B), ...   [is it lossless??]

Normal forms - 3NF

Example:

R: ABC

F: A->B, C->B

Q1: what is the cover?

A1: 'F' is the cover

Q2: what is the decomposition to 3NF?

A2: R1(A,B), R2(C,B), R3(A,C)

Normal forms - 3NF vs BCNF

- If 'R' is in BCNF, it is always in 3NF (but not the reverse)
- In practice, aim for
  – BCNF; lossless join; and dep. preservation
- if impossible, we accept
  – 3NF; but insist on lossless join and dep. preservation

Normal forms - more details

- why '3'NF? what is 2NF? 1NF?
- 1NF: attributes are atomic (ie., no set-valued attr., a.k.a. 'repeating groups')

Ssn	Name	Dependents
123	Smith	Peter Mary John
234	Jones	Ann Michael

not 1NF

Normal forms - more details

2NF: 1NF and non-key attr. fully depend on the key

counter-example: TAKES1(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn -> name, address   ssn, c-id -> grade

9

CMU SCS

Normal forms - more details

- 3NF: 2NF and no transitive dependencies
- counter-example:

in 2NF, but **not** in 3NF

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   55

CMU SCS

Normal forms - more details

- 4NF, multivalued dependencies etc: IGNORE
- in practice, E-R diagrams usually lead to tables in BCNF

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   56

CMU SCS

Overview - conclusions

DB design and normalization
  – pitfalls of bad design
  – decompositions (lossless, dep. preserving)
  – normal forms (BCNF or 3NF)

"everything should depend on the key, the whole key, and nothing but the key"

Faloutsos   CMU SCS 15-415   57