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Course Logistics

• **Course website**: all you need to know is there
• Homework assignments and a final project, 60%/40% for the final grade
• Homework assignments will be both implementation and question/answering
• Final project: a choice between three different topics, e.g., object manipulation, maze navigation or Atari game playing
• Resources: AWS for those that do not have access to GPUs
• Prerequisites: We will assume comfort with deep neural network architectures, modeling and training, using tensorflow or another deep learning package
• People can audit the course, unless there are no seats left in class
• The readings on the schedule are **required**
Goal of the Course: Learning behaviors

Building agents that learn to act and accomplish goals in dynamic environments
Goal of the Course: Learning behaviours

Building agents that learn to act and accomplish goals in dynamic environments

...as opposed to agents that execute preprogrammed behaviors in a static environment...
Motor control is Important

“The brain evolved, not to think or feel, but to control movement.”

Daniel Wolpert, nice TED talk
The brain evolved, not to think or feel, but to control movement.

Daniel Wolpert, nice TED talk

Sea squirts digest their own brain when they decide not to move anymore
Learning behaviours through reinforcement

Behavior is primarily shaped by reinforcement rather than free-will.

- behaviors that result in praise/pleasure tend to repeat,
- behaviors that result in punishment/pain tend to become extinct.

We will use similar shaping mechanism for learning behaviours in artificial agents.

Video on RL of behaviors in pigeons

Wikipedia
Reinforcement learning

Agent and environment interact at discrete time steps: $t = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$
- Agent observes state at step $t$: $S_t \in S$
- produces action at step $t$: $A_t \in \mathcal{A}(S_t)$
- gets resulting reward: $R_{t+1} \in \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
- and resulting next state: $S_{t+1} \in S^+$
Agent

An entity that is equipped with

• **sensors**, in order to sense the environment,

• **end-effectors** in order to act in the environment, and

• **goals** that she wants to achieve
Actions $A_t$

They are used by the agent to interact with the world. They can have many different temporal granularities and abstractions.

Actions can be defined to be

- The instantaneous torques applied on the gripper
- The instantaneous gripper translation, rotation, opening
- Instantaneous forces applied to the objects
- Short sequences of the above
State estimation: from observations to states

- An **observation** a.k.a. sensation: the (raw) input of the agent’s sensors, images, tactile signal, waveforms, etc.
- A **state** captures whatever information is available to the agent at step t about its environment. The state can include immediate “sensations,” highly processed sensations, and structures built up over time from sequences of sensations, memories etc.
Policy $\pi$

A mapping function from states to actions of the end effectors.

$$\pi(a|s) = \mathbb{P}[A_t = a|S_t = s]$$

It can be a shallow or deep function mapping,

or it can be as complicated as involving a tree look-ahead search.
Reinforcement learning

Learning policies that maximize a reward function by interacting with the world

Note: Rewards can be intrinsic, i.e., generated by the agent and guided by its curiosity as opposed to an external task
Imagine an agent that wants to pick up an object and has a policy that predicts what the actions should be for the next 2 secs ahead. This means, for the next 2 secs we switch off the sensors, and just execute the predicted actions. In the next second, due to imperfect sensing, the object is about to fall over!

Sensing is always imperfect. Our excellent motor skills are due to continuous sensing and updating of the actions. So this loop is in fact extremely short in time.
Rewards $R_t$

They are scalar values provided by the environment to the agent that indicate whether goals have been achieved, e.g., 1 if goal is achieved, 0 otherwise, or -1 for overtime step the goal is not achieved.

• Rewards specify **what** the agent needs to achieve, not **how** to achieve it.

• The simplest and cheapest form of supervision, and surprisingly general: All of what we mean by goals and purposes can be well thought of as the maximization of the cumulative sum of a received scalar signal (reward).
Backgammon

- States: Configurations of the playing board ($\approx 1020$)
- Actions: Moves
- Rewards:
  - win: +1
  - lose: −1
  - else: 0
Learning to Drive

- States: Road traffic, weather, time of day
- Actions: steering wheel, break
- Rewards:
  - +1 reaching goal not over-tired
  - -1: honking from surrounding drivers
  - -100: collision
Cart Pole

• States: Pole angle and angular velocity
• Actions: Move left right
• Rewards:
  • 0 while balancing
  • -1 for imbalance
Peg in Hole Insertion Task

- States: Joint configurations (7DOF)
- Actions: Torques on joints
- Rewards: Penalize jerky motions, inversely proportional to distance from target pose
Goal-seeking behavior of an agent can be formalized as the behavior that seeks maximization of the expected value of the cumulative sum of (potentially time discounted) rewards, we call it return.

We want to maximize returns.

\[ G_t = R_{t+1} + R_{t+2} + \cdots + R_T \]
Dynamics p a.k.a. the Model

• How the states and rewards change given the actions of the agent

\[
p(s', r | s, a) = \mathbb{P}\{S_t = s', R_t = r | S_{t-1} = s, A_{t-1} = a\}
\]

• Transition function or next step function:

\[
T(s' | s, a) = p(s' | s, a) = \mathbb{P}\{S_t = s' | S_{t-1} = s, A_{t-1} = a\} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}} p(s', r | s, a)
\]
"the idea that we **predict the consequences of our motor commands** has emerged as an important theoretical concept in all aspects of sensorimotor control"
**Planning**: unrolling (querying) a model forward in time and selecting the best action sequence that satisfies a specific goal

**Plan**: a sequence of actions

![Diagram of Agent, Environment, and The Model]
Value Functions are Expected Returns

The state-value function $v_\pi(s)$ of an MDP is the expected return starting from state $s$, and then following policy $\pi$

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | S_t = s]$$

The action-value function $q_\pi(s, a)$ is the expected return starting from state $s$, taking action $a$, and then following policy

$$q_\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a]$$
Reinforcement learning—and why we like it

Learning policies that maximize a reward function by interacting with the world

- It is considered the most biologically plausible form of learning
- It addresses the full problem of making artificial agents that act in the world end-to-end, so it is driven by the right loss function

...in contrast to, for example, pixel labelling
Learning to Act

Learning to map sequences of observations to actions

observations: inputs from our sensor
Learning to Act

Learning to map sequences of observations to actions, for a particular goal.
Learning to map sequences of observations to actions, for a particular goal.

Learning to Act
Learning to Act

Learning to map sequences of observations to actions, for a particular goal

The mapping from sensory input to actions can be quite complex, much beyond a feedforward mapping of ~30 layers! It may involve mental evaluation of alternatives, unrolling of a model, model updates, closed loop feedback, retrieval of relevant memories, hypothesis generation, etc.
Limitations of Learning by Interaction

- Can we think of goal directed behavior learning problems that cannot be modeled or are not meaningful using the MDP framework and a trial-and-error Reinforcement learning framework?

- The agent should have the chance to try (and fail) enough times

- This is impossible if episode takes too long, e.g., reward=“obtain a great Ph.D.”

- This is impossible when safety is a concern: we can’t learn to drive via reinforcement learning in the real world, failure cannot be tolerated

Q: what other ways humans use to learn to act in the world?
Value Functions reflect our knowledge about the world

We are social animals and learn from one another: We imitate and we communicate our value functions to one another through natural language.

“don’t play video games else your social skills will be impacted”

Value functions capture the knowledge of the agent regarding how good is each state for the goal he is trying to achieve.
Other forms of supervision for learning behaviours?

In this course, we will also visit the first two forms of supervision.

1. Learning from rewards
2. Learning from demonstrations
3. Learning from specifications of optimal behavior
Behavior: High Jump

1. Learning from **rewards**
   
   Reward: jump as high as possible: It took years for athletes to find the right behavior to achieve this

2. Learning from **demonstrations**
   
   It was way easier for athletes to perfection the jump, once someone showed the right general trajectory

3. Learning from **specifications of optimal behavior**
   
   For novices, it is much easier to replicate this behavior if additional guidance is provided based on specifications: where to place the foot, how to time yourself etc.
How learning to act is different than other machine learning paradigms, e.g., object detection?
How learning to act is different than other machine learning paradigms?

- The agent’s actions affect the data she will receive in the future
How learning behaviors is different than other machine learning paradigms?

- The agent’s actions affect the data she will receive in the future:
  - The data the agent receives are sequential in nature, not i.i.d. (independent and identically distributed)
  - Bad policies will never lead you to collect better data.
Learning to Act

How learning behaviors is different than other machine learning paradigms?

1) The agent’s actions affect the data she will receive in the future

2) The reward (whether the goal of the behavior is achieved) is far in the future:
   - Temporal credit assignment: which actions were important and which were not, is hard to know
How learning behaviors is different than other machine learning paradigms?

1) The agent’s actions affect the data she will receive in the future

2) The reward (whether the goal of the behavior is achieved) is far in the future:

3) Actions take time to carry out in the real world, we want to minimize the amount of interaction
Learning to Act

How learning behaviors is different than other machine learning paradigms?

1) The agent’s actions affect the data she will receive in the future
2) The reward (whether the goal of the behavior is achieved) is far in the future:
3) Actions take time to carry out in the real world, we want to minimize the amount of interaction

Reminds of active learning! we want to ask humans for labels and we want to choose the queries carefully to minimize human involvement

A lecture by Marc Toussaint that shows how those problems are interrelated
How learning behaviors is different than other machine learning paradigms?

1) The agent’s actions affect the data she will receive in the future
2) The reward (whether the goal of the behavior is achieved) is far in the future:
3) Actions take time to carry out in the real world, we want to minimize the amount of interaction
   1) We can use simulated experience and tackle the sim2real transfer
Learning Behaviors

How learning behaviors is different than other machine learning paradigms?

1) The agent’s actions affect the data she will receive in the future

2) The reward (whether the goal of the behavior is achieved) is far in the future:

3) Actions take time to carry out in the real world, and thus this may limit the amount of experience
   - We can use simulated experience and tackle the sim2real transfer
   - We can have robots working 24/7
Supersizing Self-supervision: Learning to Grasp from 50K Tries and 700 Robot Hours, Pinto and Gupta
How learning behaviors is different than other machine learning paradigms?

1) The agent’s actions affect the data she will receive in the future.

2) The reward (whether the goal of the behavior is achieved) is far in the future:

3) Actions take time to carry out in the real world, and thus this may limit the amount of experience.
   - We can use simulated experience and tackle the sim2real transfer.
   - We can have robots working 24/7.
   - We can buy many robots.
Google’s Robot Farm
Successes so far
Q1: Is this a machine learning achievement?
Q2: What is machine learning / artificial intelligence?
A2: The discipline that develops agents that learn and improve with experience (Tom Mitchell)
A1: No, it is not. Brute-force manual development of a board evaluation function
Backgammon
Backgammon

How is it different than chess?
Backgammon

High branching factor due to dice roll prohibits brute force deep searches such as in chess
Neuro-Gammon

- Developed by Gerald Tesauro in 1989 in IBM’s research center
- Trained to mimic expert demonstrations using supervised learning
- Achieved intermediate-level human player
TD-Gammon

• Developed by Gerald Tesauro in 1992 in IBM’s research center
• A neural network that trains itself to be an evaluation function by playing against itself starting from random weights
• Achieved performance close to top human players of its time

Neuro-Gammon

• Developed by Gerald Tesauro in 1989 in IBM’s research center
• Trained to mimic expert demonstrations using supervised learning
• Achieved intermediate-level human player
Evaluation function
Self-Driving Cars
Self-Driving Cars

Policy network $\pi$: mapping of observations to actions

ALVINN, an autonomous land vehicle in a neural network

Dean A. Pomerleau
Carnegie Mellon University
Self-Driving Cars

- behavior cloning- learning from the human driver
- data augmentation to deal with compounding errors

ALVINN (Autonomous Land Vehicle In a Neural Network), Efficient Training of Artificial Neural Networks for Autonomous Navigation, Pomerleau 1991
Self-Driving Cars

- Currently: much better computer vision front end: object detection, trajectory forecasting etc.
- Open problem: learning reward functions from humans on how to behave on intersections, crowds, traffic jams, etc.
Atari

Deep Q learning

Deep Mind 2014+
Montezuma’s Revenge with Go-Explore

Idea: arXiv your successes
GO
AlphaGo

- Monte Carlo Tree Search with neural nets
- expert demonstrations
- self play
AlphaGo

Policy net trained to mimic expert moves, and then fine-tuned using self-play
AlphaGo

Policy net trained to mimic expert moves, and then fine-tuned using self-play.
Value network trained with regression to predict the outcome, using self-play data of the best policy.
Policy net trained to mimic expert moves, and then fine-tuned using self-play.

Value network trained with regression to predict the outcome, using self-play data of the best policy.

At test time, policy and value nets guide a MCTS to select stronger moves by deep look ahead.
AlphaGo

Tensor Processing Unit from Google
AlphaGoZero

• No human supervision!
• MCTS to select great moves during training and testing!
AlphaGoZero

Self-play

Search Tree

$\pi_1 \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow \pi_3 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \pi_T$
AlphaGoZero

(a) Self-play

$A_1 \sim \pi_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow a_2 \sim \pi_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_t \sim \pi_t \rightarrow s_T$

(b) Neural network training

$A_1 \sim \pi_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow a_2 \sim \pi_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_t \sim \pi_t \rightarrow s_T$
AlphaGoZero
Go Versus the real world

How the world of Alpha Go is different than the real world?

1. **Known environment** (known entities and dynamics) Vs **Unknown environment** (unknown entities and dynamics).

2. Need for behaviors to **transfer** across environmental variations since the real world is very diverse.

3. **Discrete Vs Continuous actions**

4. **One goal Vs many goals**

5. **Rewards automatic VS rewards need themselves to be detected**
How the world of Alpha Go is different than the real world?

1. **Known environment** (known entities and dynamics) Vs **Unknown environment** (unknown entities and dynamics).

2. Need for behaviors to **transfer** across environmental variations since the real world is very diverse.
Go Versus the real world

How the world of Alpha Go is different than the real world?

1. **Known environment** (known entities and dynamics) **Vs Unknown environment** (unknown entities and dynamics).

2. Need for behaviors to transfer across environmental variations since the real world is very diverse.

**State estimation**: To be able to act you need first to be able to see, detect the objects that you interact with, detect whether you achieved your goal.
Most works are between two extremes:

- Assuming the world model known (object locations, shapes, physical properties obtain via AR tags or manual tuning), they use planners to search for the action sequence to achieve a desired goal.
State estimation

Most works are between two extremes:

- Assuming the world model known (object locations, shapes, physical properties obtain via AR tags or manual tuning), they use planners to search for the action sequence to achieve a desired goal.

- Do not attempt to detect any objects and learn to map RGB images directly to actions.
Go Versus the real world

How the world of Go is different than the real world?

1. Known environment (known entities and dynamics) Vs Unknown environment (unknown entities and dynamics).

2. Need for behaviors to transfer across environmental variations since the real world is very diverse.

3. Discrete Vs Continuous actions

4. One goal Vs many goals

5. Rewards automatic VS rewards need themselves to be detected
How the world of Go is different than the real world?

1. Known environment (known entities and dynamics) Vs Unknown environment (unknown entities and dynamics).

2. Need for behaviors to transfer across environmental variations since the real world is very diverse.

3. Discrete Vs Continuous actions (curriculum learning, progressively add degrees of freedom).

4. One goal Vs many goals.

5. Rewards automatic VS rewards need themselves to be detected.
Go Versus the real world

How the world of Go is different than the real world?

1. **Known environment** (known entities and dynamics) Vs **Unknown environment** (unknown entities and dynamics).

2. Need for behaviors to **transfer** across environmental variations since the real world is very diverse.

3. **Discrete Vs Continuous actions** (curriculum learning, progressively add degrees of freedom)

4. **One goal Vs many goals** (generalized policies parametrized by the goal, Hindsight Experience Replay)

5. **Rewards automatic VS rewards need themselves to be detected**
Alpha Go Versus the real world

How the world of Go is different than the real world?

1. **Known environment** (known entities and dynamics) Vs **Unknown environment** (unknown entities and dynamics).

2. Need for behaviors to **transfer** across environmental variations since the real world is very diverse.

3. **Discrete Vs Continuous actions** (curriculum learning, progressively add degrees of freedom).

4. **One goal Vs many goals** (generalized policies parametrized by the goal, Hindsight Experience Replay).

5. **Rewards automatic VS rewards need themselves to be detected** (learning perceptual rewards, use Computer Vision to detect success).
What we will cover in this course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic (slides)</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>Readings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/15</td>
<td>Course Introduction</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1, 6H Ch1, Ch16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/17</td>
<td>Imitation via Behavior Cloning</td>
<td>HW1 is out</td>
<td>[20, 22, 23, 36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/18</td>
<td>RECIATION: tense flow, keras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/22</td>
<td>Introduction to policy search</td>
<td></td>
<td>[SB, Ch 3, 4, 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/24</td>
<td>Monte Carlo learning and temporal difference learning in tabular MDPs</td>
<td></td>
<td>[SB, Ch 5, 6, 7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/25</td>
<td>RECIATION: OPENAI gym, AWS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/29</td>
<td>Bayesian bandits, Monte Carlo tree search</td>
<td>HW2 is out, HW1 is due</td>
<td>[SB Ch 2, Ch 14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/31</td>
<td>Monte Carlo learning and temporal difference learning with value function approximation</td>
<td></td>
<td>[SB Ch 9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03</td>
<td>Deep Q learning</td>
<td></td>
<td>[4, 5, 6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/07</td>
<td>Policy gradients, actor-critic methods</td>
<td></td>
<td>[SB Ch 13, 71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12</td>
<td>Policy gradients, actor-critic methods, DDPG</td>
<td>HW3 is out, HW2 is due</td>
<td>[SB Ch 13, 7, 14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/14</td>
<td>Monte Carlo tree search with neural networks, AlphaGo, AlphaGoZero</td>
<td></td>
<td>[2, 3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/19</td>
<td>Model learning and model-based RL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/21</td>
<td>Model learning and model-based RL</td>
<td>HW3 is due</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/26</td>
<td>Natural policy gradients</td>
<td></td>
<td>[12, 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/28</td>
<td>Exploration, intrinsic motivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>[9, 10, 11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/03</td>
<td>Exploration, intrinsic motivation</td>
<td>HW4 is out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/07</td>
<td>HJI/R4-R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/12</td>
<td>SPRING BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/14</td>
<td>SPRING BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/19</td>
<td>Maximum-entropy RL</td>
<td>HW4 is due, HW3 is out</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/21</td>
<td>Multigait RL</td>
<td></td>
<td>[17, 18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/26</td>
<td>RL with auxiliary objectives, transfer learning, hierarchical RL.</td>
<td>HW4 is due, HW3 is out</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28</td>
<td>Advanced evolutionary methods</td>
<td></td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/30</td>
<td>Learning to imitate by watching videos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/04</td>
<td>Generative adversarial imitation learning</td>
<td>HW5 is due</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/09</td>
<td>BUFFER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/11</td>
<td>NO CLASSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/16</td>
<td>Special topic: experimental design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/18</td>
<td>Special topic: visual perception in RL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/23</td>
<td>Special topic: learning to navigate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/25</td>
<td>Special topic: curriculum learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/30</td>
<td>Project presentation and discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/02</td>
<td>Very recent advances and open problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AI’s paradox
Go Versus the real world

Beating the world champion is easier than moving the Go stones.
"it is comparatively easy to make computers exhibit adult level performance on intelligence tests or playing checkers, and difficult or impossible to give them the skills of a one-year-old when it comes to perception and mobility"
"we're more aware of simple processes that don't work well than of complex ones that work flawlessly"

Marvin Minsky
We should expect the difficulty of reverse-engineering any human skill to be roughly proportional to the amount of time that skill has been evolving in animals. The oldest human skills are largely unconscious and so appear to us to be effortless. Therefore, we should expect skills that appear effortless to be difficult to reverse-engineer, but skills that require effort may not necessarily be difficult to engineer at all.
What is AI?

Intelligence was "best characterized as the things that highly educated male scientists found challenging", such as chess, symbolic integration, proving mathematical theorems and solving complicated word algebra problems.
What is AI?

*intelligence was "best characterized as the things that highly educated male scientists found challenging", such as chess, *symbolic integration*, proving *mathematical theorems* and solving complicated word algebra problems. "The things that children of four or five years could do effortlessly, such as visually distinguishing between a coffee cup and a chair, or walking around on two legs, or finding their way from their bedroom to the living room were not thought of as activities requiring intelligence."*
intelligence was "best characterized as the things that highly educated male scientists found challenging", such as chess, symbolic integration, proving mathematical theorems and solving complicated word algebra problems. "The things that children of four or five years could do effortlessly, such as visually distinguishing between a coffee cup and a chair, or walking around on two legs, or finding their way from their bedroom to the living room were not thought of as activities requiring intelligence."

No cognition. Just sensing and action
Learning from Babies

- Be multi-modal
- Be incremental
- Be physical
- Explore
- Be social
- Learn a language