

UNIT 4C Iteration: Scalability & Big O

Efficiency

- A computer program should be totally correct, but it should also
 - execute as quickly as possible (time-efficiency)
 - use memory wisely (storage-efficiency)
- How do we compare programs (or algorithms in general) with respect to execution time?
 - various computers run at different speeds due to different processors
 - compilers optimize code before execution
 - the same algorithm can be written differently depending on the programming paradigm

Counting Operations

- We measure time efficiency by counting the number of operations performed by the algorithm.
- But what is an operation?
 - assignment statements
 - comparisons

. . .

return statements

Linear Search: Worst Case

```
# let n = the length of list.
def search(list, key)
                                           1
  index = 0
 while index < list.length do
                                           n+1
     if list[index] == key then
                                           n
          return index
     end
     index = index + 1
                                           n
 end
 return nil
                                           3n+3
end
                                Total:
```

Linear Search: Best Case

```
# let n = the length of list.
def search(list, key)
                                           1
  index = 0
 while index < list.length do
                                           1
                                           1
     if list[index] == key then
                                           1
          return index
     end
     index = index + 1
 end
  return nil
end
                                Total:
                                           4
```

Counting Operations

- How do we know that each operation we count takes the same amount of time? (We don't.)
- So generally, we look at the process more abstractly and count whatever operation depends on the amount or size of the data we're processing.
- For linear search, we would count the number of times we compare elements in the array to the key.

Linear Search: Worst Case Simplified

```
# let n = the length of list.
def search(list, key)
  index = 0
 while index < list.length do
     if list[index] == key then
                                          n
          return index
     end
     index = index + 1
 end
  return nil
                                Total:
end
                                          n
```

Linear Search: Best Case Simplified

```
# let n = the length of list.
def search(list, key)
 index = 0
 while index < list.length do
     if list[index] == key then
                                          1
          return index
     end
     index = index + 1
 end
 return nil
                                      1
end
                               Total:
```

Order of Complexity

- For very large n, we express the number of operations as the (time) <u>order of complexity</u>.
- Order of complexity is often expressed using <u>Big-O notation</u>:

Number of operations	<u>Order o</u>	Order of Complexity	
n	O(n)	Usually doesn't	
3n+3	O(n)	matter what the constants are	
2n+8	O(n)	we are only concerned about the highest power	

of n.



O(n)



O(1) ("Constant-Time")



Linear Search

- Worst Case: O(n)
- Best Case: O(1)
- Average Case: ?

Insertion Sort: Worst Case

```
# let n = the length of list.
def isort(list)
     a = list.clone
                                     n
     i = 1
     while i != a.length do
          move left(a, i)
                                     n-1
          i = i + 1
     end
     return a
end
```

Insertion Sort: Worst Case

```
# let n = the length of list.
def move left(a, i)
     x = a.slice!(i)
     j = i-1
     while j \ge 0 && a[j] > x do
                                           i+1
          j = j - 1
     end
     a.insert(j+1, x)
end
```

but how long do **slice!** and **insert** take?

move_left (alternate version)

```
# let n = the length of list.
def move left(a, i)
      \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}[\mathbf{i}]
      j = i-1
      while j \ge 0 \& a[j] \ge x do
                                                    i+1
            a[j+1] = a[j]
             j = j - 1
      end
      a[j+1] = x
end
```

Insertion Sort: Worst Case

- So the total number of operations is n + (n-1 move_left's)
- But each move_left performs i+1 operations, where i varies from 1 to n-1:
- n-1 move_left's = 2 + 3 + 4 + ... + n
- Since 1 + 2 + ... + n = n(n+1)/2, n-1 move_left's = n(n+1)/2 - 1
- The total number of operations is:
 n + n(n+1)/2 1 = n + n²/2 + n/2 1 = n²/2 + 3n/2 1

Order of Complexity

Number of operations	
n ²	
n²/2 + 3n/2 - 1	
2n ² + 7	

Order of Complexity $O(n^2)$ $O(n^2)$ $O(n^2)$ **Usually doesn't** matter what the constants are... we are only concerned about the highest power of n.

O(n²) ("Quadratic")



O(n²)



Insertion Sort

- Worst Case: O(n²)
- Best Case: ?

We'll compare these algorithms with others soon to see how scalable they really are based on their order of complexities.