I 5-381 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE LECTURE 9: INFERENCE ON BAYESIAN NETWORKS

Fall 2010

PATTERN RECOGNITION AND MACHINE LEARNING



http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/um/people/cmbishop/prml/

REVIEW: PROBABILITY



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(x_k | \mathrm{pa}_k)$$

• GRAPHICAL MODEL

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(x_k | \mathrm{pa}_k)$$

- GRAPHICAL MODEL
 - EACH NODE DENOTES A RANDOM VARIABLE

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(x_k | \mathrm{pa}_k)$$

- GRAPHICAL MODEL
 - EACH NODE DENOTES A RANDOM VARIABLE
 - DIRECTED ACYCLIC GRAPH

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(x_k | \mathbf{pa}_k)$$

- GRAPHICAL MODEL
 - EACH NODE DENOTES A RANDOM VARIABLE
 - DIRECTED ACYCLIC GRAPH
 - CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY TABLES

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(x_k | \mathrm{pa}_k)$$

Diagnosis of Liver Disorders



Agnieszka Onisko, Marek J. Druzdzel and Hanna Wasyluk. <u>A Bayesian network model for diagnosis of liver disorders</u>. In Proceedings of the Eleventh Conference on Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering, pages 842-846, Warsaw, Poland, December 2-4, 1999.

p(a|b,c) = p(a|c)

p(a|b,c) = p(a|c)

$$p(a, b|c) = p(a|b, c)p(b|c)$$
$$= p(a|c)p(b|c)$$

p(a|b,c) = p(a|c)

$$p(a, b|c) = p(a|b, c)p(b|c)$$
$$= p(a|c)p(b|c)$$

 $a \perp b | c$

p(a|b,c) = p(a|c)

$$p(a, b|c) = p(a|b, c)p(b|c)$$
$$= p(a|c)p(b|c)$$

$a\perp b|c$ "a and b are independent given c"

THREE GRAPHS



 $a \perp b|c \iff p(a,b|c) = p(a|c)p(b|c)$





p(a, b, c) = p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)



p(a, b, c) = p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)p(a, b)



$$p(a, b, c) = p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)$$
$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)$$



$$p(a, b, c) = p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)$$
$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)$$
$$\neq p(a)p(b)$$



$$p(a, b, c) = p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)$$

$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)$$

$$\neq p(a)p(b)$$

$$a \not\perp b|\emptyset$$



$$p(a, b, c) = p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)$$

$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)$$

$$\neq p(a)p(b)$$

$$a \not\perp b|\emptyset$$

a and b are <u>not</u> independent











a is conditionally independent of b given c

TAIL-TO-TAIL

 \boldsymbol{a}

b

TAIL-TO-TAIL

Node c is tail-to-tail



Node c is tail-to-tail Path exists from a to b through c



Node *c* is *tail-to-tail* Path exists from *a* to *b* through *c* When *c* is observed, it 'blocks' the path from *a* to *b*



p(a, b, c) = p(a)p(c|a)p(b|c)





p(a,b)









a and b are <u>not</u> independent








$$p(a, b|c) = \frac{p(a, b, c)}{p(c)} = \underbrace{p(a)p(c|a)p(b|c)}_{p(c)}$$
$$= p(a|c)p(b|c)$$



$$p(a, b|c) = \frac{p(a, b, c)}{p(c)} = \underbrace{p(a)p(c|a)p(b|c)}_{p(c)}$$
$$= p(a|c)p(b|c)$$
$$a \perp b|c$$





a is conditionally independent of b given c





Node c is head-to-tail



Node c is head-to-tail Path exists from a to b through c



Node c is head-to-tail

Path exists from a to b through c

When c is observed, it 'blocks' the path from a to b



p(a, b, c) = p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b)



$$p(a, b, c) = p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b)$$
$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b)$$

$$p(a, b, c) = p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b)$$
$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b) = p(a)p(b)\sum_{c} p(c|a, b)$$

$$p(a, b, c) = p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b)$$

$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b) = p(a)p(b)\sum_{c} p(c|a, b)$$

$$= p(a)p(b)$$

$$p(a, b, c) = p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b)$$

$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b) = p(a)p(b)\sum_{c} p(c|a, b)$$

$$= p(a)p(b)$$

$$a \perp b|\emptyset$$

$$p(a, b, c) = p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b)$$

$$p(a, b) = \sum_{c} p(a)p(b)p(c|a, b) = p(a)p(b) \sum_{c} p(c|a, b)$$

$$= p(a)p(b)$$

$$a \perp b|\emptyset$$
a and b are independent











a and b not conditionally independent given c

Thursday, September 23, 2010





Node c is head-to-head wrt path

Node c is head-to-head wrt path c'blocks' path from a to b



Node *c* is *head-to-head* wrt path *c* 'blocks' path from *a* to *b* When *c* is observed, it unblocks the path from *a* to *b*

SUMMARY



HEAD-TO-HEAD REVISITED







p(B = 1) = 0.9p(F = 1) = 0.9

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1





prior p(F=0) = 0.1

$$p(F = 0 | G = 0)$$

posterior

p(B =	1) =	= 0.9
p(F =	1) =	= 0.9

В	F	p(G B,F)
1	I	0.8
1	0	0.2
0		0.2
0	0	0.1

В

0

0

0.8

0.2

0.2

0.1





В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	I	0.2
0	0	0.1

$$p(G = 0) = \sum_{B \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{F \in \{0,1\}} p(G = 0, B, F)$$



p(B=1) = 0.9

p(F = 1) = 0.9



p(B = 1) = 0.9p(F = 1) = 0.9

p(F = 0) = 0.1	
n(F - 0 C - 0)	p(G = 0 F = 0)p(F = 0)
p(I = 0 U = 0)	p(G=0)
posterior	

В	F	p(G B,F)
	I	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1

$$p(G = 0) = \sum_{B \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{F \in \{0,1\}} p(G = 0, B, F)$$

$$\sum_{B \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{F \in \{0,1\}} p(G = 0, B, F) (I)$$

$$= \sum_{B \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{F \in \{0,1\}} p(G = 0|B, F) p(B) p(F)$$

prior



p(B = 1) = 0.9p(F = 1) = 0.9

p(F = 0) = 0.1	
n(F - 0 C - 0)	p(G = 0 F = 0)p(F = 0)
p(I) = 0 0 = 0)	p(G=0)
posterior	

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1

$$p(G = 0) = \sum_{B \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{F \in \{0,1\}} p(G = 0, B, F)$$

$$= \sum_{B \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{F \in \{0,1\}} p(G = 0|B, F) p(B) p(F)$$

= 0.315

prior

В

0.8

0.2

0.2

0.1





B

$$p(G = 0|F = 0) = \sum_{B \in \{0,1\}} p(G = 0|B, F = 0)p(B)$$

prior



p(F = 0) = 0.1	p(I) $p(I)$	B = 1) = F = 1) =	= 0.9 = 0.9
n(G = 0 F = 0)n(F = 0)	В	F	p(G B,F)
$p(F = 0 G = 0) = \frac{P(G - 0 F - 0)P(F - 0)}{2}$	I	I	0.8
0.315	I	0	0.2
posterior	0	I	0.2
	0	0	0.1

$$p(G = 0|F = 0) = \sum_{B \in \{0,1\}} p(G = 0|B, F = 0)p(B)$$
$$= 0.81$$

nrior



p(B = 1) = 0.9p(F = 1) = 0.9

G

В

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
- 1	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1


p(B = 1) = 0.9p(F = 1) = 0.9

G

B

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1



p(B =	1)	=	0.9
p(F =	1)	—	0.9

G

B

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1

= 0.257



p(B =	1)	=	0.9
p(F =	1)	=	0.9

G

B

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	I	0.2
0	0	0.1

$$= 0.257$$

$$p(F = 0 | G = 0) > p(F = 0)$$



p(B =	= 1) =	= 0.9
p(F =	= 1) =	= 0.9

G

B

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1

$$= 0.257$$

$$p(F = 0|G = 0) > p(F = 0)$$

osterior prior

EXPLAINING AVVAY

prior

$$p(F = 0) = 0.1$$

$$p(F = 0 | G = 0, B = 0) = 0.111$$

p(B = 1) = 0.9p(F = 1) = 0.9

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1







p(F = 0|G = 0, B = 0) = 0.111

p(B =	1)	=	0.9
p(F =	1)	=	0.9

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	I	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1

p(F = 0|G = 0) > p(F = 0|G = 0, B = 0)





$$p(F = 0) = 0.1$$

$$p(F = 0 | G = 0, B = 0) = 0.111$$

p(B = 1) = 0.9p(F = 1) = 0.9

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	I	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	I	0.2
0	0	0.1

$$p(F = 0|G = 0) > p(F = 0|G = 0, B = 0)$$
$$p(F = 0|G = 0) > p(F = 0)$$



$$p(\vec{F}=0) = 0.1$$

$$p(F = 0 | G = 0, B = 0) = 0.111$$

p(B = 1) = 0.9p(F = 1) = 0.9

В	F	p(G B,F)
I	Ι	0.8
I	0	0.2
0	Ι	0.2
0	0	0.1

$$p(F = 0|G = 0) > p(F = 0|G = 0, B = 0)$$
$$p(F = 0|G = 0) > p(F = 0)$$

F and B become dependent as a result of observing G

SUMMARY



JUDEA PEARL



JUDEA PEARL



DIRECTION-DEPENDENT SEPARATION

JUDEA PEARL



- DIRECTION-DEPENDENT SEPARATION
- A TECHNIQUE TO DETERMINING CONDITIONAL
 INDEPENDENCE PROPERTIES FROM GRAPHICAL MODELS

JUDEA PEARL



- DIRECTION-DEPENDENT SEPARATION
- A TECHNIQUE TO DETERMINING CONDITIONAL
 INDEPENDENCE PROPERTIES FROM GRAPHICAL MODELS
- "IS THE SET OF VARIABLES **A** CONDITIONALLY INDEPENDENT OF THE SET **B** GIVEN THE SET **C**?"

Task: Determine if $A \perp B | C$

Task: Determine if $A \perp B | C$

Consider all possible paths from any node in A to any node in B

Task: Determine if $A \perp B | C$

Consider all possible paths from any node in A to any node in B A path is blocked if it includes a node where either

Task: Determine if $A \perp B | C$

Consider all possible paths from any node in A to any node in B A path is blocked if it includes a node where either

the arrows on the path meet head-to-tail or tail-to-tail at the node, and the node is in C

Task: Determine if $A \perp B | C$

Consider all possible paths from any node in A to any node in B A path is blocked if it includes a node where either

the arrows on the path meet head-to-tail or tail-to-tail at the node, and the node is in C

the arrows on the path meet head-to-head and neither the node nor any of its descendants is in C

Task: Determine if $A \perp B | C$

Consider all possible paths from any node in A to any node in B A path is blocked if it includes a node where either

the arrows on the path meet head-to-tail or tail-to-tail at the node, and the node is in C

the arrows on the path meet head-to-head and neither the node nor any of its descendants is in C

If all paths are blocked then A is d-separated from B by C, then $A\perp B|C$





Thursday, September 23, 2010





p(B|J = TRUE) = ?

 $p(J|A={\rm TRUE})=0.9$



p(B|J = TRUE) = ?

$$p(J|A = \texttt{TRUE}) = 0.9$$

$$p(B = \texttt{TRUE}) = 0.001$$

$$p(J|A = \texttt{TRUE}) = 0.9$$

$$p(J|A = \texttt{FALSE}) = 0.05$$



p(B|J = TRUE) = ?

$$p(J|A = \text{TRUE}) = 0.9$$

 $p(B = \text{TRUE}) = 0.001$
 $p(J|A = \text{TRUE}) = 0.9$
 $p(J|A = \text{FALSE}) = 0.05$



p(B|J = TRUE) = ?

JOHN

In 1000 days:

$$p(J|A = \text{TRUE}) = 0.9$$

 $p(B = \text{TRUE}) = 0.001$
 $p(J|A = \text{TRUE}) = 0.9$
 $p(J|A = \text{FALSE}) = 0.05$



JOHN

In 1000 days: There will be 1 burglary

p(B|J = TRUE) = ?

$$p(J|A = \text{TRUE}) = 0.9$$

 $p(B = \text{TRUE}) = 0.001$
 $p(J|A = \text{TRUE}) = 0.9$
 $p(J|A = \text{FALSE}) = 0.05$



p(B|J = TRUE) = ?

JOHN

In 1000 days: There will be 1 burglary John will call 50 times!

$$p(J|A = \text{TRUE}) = 0.9$$

 $p(B = \text{TRUE}) = 0.001$
 $p(J|A = \text{TRUE}) = 0.9$
 $p(J|A = \text{FALSE}) = 0.05$



$$p(B|J = \text{TRUE}) = ?$$

In 1000 days: There will be 1 burglary John will call 50 times!

 $p(B|J=\mathrm{TRUE})\simeq 0.2$

• WHEN SOME VARIABLES ARE OBSERVED WHAT CAN WE SAY ABOUT THE UNOBSERVED VARIABLES?

- WHEN SOME VARIABLES ARE OBSERVED WHAT CAN WE SAY ABOUT THE UNOBSERVED VARIABLES?
- DIRECTED GRAPH TO SPECIFY MODEL

- WHEN SOME VARIABLES ARE OBSERVED WHAT CAN WE SAY ABOUT THE UNOBSERVED VARIABLES?
- DIRECTED GRAPH TO SPECIFY MODEL
- FACTOR GRAPH FOR INFERENCE AND LEARNING

- WHEN SOME VARIABLES ARE OBSERVED WHAT CAN WE SAY ABOUT THE UNOBSERVED VARIABLES?
- DIRECTED GRAPH TO SPECIFY MODEL
- FACTOR GRAPH FOR INFERENCE AND LEARNING

$$ab + ac = a(b + c)$$
$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s} f_s(\mathbf{x}_s)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s} f_s(\mathbf{x}_s)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s} f_s(\mathbf{x}_s)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s} f_s(\mathbf{x}_s)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s} f_s(\mathbf{x}_s)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s} f_s(\mathbf{x}_s)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_1, x_2) f_c(x_2, x_3) f_d(x_3)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s} f_s(\mathbf{x}_s)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_1, x_2) f_c(x_2, x_3) f_d(x_3)$$









$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{f}$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{f}$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{f} = \frac{p(x_1)p(x_2)p(x_3|x_1, x_2)}{f_a f_b f_c}$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{f} = \frac{p(x_1)p(x_2)p(x_3|x_1, x_2)}{f_a f_b f_c}$$

• TREE OR POLYTREE

- TREE OR POLYTREE
- BELIEF PROPAGATION: SPECIAL CASE

- TREE OR POLYTREE
- BELIEF PROPAGATION: SPECIAL CASE
- GOAL:

- TREE OR POLYTREE
- BELIEF PROPAGATION: SPECIAL CASE
- GOAL:
 - OBTAIN EFFICIENT EXACT ALGORITHM FOR FINDING MARGINALS

- TREE OR POLYTREE
- BELIEF PROPAGATION: SPECIAL CASE
- GOAL:
 - OBTAIN EFFICIENT EXACT ALGORITHM FOR FINDING MARGINALS
 - ALLOW COMPUTATIONS TO BE SHARED EFFICIENTLY







$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$
















$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x})$$

$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\mathbf{x} = \{x, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N\}$$

$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\mathbf{x} = \{x, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N\}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \setminus x : \text{ all of } \mathbf{x} \text{ except } \mathbf{x}$$

$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\mathbf{x} = \{x, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N\}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \setminus x : \text{ all of } \mathbf{x} \text{ except } \mathbf{x}$$

$$p(x) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} p(x, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(x, x_1, x_2)$$





SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM FACTOR TO VARIABLE

$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x})$$

SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM FACTOR TO VARIABLE $p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x}) \qquad p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s \in ne(x)} F_s(x, X_s)$

SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM FACTOR TO VARIABLE $p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x}) \qquad p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s \in ne(x)} F_s(x, X_s)$

ne(x): neighbors of x

SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM FACTOR TO VARIABLE

$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x}) \qquad p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s \in ne(x)} F_s(x, X_s)$$

ne(x): neighbors of x $s \in ne(x)$: a particular neighbor of x

SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM
FACTOR TO VARIABLE

$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x}) \qquad p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s \in ne(x)} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$ne(x) : \text{ neighbors of } x$$

$$s \in ne(x) : \text{ a particular neighbor of } x$$

$$p(x) = \prod_{s \in ne(x)} \left[\sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s) \right]$$

SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM
FACTOR TO VARIABLE

$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x}) \qquad p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s \in ne(x)} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$ne(x) : \text{ neighbors of } x$$

$$s \in ne(x) : \text{ a particular neighbor of } x$$

$$p(x) = \prod_{s \in ne(x)} \left[\sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s) \right]$$

$$= \prod_{s \in ne(x)} \mu_{f_s \to x}(x)$$

SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM
FACTOR TO VARIABLE

$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x}) \qquad p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s \in \operatorname{ne}(x)} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$\operatorname{ne}(x) : \text{ neighbors of } x$$

$$s \in \operatorname{ne}(x) : \text{ a particular neighbor of } x$$

$$p(x) = \prod_{s \in \operatorname{ne}(x)} \left[\sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s) \right]$$

$$= \prod_{s \in \operatorname{ne}(x)} \mu_{f_s \to x}(x)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$





 $F_s(x, X_s)$





$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x} = \sum_X f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \left[\sum_{X_{sm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm}) \right]$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x} = \sum_X f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \left[\sum_{X_{sm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm}) \right]$$
$$= \sum_X f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x} = \sum_X f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \left[\sum_{X_{sm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm}) \right]$$

$$=\sum_{X} f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m)$$

$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m) \equiv \sum_{X_{sm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm})$$



$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$
$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m) \equiv \sum_{X_{sm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm})$$

$$G_m(x_m, X_{sm}) = \prod_{l=n \in (x_m) \setminus f_s} F_l(x_m, X_{ml})$$
$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s(x_m)} = \prod_{l=n \in (x_m) \setminus f_s} \left[\sum_{X_{ml}} F_l(x_m, X_{ml}) \right]$$
$$= \prod_{l=n \in (x_m) \setminus f_s} \mu_{f_l \to x_m}(x_m)$$



$$\mu_{f_s \to x} = \sum_X f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x} = \sum_X f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m)$$

$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s(x_m)} = \prod_{l=n \in (x_m) \setminus f_s} \mu_{f_l \to x_m}(x_m)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x} = \sum_X f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m)$$

$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s(x_m)} = \prod_{l=n \in (x_m) \setminus f_s} \mu_{f_l \to x_m}(x_m)$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x} = \sum_X f_s(x, x_1, \cdots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \operatorname{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m)$$

$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s(x_m)} = \prod_{l=n \in (x_m) \setminus f_s} \mu_{f_l \to x_m}(x_m)$$

Task: Evaluate p(x)

View x as root of factor graph

Initiate message at the leaves of the graph

Recursively pass messages until root has received message from all neighbors

Evaluate the marginal








SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM MARGINAL FOR EVERY NODE



SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM

Task: Efficiently compute marginals for all *x* Pick any *x* as root of factor graph Send message from leaves to root Send message back from root to leaves

Calculate marginal distribution for all x



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$

















 $\mu_{x_1 \to f_a}(x_1) = 1$



$$\mu_{x_1 \to f_a}(x_1) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2)$$



$$\mu_{x_1 \to f_a}(x_1) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\mu_{x_4 \to f_c}(x_4) = 1$$



$$\mu_{x_1 \to f_a}(x_1) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\mu_{x_4 \to f_c}(x_4) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_c(x_2, x_4)$$



$$\mu_{x_1 \to f_a}(x_1) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\mu_{x_4 \to f_c}(x_4) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_c(x_2, x_4)$$

$$\mu_{x_2 \to f_b}(x_2) = \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2)$$



$$\mu_{x_1 \to f_a}(x_1) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\mu_{x_4 \to f_c}(x_4) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_c(x_2, x_4)$$

$$\mu_{x_2 \to f_b}(x_2) = \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2)$$
$$\mu_{f_b \to x_3}(x_3) = \sum_{x_2} f_b(x_2, x_3)\mu_{x_2 \to f_b}(x_2)$$





$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$



 $\mu_{x_3 \to f_b}(x_3) = 1$













$$\mu_{x_3 \to f_b}(x_3) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_3} f_b(x_2, x_3)$$

$$\mu_{x_2 \to f_a}(x_2) = \mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2)$$

$$\mu_{f_a \to x_1}(x_1) = \sum_{x_2} f_a(x_1, x_2) \mu_{x_2 \to f_a}(x_2)$$



$$\mu_{x_3 \to f_b}(x_3) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_3} f_b(x_2, x_3)$$

$$\mu_{x_2 \to f_a}(x_2) = \mu_{fb \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{fc \to x_2}(x_2)$$

$$\mu_{f_a \to x_1}(x_1) = \sum_{x_2} f_a(x_1, x_2) \mu_{x_2 \to f_a}(x_2)$$

$$\mu_{x_2 \to f_c}(x_2) = \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2)$$



$$\mu_{x_3 \to f_b}(x_3) = 1$$

$$\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2) = \sum_{x_3} f_b(x_2, x_3)$$

$$\mu_{x_2 \to f_a}(x_2) = \mu_{fb \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{fc \to x_2}(x_2)$$

$$\mu_{f_a \to x_1}(x_1) = \sum_{x_2} f_a(x_1, x_2) \mu_{x_2 \to f_a}(x_2)$$

$$\mu_{x_2 \to f_c}(x_2) = \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2)$$

$$\mu_{f_c \to x_4}(x_4) = \sum_{x_2} f_c(x_2, x_4) \mu_{x_2 \to f_c}(x_2)$$





$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$

 $p(x_2) = \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2) \mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2) \mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2)$

 $p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$

$$p(x_2) = \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2) \mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2) \mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2)$$
$$= \left[\sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2) \right] \left[\sum_{x_3} f_b(x_2, x_3) \right] \left[\sum_{x_4} f_c(x_2, x_4) \right]$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$

$$p(x_2) = \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2)$$

= $\left[\sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2)\right] \left[\sum_{x_3} f_b(x_2, x_3)\right] \left[\sum_{x_4} f_c(x_2, x_4)\right]$
= $\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_3} \sum_{x_4} f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$

$$p(x_2) = \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2)$$

= $\left[\sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2)\right] \left[\sum_{x_3} f_b(x_2, x_3)\right] \left[\sum_{x_4} f_c(x_2, x_4)\right]$
= $\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_3} \sum_{x_4} f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$
= $\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_3} \sum_{x_4} p(\mathbf{x})$
 $p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$

SUMMARY

- D-SEPARATION
- FACTOR GRAPHS
- SUM-PRODUCT ALGORITHM
- FURTHER READING:
 - MARKOV RANDOM FIELDS
 - MAX-PRODUCT ALGORITHM
 - LOOPY BELIEF PROPAGATION