Samir Jindel Logan Brooks

#### Abstract

Suboptimal memory management continues to cause errors and inefficiency in both languages with manual deallocation and those with automated systems such as a garbage collector. Ideally, a compiler would be able to

• identify mistakes in manual approaches, and

• when possible, replace garbage collection with less costly schemes, such as reference counting or explicit malloc-free pairs.

We present a system that enables some such checks and optimizations by building a graph representing the structure of objects on the heap. Since the object graph can be arbitrarily large, we use a simplified version of plate models (from Bayesian network literature) to represent and reason about recursive structures.

#### Representing object graphs with plates

Compressed object graphs (COGs) consist of

 concrete nodes, representing a single or multiple location in memory with type and other information;

• edges, representing possible points-to information for node fields; and

• plate nodes, representing a copy of a template COG.

In the figures, white-filled nodes are heap-allocated nodes. Gray-filled nodes are nodes for stack and global variables. Node null represents the abstract "object" of any type at 0x0. Edge labels correspond to field names. Bounded boxes represent plate node boundaries. An edge that crosses the boundary of a plate, pointing into that plate, should be viewed as pointing to a node in a new copy of the contents of the plate.

# Special Node and Edge Types

Labeled node: node directly accessible in program (stack/args/globals) Singular node: node with a single possible location in memory Generative node of a plate: node to which all incoming edges to the plate must point; owns all other nodes in a plate Fixed node: nodes, such as labeled nodes for the current function and its call-ancestors, that should not be collapsed into a plate node Singular edge: represents a single possible edge in the full object graph

### Some Operations on Compressed Object Graphs

**Plate recognition**: identification of unlabeled subgraphs that are isomorphic to a known plate pattern; candidate generative node must dominate rest of subgraph

**Plate contraction**: combination of two matching plate nodes with identical outgoing edges into a single plate node

**Plate expansion**: generation of fresh unlabeled nodes according to plate node; potentially generates new plate nodes

**Edge following**: plate expansion to ensure that an edge points to a nonplate node

Graph merge: union of node and edge sets of a set of graphs
Node contraction: combination of two nodes into a nonsingular node, copying incoming and outgoing edges (possibly losing precision)
Graph pruning: removal of heap nodes unreachable from labeled nodes



Figure : Plate representation of a pointer, mylist, to a linked list; each linked list node points to a unique, "owned", non-null data element.



Relating Two Compressed Object Graphs

**Isomorphism**: bijection f between all nodes in graph A and all those in graph B preserving

• node labels, singularity, fixation, edge direction, as well as

• edge direction, field labeling, and singularity.

**Subisomorphism**: isomorphism between a graph A (e.g., a plate template) and a subgraph B' of another graph B

## One Object Graph Construction Routine

Algorithm 1 Process assignment $x = y f$ in COC C
Algorithm 1 Frocess assignment $x = y.j$ in COG G
if $x$ is singular <b>then</b>
Remove edges leaving $x$
end if
for every edge $e$ leaving $y$ with field $\star$ do
FOLLOWEDGE(e, G)
Let $s$ be the concrete node for variable $tail(e)$
<b>for</b> every edge $e'$ leaving $y$ <b>do</b>
FOLLOWEDGE(e', G)
Copy create an edge from $x$ to $tail(e')$ with field $\star$
end for
end for

#### Module Analysis

**Intraprocedural analysis:** For intraprocedural analysis, we use the usual dataflow algorithm. However, COGs do not follow a lattice structure, so the normal approach to proving termination is unsuccessful. Provided that we have a suitable database of plate templates or contract nodes to stay within a size limit, the algorithm should still terminate, though.

**Interprocedural analysis:** When calling a function, we copy the current object graph, replace the current labeling with labels of the current function arguments and globals, and prune. On exit, we perform a similar graph restriction on the return value. The inputs and outputs are cached for efficiency and as a step towards more complicated interprocedural analysis handling recursive calls.

Figure : COG for a binary tree with non-pointer-type data included within each node

# Checks, Optimizations, and Information from the Object Graph **Checks**:

• Detect possible/definite dereferences of null/freed/uninitialized pointers: "compile-time valgrind".

# **Optimizations**:

- Reference-count acyclical recursive structures.
- Compile-time garbage collection on the compressed object graph can be used to generate custom destructors.

# **Ownership information**:

- All incoming pointers to a simplified plate must point to the same "generative node".
- The generative node of a plate owns all other nodes within the plate.

# Example Code and Output

typedef struct Peano {
 struct Peano \*pred;
 float data;
} Peano;

int main() {
 Peano \*asdf = build();
 return 0;



Peano \*build() {
 Peano \*result = (Peano\*)malloc(
 sizeof(Peano));
 result->pred = NULL;

```
unsigned i = 0;
do {
  Peano *temp = (Peano*)malloc(
    sizeof(Peano));
  temp->pred = result;
  result = temp;
} while(++i < 100);</pre>
```

return result;

Figure : C program constructing a linked list with data internal to the linked list nodes, in a cons-cell fashion, and corresponding COG returned from build