A ROTATION, SCALING, AND TRANSLATION INVARIANT PATTERN CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CEM YÜCEER and KEMAL OFLAZER[†]

Department of Computer Engineering and Information Science, Bilkent University, Bilkent, Ankara 06533, Turkey

(Received 25 March 1992; in revised form 21 October 1992; received for publication 28 October 1992)

Abstract—This paper describes a hybrid pattern classification system based on a pattern preprocessor and an artificial neural network classifier that can recognize patterns even when they are deformed by transformation of rotation, scaling, and translation or a combination of these. After a description of the system architecture we provide experimental results from three different classification domains: classification of letters in the English alphabet, classification of the letters in the Japanese Katakana alphabet, and classification of geometric figures. For the first problem, our system can recognize patterns deformed by a single transformation with well over 90% success ratio and with 89% success ratio when all three transformations are applied. For the second problem, the system performs very good for patterns deformed by scaling and translation but worse (about 75%) when rotations are involved. For the third problem, the success ratio is almost 100% when only a single transformation is applied and 88% when all three transformations are applied. The system is general purpose and has a reasonable noise tolerance.

Deformation invariant pattern classification Pattern recognition Artificial neural networks

1. INTRODUCTION

The recent interest in artificial neural networks, machine learning, and parallel computation has led to renewed research in the area of pattern recognition. Pattern recognition aims to extract information about the image and/or classify its contents. Systems having pattern recognition ability have many possible applications in a wide variety of areas, from simple object existence checks, through identity verification, to robot guidance in space exploration. Pattern classification, a subfield of pattern recognition, is concerned with determining whether the pattern in an input image belongs to one of the predefined classes. Early pattern classification research performed in the 1960s and 1970s focused on asymptotic properties of classifiers, on demonstrating convergence of density estimators, and on providing bounds for error rates. Many, researchers studied parametric Bayesian classifiers where the form of input distributions is assumed to be known and parameters of distributions are estimated using techniques that require simultaneous access to all training data. These classifiers, especially those that assume Gaussian distributions, are still the most widely used since they are simple and are clearly described in a number of textbooks.^(1,2) However, the thrust of recent research has changed. More attention is being paid to practical issues as pattern classification techniques are being applied to speech, vision, robotics, and artificial intelligence applications where real-time response with complex real world data is necessary. In all cases, pattern classification systems should be

able to learn while or before performing, and make decisions depending on the recognition result.

Developing pattern recognition systems is usually a two-stage process. First the designer should carefully examine the characteristics of the pattern environment. This involves lengthy experimentation. The result is a set of features chosen to represent the original input image. Second, the designer should choose from a variety of techniques to classify the pattern which is now in feature representation. The stage of feature determination and extraction strictly determines the success of the system, since from thereon the image is represented by this feature form. Therefore, it is highly desired that the classification system itself should extract the necessary features to differentiate the example patterns that represent each class. In other words, the system should be automated to work by itself and should not depend on the human designer's success in defining the features. Further, these features should be chosen such that they should tolerate the differentiation between the patterns in the same class. The system should also have the ability to perform the classification in a rotation, scaling, and translation invariant manner. This effect is typical when the scanning device, suppose a camera, changes its orientation or distance from the specimen. Hence the image fed to the system may contain a pattern that is rotated, scaled, or translated compared to its original form when it was first presented to the system. For such a case, either the system should employ features that are invariant to such transformations or there should be a preprocessor to maintain the rotational, scaling, and translation invariancy. Even for a limited system designed for classifying only a deter-

[†] Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

mined type of patterns—an optical character classifier, or an identity verifier—it is hard to find features that extract useful information while maintaining the mentioned invariances. The problem will be impractical if such a system is intended for general purpose classification to classify any type of patterns.

The scope of this work has been to develop a general purpose pattern classification system which can classify patterns even if they are deformed by transformations like rotation, scaling, and translation or a combination of them. The system is based on a preprocessor front-end and an artificial neural networks back-end. Artificial neural networks have recently been used for automatic feature extraction and/or pattern classification mainly owing to their learning algorithms, generalization ability and noise tolerance.⁽³⁻⁸⁾

The paper is organized as follows: after a brief overview of the pattern classification problem we overview the relevant work in pattern classification and introduce some concepts from artificial neural networks. We then describe in detail the structure of the proposed pattern classification system and give experimental results on three different pattern classification domains: the English alphabet, the Japanese Katakana alphabet, and set of five geometric symbols. The summary and conclusions are followed by two appendices including the mathematical derivations of the two groups of formulae given in the text.

2. PATTERN RECOGNITION AND NEURAL NETWORKS

Pattern recognition deals with the identification or interpretation of the pattern in an image. It aims to extract information about the image and/or classify its contents. For some simple and frequently encountered patterns, the recognition process can be a straightforward task. However, when patterns are complex or when pattern characteristics cannot be predicted beforehand, then one needs a high-level system to perform pattern recognition. The problem attempted in this work is a subclass of the general pattern recognition problem. The aim is to classify the pattern in an input image using the information that was extracted from the example patterns previously supplied to the system. Inputs are in the form of digitized binary-valued two-dimensional (2D) images containing the pattern to be classified. This representation of the 2D image is defined and used throughout the text as the pixel-map form of the image.

2.1. Overview of pattern classification

Pattern classification is concerned with determining whether the pattern in an input image belongs to one of the predefined classes. There are two major pattern classification techniques: template matching and feature extraction. Template matching compares the pixelmap of the test pattern with a number of stored pixel-maps until an exact match or a match with tolerable error is found. It is a top-down process in the sense that the trial procedure does not depend on the test pattern in any way. On the other hand, feature extraction is the process of converting the pixel-map representation of the input image of a group of quantitative descriptors called feature values. These features are usually predefined by the designer and chosen to be independent from each other.^(4,5,7) Pattern classification using feature extraction usually starts by detection of some limited number of features. These features are chosen to distinguish most of the previously stored patterns. If a non-acceptable result is obtained, classification continues with some other features until a unique decision is made. It is important to note that the feature extraction process which converts the input image to a set of quantitative values should preserve the discrimination information throughout and after the conversion. In order to attain a high success ratio in classification, features should satisfy the following two requirements:(7)

• Small intraclass invariance. Patterns with similar shapes and similar general characteristics should end up with numerically close numbers for the features.

• Large interclass separation. Patterns from different classes should evaluate to features which have quite different quantitative values. In other words, the patterns from different classes should differ in one or more features so that discrimination can be made.

Template matching gets both computation and memory intensive when the resolution of the stored patterns increases, or when the patterns get more complex. In addition, template matching is sensitive to the exact orientation, size, and location of the object unless rotation, scaling, and translation invariant autocorrelation techniques are used. Consider the case of complex patterns which are 2D perspective projections of three-dimensional (3D) objects, the patterns will be highly effected by the orientation and position of the objects, hence some form of generalized template matching will be required.

The computation and memory requirements for classification with feature extraction are less severe than template matching. In most applications, computers having moderate computing power are employed to generate the Fourier descriptors of the perimeter lines in a silhouette of the pattern. For higher level tasks, artificial intelligence techniques are used in analyzing the information embedded in the skeletonized form of the patterns. The fundamental problem with feature extraction is that important information may be lost in the extensive data reduction at the feature extraction stage.

2.2. Pattern classification with neural networks

There are two main approaches to pattern classification using artificial neural networks. The first approach uses feature extraction and then employs artificial neural networks to perform the classification on the feature values. Examples of such work are extraction of image information using regular moments,⁽⁹⁾ Zernike moments,⁽⁷⁾ Fourier descriptors,⁽⁹⁻¹²⁾ autoregressive models,⁽¹³⁾ image representation by circular harmonic expansion,⁽¹⁴⁾ syntactic pattern recognition applications,⁽¹⁵⁾ Karhunen-Loéve expansion,⁽¹⁶⁾ polar-coordinate Fourier transform,⁽¹⁷⁾ transforming the image to another 2D representation.⁽¹⁸⁾ Kollias et al. have transformed the input image to another 2D representation, called (a, b) plane, and performed classification using higher-order networks.⁽¹⁸⁾ Khotanzad et al. have used Zernike moments to achieve rotational invariancy in classification.⁽⁷⁾ They have computed some finite number of Zernike moments of the given image and performed classification on these moment values. Le Cun et al. first skeletonized the input patterns and then scanned the whole image with a 7×7 window, with the window templates designed to detect certain predefined features.⁽⁴⁾ The classification is performed on the computed feature values. Kirby et al. used the Karhunen-Loéve expansion of the input image as its feature representation and performed classification on the expansion.⁽¹⁶⁾

A second and newly developing approach lets the features be determined and extracted by the artificial neural network itself. Martin *et al.* fed a neural network size-normalized gray scale image and report that "the generalization performance is surprisingly insensitive to characteristics of the network architecture, as long as enough training samples are used and there is sufficient capacity to support training to high levels".⁽¹⁹⁾

2.3. Overview of artificial neural networks

Artificial neural networks are computational models inspired by the structure of the human brain. They are massively parallel networks comprising a large number of simple processing units, called artificial neurons. The neuron structure given in Fig. 1 is the basic building block of such networks. It performs a weighted summation over its inputs, which may be the output of other neurons or may be coming from the external environment. The threshold, a local value for each neuron, is added to the sum. Then an activation function—also called the limiting or squash-



Fig. 1. Structure and function of a single artificial neuron.



Fig. 2. Some activation functions for the artificial neuron.

ing function—is applied on the resulting sum to determine the neuron's output value. Various sigmoids, and hard-limiting (thresholding) functions are widely used activation function types, some of which are shown in Fig. 2.

The weights associated with the inter-neuron connections represent the influence of its inputs over its output value. Depending on the sign of the weight an input may *excite* or *inhibit* the neuron. Most neural network architectures learn to respond to their inputs correctly by going through a training process during which the weights between the neurons are adjusted.

The most common of the architectures of artificial neural networks is the multilayer feed-forward network architecture where neurons are grouped as layers and connections between neurons in consecutive layers are permitted. One end of the layered structure is called the input layer, while the other end the output layer. The inputs are fed from the input layer and the outputs are produced from the output layer. The hidden layer(s) between the input and output layers extract salient features from the input data and develop internal representations for those relevant features.

3. THE PATTERN CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Feed-forward type neural networks, when used as pattern classifiers directly, are highly sensitive to transformations like rotation, scaling and translation in the input. This behavior emerges from the fact that throughout the training phase the area of interest that the network mainly concentrates on is the region where the pattern lies. Hence the weights associated with the input pixels that are out of this region decay to zero during training. Although the neurons in the first layer perform a weighted summation over the values of all pixels, these null weights block the information that may arise from the corresponding pixels. Thus a transformation that pushes the pattern out of this region, degrades the classification performance of the network dramatically. Therefore, in order to obtain a high success ratio in classification the system should provide rotation, scaling, and translation correction before attempting to classify. Such invariancy can be achieved by a preprocessor with the following properties:

• The preprocessor should map the patterns that are distorted by transformations or noise to a reasonably stable output pattern.



Fig. 4. Block diagram of the preprocessor.

• The mapping algorithm should be easy to compute. That is, it should not increase the overall complexity of the recognition system. A preprocessor that is much slower than the classifier and/or the interpreter would turn out to be a bottleneck increasing the classification time per pattern.

Selecting good features for relatively complex patterns, like the human face or finger prints, turns out to be impractical or even impossible.⁽¹⁶⁾ The problem is more acute when there is no prior knowledge on the patterns to be classified. Therefore, a system to automatically extract the useful features is essential. Artificial neural networks extract information during the training process.⁽²⁰⁾ The hidden layers and the neurons in the hidden layers detect the relevant features in the images.

The pattern classification system introduced in this work, RST, has a modular structure consisting of three main blocks, a preprocessor, a classifier, and an interpreter. The blocks are cascaded in order such that the original image is first preprocessed, then classified, and finally the results are interpreted. Figure 3 shows the block diagram for the pattern classification system.

3.1. PREP1: the preprocessor with radial scaling correction

The function of the preprocessor is to provide rotational, scaling, and translation invariancy on the input image. Both the input and the output of the preprocessor are images in pixel-map form. The preprocessor has three cascaded blocks R-Block, S-Block, and T-Block. The block diagram of the system is given in Fig. 4. The R-Block maintains rotational invariancy, the S-Block maintains scaling invariancy, T-Block maintains translational invariancy.

The order in which the blocks are cascaded is determined mainly by the functional dependencies between these blocks. In the first implementation of the preprocessor, PREP1, the T-Block comes first, the S-Block second, and R-Block last. Since the scaling and rotation operations need a proper pivot point to function on, the T-Block is positioned before the two blocks. The origin of the pixel-map output by this block will be the pivot point for the scaling and rotation blocks. Further, placing the S-Block in front of the R-Block will bring the following two advantages:

• The S-Block will prevent the pattern from flowing out of the image by a rotation operation. Consider an image containing a line pattern where the line diagonally extends between the corner points. Since our grid is of rectangular type, not a circular grid, the two ends of this pattern will flow out of the image after a rotation of 45 deg. However, performing scaling correction beforehand would establish a radial boundary for the pattern.

• The S-Block will adjust the number of pixels that are on-pixels and will regulate the information flowing into the R-Block. The performance of the R-Block degrades when the number of on-pixels is only a small portion of the total number of pixels. Hence, performing scaling correction will enable a better performance in providing rotational invariancy.

3.1.1. The T-Block. The T-Block maintains translational invariancy by computing the center of gravity of the pattern and translating the image so that the center of gravity coincides with the origin. The resulting image is passed to the S-Block. The center of gravity is computed by averaging the x and y coordinates of the on-pixels, as formulated below.



Fig. 5. A sample pattern before and after the T-Block.

Define P to be the number of on-pixels:

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j).$$
(1)

Then the center of gravity, (x_{av}, y_{av}) , will be

$$x_{av} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i, \ y_{av} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot y_j$$
(2)

where function f(x, y) gives the value of the pixel at the coordinates (x, y). For digitized binary-valued 2D images this function will be either 0 or 1.[†]

The mapping function for the translation invariant image is

$$f_{\rm T}(x_i, y_j) = f(x_i + x_{\rm av}, y_j + y_{\rm av}).$$
 (3)

Figure 5 shows the function of T-Block on a sample pattern.

3.1.2. The S-Block. The S-Block maintains scaling invariancy by scaling the image so that the average radius for the on-pixels is equal to one-fourth of the grid size. The term radius for a pixel is defined to be the length of the straight line connecting the pixel and the origin. The scaling process will bring a radial boundary to the pattern in the image while adjusting the number of on-pixels. It thus disables any possible pattern deformation caused by rotation. The average radius is computed as:

$$r_{\rm av} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\rm T}(x_i, y_j)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\rm T}(x_i, y_j) \cdot \sqrt{(x_i^2 + y_j^2)}$$
(4)

and the scale factor, s, given by

$$s = \frac{r_{\rm av}}{R} \tag{5}$$

where R is equal to one-fourth of the grid size.

The mapping function for the scaling invariant image is

$$f_{\mathsf{TS}}(x_i, y_i) = f_{\mathsf{T}}(s \cdot x_i, s \cdot y_i). \tag{6}$$

Figure 6 shows the function of the S-Block on the sample image processed by the T-Block.

Equation (6), the mapping function for the S-Block, embeds an interpolation property. In this equation, the pixels of the output image are mapped back to their corresponding pixels in the input image. This is called *reverse mapping* and brings the interpolation property. Consider a case where the *forward mapping* technique is used, and two on-pixels that are adjacent in the input image are mapped to two apart pixels in the output image. There would be a discontinuity between these on-pixels in the output image. However, using *reverse mapping* both the apart pixels and the



Fig. 6. The sample pattern before and after the S-Block.



Fig. 7. The forward mapping technique and the interpolation property by the reverse mapping technique used in the S-Block.

pixels between them are mapped back to one of the original pixels, thus maintaining the connectivity of the pattern. A sketch illustrating this discussion is given in Fig. 7.

3.1.3. The R-Block. The R-Block maintains rotational invariancy by rotating the image so that the direction of maximum variance coincides with the x-axis. The derivation of the function is based on the Karhunen-Loéve transformation which has been used in some applications.^(8,16,21) The transformation exploits the following: given a set of vectors, the eigenvector that corresponds to the largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix calculated from the set of vectors, points in the direction of maximum variance.^(16,21) This property can be used to maintain rotational invariancy since detection of the maximum variance direction will also reveal the rotation angle. A general solution for any size of vectors would be impractical. However, for 2D vectors formed by the x and y coordinates of the on-pixels, the eigenvalues are easy to compute and a formula for the eigenvector corresponding to the greatest eigenvalue can be derived from the 2 by 2 covariance matrix. The rotation parameters are derived as follows.

 $[\]dagger$ If x or y, the arguments of the function, are not integers then they are rounded to the nearest integer to obtain the pixel coordinates.

Define:

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_{x} \\ m_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_{i}, y_{j})} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_{i}, y_{j}) \begin{bmatrix} x_{i} \\ y_{j} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_i, y_j)$$
(8)

$$T_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i^2, \ T_{yy} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_i, y_j) \cdot y_j^2$$
(9)

$$T_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{TS}(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i \cdot y_j.$$
 (10)

The covariance matrix defined as

$$C = \frac{1}{P} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad (11)$$

can be simplified to

$$C = \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_i, y_j)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_i, y_j) \times \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_j \end{bmatrix}^{\text{T}} - \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix}^{\text{T}}.$$
 (12)

Since translation invariancy has been maintained, the averages m_x and m_y are zero. Furthermore, the averaging term in front of the matrix can be eliminated since it does not change the direction of the eigenvectors. Therefore, the covariance matrix becomes

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} T_{xx} & T_{xy} \\ T_{xy} & T_{yy} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (13)

Finally (detailed derivation is given in Appendix A), the sine and cosine of the rotation angle come out as



Fig. 8. The sample pattern before and after the R-Block.

pattern until the computed orientation coincides with the x-axis. Since a pattern and its 180° rotated version will have the same orientation of maximal variance, the R-Block will not be able to differentiate between them and will apply the same angle of rotation on both patterns. The resulting mappings will conserve this 180° angle difference. Hence depending on its original orientation, a given pattern will be mapped to one of the two canonical patterns. These two canonical patterns will both represent the class. Hence for each original pattern we have two preprocessor outputs which are used in training the network.

3.2. PREP2: the preprocessor with axial scaling correction

PREP1, performs radial scaling correction which uses the same scaling factor along all directions. A different approach is to use different scaling factors along different axes. This type of scaling correction performs better in certain applications. For example, the patterns may have been scanned in with different resolutions in different dimensions. The preprocessor with axial scaling correction, called PREP2, has two main differences from the previous version:

$$\sin\theta = \frac{(T_{yy} - T_{xx}) + \sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}{\sqrt{(8 \cdot T_{xy}^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx}) \sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2))}}$$
(14)

$$\cos\theta = \frac{2 \cdot T_{xy}}{\sqrt{(8 \cdot T_{xy}^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})}\sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}.$$
(15)

The mapping function for the rotation invariant image is

$$f_{\mathsf{TSR}}(x_i, y_j) = f_{\mathsf{TS}}(\cos\theta \cdot x_i - \sin\theta \cdot y_j, \sin\theta \cdot x_i + \cos\theta \cdot y_j).$$
(16)

Figure 8 shows the function of the R-Block on the sample pattern processed by the S-Block.

It should be noted that the R-Block rotates a

• The main blocks are reordered, such that the T-Block comes first, the R-Block is second, and the S-Block is last.

• The scaling factors are computed using a different function.

In order to maintain rotational, scaling, and translational invariancy PREP2 computes various relevant parameters as (detailed derivation is given in Appendix B)

$$T_{xx} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i^2\right) - P \cdot x_{av}^2$$
(17)
$$T_{yy} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot y_j^2\right) - P \cdot y_{av}^2$$
(18)

$$T_{xy} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i \cdot y_j\right) - P \cdot x_{av} \cdot y_{av}$$
(19)

$$\sin\theta = \frac{(T_{yy} - T_{xx}) + \sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}{\sqrt{(8 \cdot T_{xy}^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 2 \cdot ((T_{yy} - T_{yx}) - T_{yy})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}$$
(20)

$$\cos\theta = \frac{2 \cdot T_{xy}}{\sqrt{(8 \cdot T_{xy}^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})}\sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}.$$
(21)

$$s_x = \sqrt{\left(\frac{T_{xx}(\cos\theta)^2 + 2 \cdot T_{xy} \cdot \cos\theta \cdot \sin\theta + T_{yy}(\sin\theta)^2}{R_x \cdot P}\right)}$$
(22)

$$s_{y} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{T_{xx}(\sin\theta)^{2} + 2 \cdot T_{xy} \cdot \cos\theta \cdot \sin\theta + T_{yy}(\cos\theta)^{2}}{R_{y} \cdot P}\right)}$$
(23)

where s_x and s_y are the scaling factors, and R_x and R_y are the desired deviation values along the corresponding axes. R_x and R_y are equal to the grid size.

The mapping function for the preprocessor with axial scaling correction is

$$f_{\text{TRS}}(x_i, y_j) = f(\cos\theta \cdot s_x \cdot x_i - \sin\theta \cdot s_y \cdot y_j + x_{\text{av}},$$

$$\sin\theta \cdot s_x \cdot x_i + \cos\theta \cdot s_y \cdot y_j + y_{\text{av}}.$$
 (24)

Note that, for PREP1 the complete mapping function could be obtained only after two passes over the original image. However, for PREP2 the whole mapping function can be computed after a single pass over the original image.

3.3. The classifier

The current implementation of the system employs a multilayer feed-forward network for the classifier block. Such a network has a layered structure and

only connections between neurons at subsequent layers are permitted. The training algorithm is the widely used backpropagation algorithm.⁽²⁰⁾ Since the input is an image in pixel-map form, the number of nodes in the input layer is fixed and equal to the number of pixels. Further, since the output neurons are organized such that each node represents a class, the number of output neurons is also fixed. Hence, given the input and output layer size one should decide on the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons in each hidden layer as well as the learning rate. In fact, this choice of network size is as critical as the choice of the learning rate. The decision depends on the type of problem dealt with, and on the size and variety of the example patterns. There are two useful rules to have in mind:

• One should choose the network large enough so that the neurons can develop features to distinguish the patterns belonging to different classes, while as



Fig. 9. The structure and image feeding strategy to the classifier.

compact as possible to avoid memorization of the example patterns.

• One should choose the learning rate small if two or more of the example patterns are similar, and large otherwise.

The general structure of the neural network classifier is given in Fig. 9. The output of the preprocessor, which is an image in pixel-map form, is converted to a linear array by cascading the rows of the image from the top row to the bottom row. The content of each array entry is the initial input value of the corresponding input node.

The classifier is trained as follows: the preprocessed forms of the images containing the sample patterns from each class are fed to the network, while the desired output classification is supplied to the output neurons. The artificial neural network learns to produce the desired outputs when the sample inputs are given to the system using the backpropagation algorithm. In the classification mode, the preprocessed image to be classified is presented to the network which then activates the appropriate output neurons.

3.4. The interpreter

One of the key determinants of the system performance is the success in interpretation of the classifier outputs. Since we have employed an artificial neural network for the classifier, the classification result will be in the form of activation values of the neurons in the output layer.

It is hard to decide on the method to be used so that none of the information that serves to distinguish the classes is ignored. The first alternative is to use a simple maximum finder block for the interpreter. However, the performance would be moderate since it will always decide on one of the classes whether the class chosen is dominant on others or not. Thresholding can be applied to the outputs so that a class is selected if the outputs exceed this predetermined threshold. However, this method may indicate multiple classes for certain inputs. A more promising method is to report no discrimination as long as the ratio of the maximum output to the next highest output remains under a predetermined threshold value. When the ratio exceeds the threshold, which means that the maximum output is dominant on the other outputs, the interpreter decides on the class with the maximum output. The interpreter block of our system employs this last approach. If the ratio of the maximum output to the next highest output exceeds the predetermined threshold, the interpreter reports that a discrimination could be made and the pattern belongs to the class with the maximum output. If not, then the interpreter reports that no unique discrimination could be made. This simple method has been observed to perform well in the evaluation of the classifier outputs.

3.5. Computational requirements

The computational requirements for the system presented above can be characterized as follows. Let G, P, H, and O be the number of pixels in the image, the number of on-pixels in the image, the number of hidden units in the one-hidden-layer neural network and the number of output units, respectively. Ignoring any computation that does not depend on one of these and assuming that we have pixels either 0 or 1, for PREP1, we can write the total computational requirements as follows. The T-Block requires about 2P integer additions, 2G integer subtractions. The S-Block requires 2P integer multiplications, 2P integer additions and P floating point square roots, and 2Gfloating point multiplications. The R-Block requires 3P integer multiplications, 3P integer additions, and 4G floating point multiplications and 2G floating point additions. The neural networks trained typically have very sparse weight matrices and this fact can be exploited. The forward pass through the network takes P * H + H * O floating point multiplications and additions, and H + O sigmoid function invocations. The overall process takes about:

- 9P + 2G integer additions;
- 5P integer multiplications;
- $2G + P \cdot H + H \cdot O$ floating point additions;
- $6G + P \cdot H + H \cdot O$ floating point multiplications;
- P floating point square root computations; and
- H + O sigmoid function computations.

Obviously the time parameters corresponding to these operations will change from system to system. On our Sparcstation environment we have noted a speed of about 7–10 character recognitions per second for the letter recognition problem discussed later. The requirements for the PREP2 case can be similarly derived.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Based on the formulations described in the previous sections, a general purpose pattern classification system has been developed on Sun Sparc workstations using the graphics environment SunView.^(22,23) We have experimented with three problem domains: character recognition on the English alphabet, character recognition on the Japanese Katakana alphabet and, recognition of geometric objects.

4.1. Character recognition on the English alphabet

This classical problem is the classification of letters in the English alphabet. The artificial neural network chosen for classifying the English alphabet is a multi-

A B C D E F G H I 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 **J K L M N O P Q R** 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 **S T U V W X Y Z** 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Fig. 10. The example patterns and corresponding class numbers for the 26 English letters.



Pattern is A with 0.927701 Candidate was Y with 0.021057 Discrimination ratio is 440.6 %

Pattern is 8 with 0.772268 Candidate was V with 0.053143 Discrimination ratio is 145.3 %

Pattern is A with 0.722949 Candidate was V with 0.029526 Discrimination ratio is 244.8 %



695 Pattern is 8 with 0.906775 Candidate was D with 0.030358 Discrimination ratio is 298.7 %

Pattern is B with 0.894358 Candidate was D with 0.036271 Discrimination ratio is 246.6 %

Pattern is B with 0.797724 Candidate was E with 0.026937 Discrimination ratio is 296.1 %



Pattern is C with 0.936130 Candidate was U with 0.031960 Discrimination ratio is 292.9 %

Pattern is C with 0.900459 Candidate was U with 0.030606 Discrimination ratio is 294.2 %

Pattern is C with 0.872540 Candidate was U with 0.032756 Discrimination ratio is 266.4 %





Pattern is A with 0.927701 Candidate was Y with 0.021057 Discrimination ratio is 440.5 %

Pattern is A with 0.605121 Candidate was V with 0.040005 Discrimination ratio is 151.3 %

Pattern is R with 0.501417 Candidate was K with 0.168918 Discrimination ratio is 29.7 %



Pattern is C with 0.936130

Candidate was U with 0.031960 Discrimination ratio is 292.9 %

Pattern is C with 0.836518 Candidate was U with 0.038429 Discrimination ratio is 217.7 %

Pattern is C with 0.789987 Candidate was L with 0.041854 Discrimination ratio is 188.7 %

Fig. 12. Classification results with PREP1 for letters A, B, and C scaled by a factor of 1, 0.8, and 0.6.



Pattern is R with 0.927701 Candidate was Y with 0.021057 Discrimination ratio is 440.5 %

C

Pattern is R with 0.770125 Candidate was R with 0.041848 Discrimi ation ratio is 184.0 %

Pattern is A with 0.927701 Candidate was Y with 0.021057 Discrimination ratio is 440.6 %





Pattern is C with 0.936130 Candidate was U with 0.031960 Discrimination ratio is 292.9 %

Pattern is C with 0.707669 Candidate was U with 0.042863 Discrimination ratio is 165.1 %

Pattern is C with 0.905177 Candidate was 0 with 0.019897 Discrimination ratio is 454.9 %

Pattern is B with 0.906775 Candidate was D with 0.030358 Discrimination ratio is 298.7 %

Pattern is B with 0.514692 Candidate was F with 0.029781 Discrimination ratio is 172.8 %

Pattern is B with 0.848754 Candidate was D with 0.099396 Discrimination ratio is 85.4 %

Pattern is B with 0.906775 Candidate was D with 0 030358 Discrimination ratio is 298.7 %

Pattern is B with 0.917553 Candidate was D with 0.075526 Discrimination ratio is 121.5 %

Pattern is B with 0.733702 Candidate was E with 0.051952 Discrimination ratio is 118.4 %

Fig. 13. Classification results with PREP1 for letters A, B, and C translated diagonally by 0,6, and -6 pixels.



Pattern is A with 0.927701 Candidate was Y with 0.021057 Discrimination ratio is 440.5 %

Pattern is A with 0.521594 Candidate was R with 0.035405 Discrimination ratio is 147.3 **%**

Pattern is F with 0.107739 Candidate was V with 0.047904 Discrimination ratio is 22.5 %



Pattern is C with 0.936130 Candidate was U with 0.031960 Discrimination ratio is 292.9 **%**

Pattern is C with 0.877739 Candidate was O with 0.049185 Discrimination ratio is 178.5 **%**

Pattern is C with 0.734980 Candidate was U with 0.024792 Discrimination ratio is 296.5 %

Candidate was E with 0.032866 Discrimination ratio is 242.5 %

Pattern is B with 0.783350 Candidate was R with 0.035924 Discrimination ratio is 218.1 %

Pattern is 8 with 0.906775

Pattern is B with 0.796986

Candidate was D with 0.030358

Discrimination ratio is 298.7 %





Pattern is A with 0.927701 Candidate was Y with 0.021057 Discrimination ratio is 440.5 %

Pattern is A with 0.283534 Candidate was V with 0.081742 Discrimination ratio is 34.7 %

Pattern is R with 0.713344 Candidate was R with 0.023365 Discrimination ratio is 305.3 %



Pattern is C with 0.935130 Candidate was U with 0.031960

Discrimination ratio is 292.9 %

Pattern is C with 0.854943 Candidate was U with 0.040233 Discrimination ratio is 212.5 %

Pattern is C with 0.577801 Candidate was 0 with 0.025685 Discrimination ratio is 216.5 %

Fig. 15. Classification results with PREP1 for letters A, B, and C with random translation, scaling, and rotation applied.



Pattern is 1 with 0.911179 Candidate was 22 with 0.046750 Discrimination ratio is 19.5

Pattern is 1 with 0.762654 Candidate was 9 with 0.067812 Discrimination ratio is 11.2

Pattern is 1 with 0.830152 Candidate was 9 with 0.151426 Discrimination ratio is 5.5





Pattern is 3 with 0.865484 Candidate was 12 with 0.046633 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 3 with 0.817947 Candidate was 12 with 0.086025 Discrimination ratio is 9.5

Pattern is 3 with 0.818400 Candidate was 12 with 0.047264 Discrimination ratio is 17.3

Pattern is 2 with 0.779841 Candidate was 19 with 0.072447 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 2 with 0.624327 Candidate was 21 with 0.056638 Discrimination ratio is 11.0

Pattern is 2 with 0.559115 Candidate was 21 with 0.051234 Discrimination ratio is 11.1

Pattern is 8 with 0.906775 Candidate was D with 0.030358 Discrimination ratio is 298.7 %

Pattern is B with 0.479234 Candidate was R with 0.083794 Discrimination ratio is 57.2 **%**

Pattern is B with 0.832631 Candidate was R with 0.035072 Discrimination ratio is 237.4 %

Fig. 16. Classification results with PREP2 on rotated letters.



Pattern is 1 with 0.911179 Candidate was 22 with 0.046750 Discrimination ratio is 19.5

Pattern is 1 with 0.770966 Candidate was 22 with 0.062864 Discrimination ratio is 12.3

Pattern is 1 with 0.425590 Candidate was 25 with 0.185589 Discrimination ratio is 2.3



697 Pattern is 2 with 0.779841 Candidate was 19 with 0.072447 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 2 with 0.161977 Candidate was 4 with 0.078825 Discrimination ratio is 2.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.634949 Candidate was 18 with 0.071779 Discrimination ratio is 8.8

Pattern is 3 with 0.865484 Candidate was 12 with 0.046633 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 3 with 0.815480 Candidate was 12 with 0.074951 Discrimination ratio is 10.9

Pattern is 3 with 0.709035 Candidate was 12 with 0.067718 Discrimination ratio is 10.5





Pattern is 1 with 0.911179 Candidate was 22 with 0.046750 Discrimination ratio is 19.5

Pattern is 1 with 0.807283 Candidate was 25 with 0.077792 Discrimination ratio is 10.4

Pattern is 1 with 0.781698 Candidate was 9 with 0.074611 Discrimination ratio is 10.5



Pattern is 2 with 0.779841 Candidate was 19 with 0.072447 Discrimination ratio is 10 B

Pattern is 2 with 0.426662 Candidate was 18 with 0.191974 Discrimination ratio is 2.2

Pattern is 2 with 0.496783 Candidate was 19 with 0.050108 Discrimination ratio is 9.9



Pattern is 3 with 0.865484 Candidate was 12 with 0.046633 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 3 with 0.712326 Candidate was 12 with 0.211969 Discrimination ratio is 3.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.671936 Candidate was 12 with 0.099324 Discrimination ratio is 6.8

Fig. 18. Classification results with PREP2 on translated letters.



Pattern is 1 with 0.911179 Candidate was 22 with 0.046750 Discrimination ratio is 19.5

Pattern is 1 with 0.721102 Candidate was 25 with 0.119924 Discrimination ratio is 6.0

Pattern is 1 with 0.775800 Candidate was 25 with 0.131237 Discrimination ratio is 5.9



Т \cap

Pattern is 3 with 0.865484 Candidate was 12 with 0.046633 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 3 with 0.753888 Candidate was 7 with 0.223764 Discrimination ratio is 3.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.788500 Candidate was 7 with 0.127391 Discrimination ratio is 6.2

Pattern is 2 with 0.779841 Candidate was 19 with 0.072447 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 2 with 0.689617 Candidate was 18 with 0.058586 Discrimination ratio is 11.8

Pattern is 2 with 0.105599 Candidate was 4 with 0.052336 Discrimination ratio is 2.0

Fig. 19. Classification results with PREP2 on noisy letters.



Pattern is 1 with 0.911179 Candidate was 22 with 0.046750 Discrimination ratio is 19.5

Pattern is 1 with 0.353124 Candidate was 25 with 0.109775 Discrimination ratio is 3.2

Pattern is 1 with 0.750400 Candidate was 25 with 0.078528 Discrimination ratio is 9.5



Pattern is 2 with 0.779841 Candidate was 19 with 0.072447 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 2 with 0.304866 Candidate was 4 with 0.141030 Discrimination ratio is 2.2

Pattern is 2 with 0.285387 Candidate was 18 with 0.099175 Discrimination ratio is 2.9



Pattern is 3 with 0.865484 Candidate was 12 with 0.046633 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 3 with 0.652949 Candidate was 16 with 0.076594 Discrimination ratio is 8.5

Pattern is 3 with 0.692806 Candidate was 12 with 0.083135 Discrimination ratio is 8.3

Fig. 20. Classification results with PREP2 on letters with random translation, scaling, and rotation applied.



Pattern is K with 0.739685 Candidate was R with 0.026135 Discrimination ratio is 28.3

Pattern is K with 0.138077 Candidate was R with 0.045842 Discrimination ratio is 3.0

Could not discriminate ... Candidates were R with 0.179557 and V with 0.093892

Pattern is C with 0.395336 Candidate was J with 0.067349 Discrimination ratio is 5.9

Pattern is P with 0.619575 Candidate was V with 0.035673 Discrimination ratio is 17.4

Pattern is H with 0.504894 Candidate was K with 0.091623 Discrimination ratio is 5.5

Pattern is T with 0.242300 Candidate was M with 0.058771 Discrimination ratio is 4.1

Pattern is C with 0.446178 Candidate was G with 0.058094 Discrimination ratio is 7.7

Pattern is 0 with 0.333856 Candidate was N with 0.055340 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is L with 0.370625 Candidate was V with 0.065969 Discrimination ratio is 5.6



Pattern is 11.K with 0.200723 Candidate was 18.R with 0.088717 Discrimination ratio is 2.3

Pattern is 1.A with 0.328702 Candidate was 18.R with 0.134324 Discrimination ratio is 2.4

Could not discriminate ... Candidates were 1.A with 0.155431 and 18.R with 0.11446

Pattern is 3.C with 0.239498 Candidate was 12.L with 0.062020 Discrimination ratio is 3.9

Pattern is 16.P with 0.253201 Candidate was 22.V with 0.038780 Discrimination ratio is 6.5

Pattern is 21.U with 0.388433 Candidate was 18.R with 0.064422 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is 22.V with 0.367734 Candidate was 21.U with 0.111176 Discrimination ratio is 3.3

Pattern is 3.C with 0.754746 Candidate was 12.L with **C**044923 Discrimination ratio is 16.8

Pattern is 21.0 with 0.179703 Candidate was 17.0 with 0.060315 Discrimination ratio is 3.0

Could not discriminate ... Candidates were 17.Q with 0.051081 and 7.G with 0.035380

Fig. 21. Classification results, with PREP1 (left) and PREP2 (right), on ten hand-crafted English letters.

layer feed-forward network with 1024 input nodes, each one corresponding to one of the pixels of the 32×32 input image and 26 output neurons, one for each letter. An output value close to 1 is interpreted as a strong membership, while a value close to 0 will point to a loose membership. The number of hidden layers and the number of neurons in each hidden layer has been found by trial-and-error, which is typical for most multilayer feed-forward network applications.⁽³⁻⁸⁾ After some experimentation we have found that a network with 1024 input nodes, 20 neurons in the single hidden layer, and 26 neurons in the output layer performs the best for a number of test cases.

In the training phase, the network is trained on the

P	力	ガ	サ	ず	9	ダ	ナ	ン	メ	パ	4	ラ	ワ	77	2
а	ka	ga	sa	za	ta	da	na	ha	ba	ра	ma	ra	wa	fa	n
イ	#	ŧ	~	ぐ	4	ヂ	11	F	Ŀ	ピ	111	リ		71	
i	ki	gi	shi	ji	chi	ji	ni	hi	bi	pi	mi	ri		fi	
ゥ	ク	ブ	ス	X	ッ	ッ	ヌ	7	ブ	プ	ム	ア			
u	ku	gu	su	zu	tsu	zu	nu	fu	bu	pu	mu	ru			
н	ケ	ゲ	セ	ゼ	テ	デ	ネ	>	λ	۲	×	ン		フェ	
e	ke	ge	se	ze	te	de	ne	he	be	ре	me	re		fe	
オ	IJ	ゴ	ソ	ゾ	ト	よ	1	ホ	ボ	ĥ	Ŧ	ם		フォ	ヲ
0	ko	go	so	zo	to	do	no	ho	bo	ро	mo	ro		fo	0
ヤ	キャ	ギャ	シャ	ジャ	チャ	チャ	ニャ	ヒャ	ビヤ	ピヤ	ミヤ	リャ			
ya	kya	gya	sha	ja	cha	ja	nya	hya	bya	руа	mya	rya			
ユ	キュ	ギュ	シュ	ジュ	チュ	ヂュ	17	ヒユ	ビュ	ピュ	ミユ	リュ			
yu	kyu	gyu	shu	ju	chu	ju	nyu	hyu	byu	pyu	myu	ryu			
Э	キョ	ギョ	ショ	ジョ	チョ	ヂョ	ニョ	ヒョ	ビョ	ピョ	ES	リョ			
yo	kyo	gyo	sho	jo	cho	jo	nyo	hyo	byo	руо	myo	ryo			

Fig. 22. The 111 symbols of the Japanese Katakana alphabet.

Г а	カ ka	ガ _ル ga	サ sa	ザ <u>u</u> za	タ _ル ta	ダ ₁ da	ナ <u>s</u> na	ン ha	バ ル ba	ר צי ma	₹ ra	7 wa	ראי ת	t. ya
イ 1	キ, ki	₹ n gi	シ _i	ジ #	チ ₂₃ chi	<i>₽</i> ₩	<u>الي،5</u>	لح hi	ピ bi	‴, % mi	l) st ri		LI	ユ 65 VU
ウ ₁ u	ク _a ku	グ ₍₃ gu	ス su	、 ズ zu	ッ tsu	y″u zu	₹ ¶ nu	フ ₄₂ fu	ブ 4 bu	لح 33 mu	ル St ru			∃ 44 y0
т. е	ケ , ke	ゲ ₄ ge	セ [®] se	ゼ ze	テ ₂₉ te	₹ de	木 ne	∧ he	∕ \$ be	,× \$4 me	ע∕ s• re			
才 0	⊐ s ko	של go	ソ 20 50	ゾ _お zo	h ₁₀ to	¥ ئ do	7,9 no	ホ \$ ho	ポ % bo	₹ mo	го го		7 4 0	

Fig. 23. The 66 unique patterns and their corresponding class numbers.

canonical example patterns (which are the outputs of the preprocessor) until it manages to successfully classify the letters. The example patterns for the English letters and their corresponding classes are given in Fig. 10.

Figures 11-15 present examples of the system performance using the preprocessor PREP1. Input images are 32×32 pixels. The first column is the original image given to the system. The second column is the preprocessed version of the original image, and finally the third column is the resulting decision. The class name and value of the corresponding output neuron are given. Figures 16-20 give the performance using the preprocessor PREP2. Figure 21 compares the classification results for two versions of the system, one with PREP1 and the other with PREP2. The input patterns are ten hand-crafted English letters. Table 1 shows the (average) percentage of letters correctly classified after undergoing 100 random transformations of the type stated in the first column.[†]

4.2. Character recognition on the Japanese Katakana alphabet

This problem is the classification of symbols in the Japanese Katakana alphabet shown in Fig. 22. Since the 111 Katakana symbols are in fact combined forms of 66 unique patterns, the system is trained only on these patterns. Figure 23 shows the example patterns

[†] Combined denotes an input that is distorted from the original by a random scaling, rotation and translation. The noise is applied to an undeformed pattern by flipping the on-pixels with a certain probability. In the last row, noise is applied to the distorted pattern.

Table 1. Percentage of correct classification for letters under various distortions

Transformation	PREP1 (%)	PREP2(%)
Rotation	91	89
Scaling	98	94
Translation	100	100
Combined	89	79
20% noise	98	96
40% noise	92	84
Combined and 20% noise	77	60

 Table 2. Percentage of correct classification for Japanese

 Katakana symbols under various distortions

Transformation	PREP1 (%)
Rotation	75
Scaling	92
Translation	100
Combined	68
20% noise	93
40% noise	76
Combined and 20% noise	57



Pattern is 1 with 0.489718 Candidate was 5 with 0.182937 Discrimination ratio is 2.7

Pattern is 5 with 0.834490 Candidate was 4 with 0.054007 Discrimination ratio is 15.5

Pattern is 3 with 0.683376 Candidate was 5 with 0.106999 Discrimination ratio is 5.4

Pattern is 3 with 0,709030 Candidate was 5 with 0,129486 Discrimination ratio is 5.5

Pattern is 3 with 0.563266 Candidate was 5 with 0.066862 Discrimination ratio is 8.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.888596 Candidate was 5 with 0.068497 Discrimination ratio is 13.0

Pattern is 4 with 0.351126 Candidate was 5 with 0.070720 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is 5 with 0.487965 Candidate was 4 with 0.006454 Discrimination ratio is 75.6

Pattern is 5 with 0.419376 Candidate was 3 with 0.104784 Discrimination ratio is 4.0

Pattern is 3 with 0.714873 Candidate was 5 with 0.286256 Discrimination ratio is 2.5

$$\bigcirc X - 123$$
$$\Box \Delta 45$$

Fig. 24. The patterns and class numbers for the five main geometric symbols: circular, cross, line, square-like, and triangular.

and the corresponding class numbers. The network for the Japanese Katakana alphabet is similar to the network for the English alphabet except for the number of output neurons. With some experimentation, a network with only one hidden layer having 20 nodes has been chosen.

Figures 26-30 give the performance of the system with preprocessor PREP1. Table 2 shows the (average) percentage of Katakana symbols correctly classified after undergoing 100 random transformations of the type stated in the first column.

4.3. Classification of geometric symbols

This is the problem of classification of five main geometric symbols: circle, cross, line, rectangle, and triangle. The original patterns from each class is given



Pattern is 1 with 0.829573 Candidate was 4 with 0.106937 Discrimination ratio is 7.8

Pattern is 1 with 0.746327 Candidate was 5 with 0.080175 Discrimination ratio is 9.3

Pattern is 2 with 0.807162 Candidate was 3 with 0.208715 Discrimination ratio is 3.9

Pattern is 2 with 0.660773 Candidate was 3 with 0.200848 Discrimination ratio is 3.3

Pattern is 3 with 0.717909 Candidate was 5 with 0.290254 Discrimination ratio is 2.5

Pattern is 3 with 0.757173 Candidate was 5 with 0.045864 Discrimination ratio is 16.5

Pattern is 4 with 0.451725 Candidate was 2 with 0.078806 Discrimination ratio is 5.7

Pattern is 4 with 0.552510 Candidate was 3 with 0.028043 Discrimination ratio is 19.7

Could not discriminate ... Candidates were 5 with 0.236333 and 3 with 0.130853

Pattern is 5 with 0.456683 Candidate was 3 with 0.067811 Discrimination ratio is 6.7

Fig. 25. Classification results, with PREP1 (left) and PREP2 (right), on distorted patterns of the five main geometric symbols.



Pattern is 1 with 0.820121 Candidate was 14 with 0.075892 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 1 with 0.554672 Candidate was 34 with 0.054674 Discrimination ratio is 10.1

Pattern is 1 with 0.580281 Candidate was 5 with 0.071503 Discrimination ratio is 8.1



Pattern is 2 with 0.902589 701 Candidate was 13 with 0.048572 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 2[°]with 0.555567 Candidate was 33 with 0.072772 Discrimination ratio is 7.8

Pattern is 2 with 0.469407 Candidate was 40 with 0.017480 Discrimination ratio is 25.9



Pattern is 3 with 0.900058 Candidate was 6 with 0.056437 Discrimination ratio is 15.9

Pattern is 3 with 0.771208 Candidate was 5 with 0.072263 Discrimination ratio is 10.7

Pattern is 3 with 0.753924 Candidate was 6 with 0.087926 Discrimination ratio is 8.6

Fig. 26. Classification results with PREP1 for symbols from Class 1, 2, and 3 rotated by 0, 60, and -60 deg.



Pattern is 1 with 0.820121 Candidate was 14 with 0.075892 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 1 with 0.485271 Candidate was 34 with 0.042003 Discrimination ratio is 11.5

Pattern is 1 with 0.525178 Candidate was 34 with 0.077539 Discrimination ratio is 6.8



1 2

Pattern is 3 with 0.900058 Candidate was 6 with 0.056437 Discrimination ratio is 15.9

Pattern is 3 with 0.798072 Candidate was 56 with 0.085245 Discrimination ratio is 9.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.642452 Candidate was 51 with 0.253839 Discrimination ratio is 2.5 Pattern is 2 with 0.902589 Candidate was 13 with 0.048572 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 2 with 0.446512 Candidate was 40 with 0.203349 Discrimination ratio is 2.2

Pattern is 2 with 0.812504 Candidate was 33 with 0.035828 Discrimination ratio is 22.7

Fig. 27. Classification results with PREP1 for symbols from Class 1, 2, and 3 scaled by a factor of 1, 0.8, and 0.6.



Pattern is 1 with 0.820121 Candidate was 14 with 0.075892 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 1 with 0.801266 Candidate was 14 with 0.066163 Discrimination ratio is 12.1

Pattern is 1 with 0.813754 Candidate was 14 with 0.074115 Discrimination ratio is 11.0





Pattern is 3 with 0.900058 Candidate was 6 with 0.056437 Discrimination ratio is 15.9

Pattern is 3 with 0.696134 Candidate was 51 with 0.196200 Discrimination ratio is 3.5

Pattern is 3 with 0.854651 Candidate was 66 with 0.055580 Discrimination ratio is 15.4 Pattern is 2 with 0.902583 Candidate was 13 with 0.048572 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 2 with 0.455013 Candidate was 35 with 0.069810 Discrimination ratio is 6.5

Pattern is 40 with 0.249899 Candidate was 9 with 0.099151 Discrimination ratio is 2.5

Fig. 28. Classification results with PREP1 for symbols from Class 1, 2, and 3 translated diagonally by 0, 6, and -6 pixels.



Pattern is 1 with 0.820121 Candidate was 14 with 0.075892 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 1 with 0.786378 Candidate was 14 with 0.054121 Discrimination ratio is 14.5

Pattern is 1 with 0.679368 Candidate was 21 with 0.038395 Discrimination ratio is 17.7



Pattern is 3 with 0.900058 Candidate was 6 with 0.055437 Discrimination ratio is 15.9

Pattern is 3 with 0.767802 Candidate was 53 with 0.215734 Discrimination ratio is 3.6

Could not discriminate ... Candidates were 3 with 0.334035 and 22 with 0.293054

Pattern is 2 with 0.902589 Candidate was 13 with 0.048572 Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 2 with 0.714925 Candidate was 40 with 0.059100 Discrimination ratio is 10.3

Pattern is 2 with 0.265836 Candidate was 6 with 0.090215 Discrimination ratio is 3.3

Pattern is 2 with 0.902589

Candidate was 13 with 0.048572

Discrimination ratio is 18.6

Pattern is 2 with 0.572148 Candidate was 13 with 0.103430

Discrimination ratio is 5.5

Pattern is 2 with 0.758859

Candidate was 40 with 0.109365 Discrimination ratio is 6.9

Fig. 29. Classification results with PREP1 for symbols from Class 1, 2, and 3 with 0, 20, and 40% noise.



Pattern is 1 with 0.820121 Candidate was 14 with 0.075892 Discrimination ratio is 10.8

Pattern is 1 with 0.640245 Candidate was 34 with 0.052577 Discrimination ratio is 12.2 Pattern is 1 with 0.463797

Candidate was 13 with 0.140803 Discrimination ratio is 3.3



))))) , , , , , , , ,

Pattern is 3 with 0.900058 Candidate was 6 with 0.055437 Discrimination ratio is 15.9

Pattern is 3 with 0.386634 Candidate was 6 with 0.002848 Discrimination ratio is 4.7

Pattern is 3 with 0.537339 Candidate was 66 with 0.110447 Discrimination ratio is 4.9

Fig. 30. Classification results with PREP1 for symbols from Class 1, 2, and 3 with random translation, scaling, and rotation applied.





Pattern is 2 with 0.797234 Candidate was 4 with 0.056726 Discrimination ratio is 14.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.795648 Candidate was 3 with 0.113798 Discrimination ratio is 7.0

Pattern is 2 with 0.795905 Candidate was 4 with 0.062356 Discrimination ratio is 12.8

Pattern is 3 with 0.888963 Candidate was 5 with 0.069073 Discrimination ratio is 13.1

Pattern is 3 with 0.885215 Candidate was 5 with 0.069678 Discrimination ratio is 12.7

Pattern is 3 with 0.880394 Candidate was 5 with 0.073615 Discrimination ratio is 12.0



Pattern is 5 with 0.886124 Candidate was 5 with 0.000000 Discrimination ratio is Inf

Pattern is 5 with 0.882761 Candidate was 4 with 0.073631 Discrimination ratio is 12.0 Pattern is 5 with 0.877362

Candidate was 2 with 0.038979 Discrimination ratio is 22.5



Pattern is 1 with 0.601759 Candidate was 4 with 0.065529 Discrimination ratio is 9.2

Pattern is 1 with 0.665887 Candidate was 5 with 0.094537 Discrimination ratio is 7.0

Pattern is 4 with 0.693944 Candidate was 5 with 0.138596 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is 4 with 0.709548 Candidate was 5 with 0.174160 Discrimination ratio is 4.1

Pattern is 4 with 0.718940 Candidate was 5 with 0.153219 Discrimination ratio is 4.7





Pattern is 1 with 0.577130 Candidate was 5 with 0.098540 Discrimination ratio is 6.9

Pattern is 1 with 0.718015 Candidate was 5 with 0.201359 Discrimination ratio is 3.6

Pattern is 1 with 0.659420 Candidate was 5 with 0.102605 Discrimination ratio is 6.4



Pattern is 3 with 0.888963 Candidate was 5 with 0.068073 Discrimination ratio is 13.1

Pattern is 3 with 0.883824 Candidate was 5 with 0.074029 Discrimination ratio is 11.9

Pattern is 3 with 0.878547 Candidate was 5 with 0.075138 Discrimination ratio is 11.7







Pattern is 5 with 0.886124 Candidate was 5 with 0.000000 Discrimination ratio is Inf

Pattern is 5 with 0.882583 Candidate was 5 with 0.000000 Discrimination ratio is Inf

Pattern is 5 with 0.838724 Candidate was 4 with 0.062111 Discrimination ratio is 13.5



Pattern is 2 with 0.797234 Candidate was 4 with 0.055726 Discrimination ratio is 14.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.795905 Candidate was 3 with 0.073029 Discrimination ratio is 10.9

Pattern is 2 with 0.792736 Candidate was 3 with 0.169081 Discrimination ratio is 4.7

Pattern is 4 with 0.693944 Candidate was 5 with 0.138596 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is 4 with 0.713021 Candidate was 5 with 0.160948 Discrimination ratio is 4.4

Could not discriminate Candidates were 4 with 0.434515 and 5 with 0.313256

Fig. 32. Classification results with PREP1 for geometric symbols scaled by a factor of 1, 0.8, and 0.6.





Pattern is 1 with 0.677130 Candidate was 5 with 0.098540 Discrimination ratio is 6.9

Pattern is 1 with 0.573725 Candidate was 4 with 0.074930 Discrimination ratio is 7.7

Pattern is 1 with 0.490310 Candidate was 4 with 0.127724 Discrimination ratio is 3.8

Pattern is 3 with 0.888963 Candidate was 5 with 0.068073 Discrimination ratio is 13.1

Pattern is 3 with 0.888963 Candidate was 5 with 0.068073 Discrimination ratio is 13.1

Pattern is 3 with 0.888963 Candidate was 5 with 0.068073 Discrimination ratio is 13.1





Pattern is 5 with 0.886124 Candidate was 5 with 0.000000 Discrimination ratio is Inf

Pattern is 5 with 0.859155 Candidate was 4 with 0.067199 Discrimination ratio is 12.8

Pattern is 5 with 0.885915 Candidate was 4 with 0.000000 Discrimination ratio is Inf

Pattern is 2 with 0.797234 Candidate was 4 with 0.056726 Discrimination ratio is 14.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.797234 Candidate was 4 with 0.056726 Discrimination ratio is 14.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.797386 Candidate was 3 with 0.057445 Discrimination ratio is 13.9

Pattern is 4 with 0.693944 Candidate was 5 with 0.138596 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is 4 with 0.693944 Candidate was 5 with 0.138596 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is 4 with 0.693944 Candidate was 5 with 0.138596 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Fig. 33. Classification results with PREP1 for geometric symbols translated diagonally by 0, 6, and -6pixels.



Pattern is 1 with 0.677130 Candidate was 5 with 0.098540 Discrimination ratio is 5.9

Pattern is 1 with 0.636650 Candidate was 5 with 0.052700 Discrimination ratio is 12.1

Pattern is 1 with 0.621933 Candidate was 5 with 0.050860 Discrimination ratio is 12.2

Pattern is 3 with 0.888963 Candidate was 5 with 0.068073

Discrimination ratio is 13.1 Pattern is 3 with 0.888750 Candidate was 5 with 0.067956

Discrimination ratio is 13.1 Pattern is 3 with 0.883677 Candidate was 5 with 0.073659 Discrimination ratio is 12.0



Candidate was 5 with 0.000000 Discrimination ratio is Inf

Candidate was 2 with 0.037264 Discrimination ratio is 22.9

Pattern is 5 with 0.879075 Candidate was 2 with 0.040646 Discrimination ratio is 21.6

Pattern is 2 with 0.797234 Candidate was 4 with 0.056726 Discrimination ratio is 14.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.795793 Candidate was 3 with 0.072648 Discrimination ratio is 11.0

Pattern is 2 with 0.794545 Candidate was 3 with 0.083164 Discrimination ratio is 9.6

Pattern is 4 with 0.693944 Candidate was 5 with 0.138596 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is 4 with 0.724285 Candidate was 5 with 0.157215 Discrimination ratio is 4.6

Pattern is 4 with 0.706544 Candidate was 5 with 0.195862 Discrimination ratio is 3.6

Pattern is 5 with 0.886124

Pattern is 5 with 0.853305





Pattern is 1 with 0.677130 Candidate was 5 with 0.098540 Discrimination ratio is 6.9

Pattern is 1 with 0.627392 Candidate was 5 with 0.059374 Discrimination ratio is 10.5

Pattern is 1 with 0.696513 Candidate was 5 with 0.204566 Discrimination ratio is 3.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.888963 Candidate was 5 with 0.068073 Discrimination ratio is 13.1

Pattern is 3 with 0.885966 Candidate was 5 with 0.071898 Discrimination ratio is 12.3

Pattern is 3 with 0.883736 Candidate was 5 with 0.075221 Discrimination ratio is 11.7







Pattern is 5 with 0.886124 Candidate was 5 with 0.000000 Discrimination ratio is Inf

Pattern is 5 with 0.859256 Candidate was 4 with 0.050094 Discrimination ratio is 14.3

Pattern is 5 with 0.870000 Candidate was 4 with 0.069743 Discrimination ratio is 12.5

Pattern is 2 with 0.797234 Candidate was 4 with 0.056726 Discrimination ratio is 14.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.794498 Candidate was 3 with 0.060785 Discrimination ratio is 13.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.780405 Candidate was 3 with 0.220720 Discrimination ratio is 3.5

Pattern is 4 with 0.693944 Candidate was 5 with 0,138596 Discrimination ratio is 5.0

Pattern is 4 with 0.606711 Candidate was 5 with 0.133236 Discrimination ratio is 4.6

Pattern is 4 with 0.719280 Candidate was 5 with 0.159010 Discrimination ratio is 4.5

Fig. 35. Classification results with PREP1 for geometric symbols with random translation, scaling, and rotation applied.



Candidate was 5 with 0.053595 Discrimination ratio is 15.4 Pattern is 1 with 0.877091

Pattern is 1 with 0.879950

Candidate was 4 with 0.055626 Discrimination ratio is 15.8 Pattern is 1 with 0.863999 Candidate was 4 with 0.061732

Discrimination ratio is 14.0

Pattern is 3 with 0.871819 Candidate was 5 with 0.031803 Discrimination ratio is 27.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.800754 Candidate was 5 with 0.034429 Discrimination ratio is 23.3

Pattern is 3 with 0.755228 Candidate was 5 with 0.334764 Discrimination ratio is 2.3







Pattern is 5 with 0.589879 Candidate was 3 with 0.041384 Discrimination ratio is 14.3

Pattern is 5 with 0.580918 Candidate was 3 with 0.046978 Discrimination ratio is 12.4

Pattern is 5 with 0.549789 Candidate was 3 with 0.053978 Discrimination ratio is 10.2



Pattern is 2 with 0.876442 Candidate was 5 with 0.136934 Discrimination ratio is 6.4

Pattern is 2 with 0.833144 Candidate was 4 with 0.124221 Discrimination ratio is 6.7

Pattern is 2 with 0.862304 Candidate was 3 with 0.158863 Discrimination ratio is 5.4

Pattern is 4 with 0.643865 Candidate was 3 with 0.025258 Discrimination ratio is 25.5

Pattern is 4 with 0.621688 Candidate was 3 with 0.028381 Discrimination ratio is 21.9

Pattern is 4 with 0.647699 Candidate was 3 with 0.024492 Discrimination ratio is 26.4

Fig. 36. Classification results with PREP2 for rotated geometric symbols.





Pattern is 1 with 0.879950 Candidate was 5 with 0.053595 Discrimination ratio is 15.4

Pattern is 1 with 0.868769 Candidate was 4 with 0.057518 Discrimination ratio is 15.1

Pattern is 1 with 0.874141 Candidate was 4 with 0.055798 Discrimination ratio is 15.7

Pattern is 3 with 0.871819 Candidate was 5 with 0.031803 Discrimination ratio is 27.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.864028 Candidate was 5 with 0.032874 Discrimination ratio is 26.3

Pattern is 3 with 0.868065 Candidate was 5 with 0.032336 Discrimination ratio is 25.8



Pattern is 5 with 0.509079 Candidate was 3 with 0.041304 Discrimination ratio is 14.3

Pattern is 5 with 0.551313 Candidate was 3 with 0.040054 Discrimination ratio is 11.3

Pattern is 5 with 8.569154 Candidate was 3 with 8.841787 Discrimination ratio is 13.5

Fig. 37. Classification results with PREP2 for scaled geometric symbols.



Pattern is 1 with 0.879950 Candidate was 5 with 0.053595 Discrimination ratio is 16.4

Pattern is 1 with 0.838417 Candidate was 4 with 0.060033 Discrimination ratio is 14.0

Pattern is 1 with 0.834144 Candidate was 4 with 0.088377 Discrimination ratio is 9.4



Pattern is 3 with 0.871819 Candidate was 5 with 0.031803 Discrimination ratio is 27.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.871819 Candidate was 5 with 0.031803 Discrimination ratio is 27.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.871819 Candidate was 5 with 0.031803 Discrimination ratio is 27.4







Pattern is 5 with 0.589879 Candidate was 3 with 0.041384 Discrimination ratio is 14.3

Pattern is 5 with 0.494082 Candidate was 3 with 0.054646 Discrimination ratio is 9.0

Pattern is 5 with 0.589624 Candidate was 3 with 0.041387 Discrimination ratio is 14.2

Pattern is 2 with 0.876442 Candidate was 5 with 0.136934 Discrimination ratio is 6.4

Pattern is 2 with 0.875945 Candidate was 5 with 0.133413 Discrimination ratio is 6.6

Pattern is 2 with 0.866440 Candidate was 3 with 0.197172 Discrimination ratio is 4.4

Pattern is 4 with 0.643865 Candidate was 3 with 0.025258 Discrimination ratio is 25.5

Pattern is 4 with 0.622195 Candidate was 3 with 0.028365 Discrimination ratio is 21.9

Pattern is 4 with 0.450601 Candidate was 3 with 0.036852 Discrimination ratio is 12.2

Pattern is 2 with 0.876442 Candidate was 5 with 0.136934 Discrimination ratio is 6.4

Pattern is 2 with 0.876442 Candidate was 5 with 0.136934 Discrimination ratio is 6.4

Pattern is 2 with 0.876442 Candidate was 5 with 0.136934 Discrimination ratio is 6.4

Pattern is 4 with 0.643865 Candidate was 3 with 0.025258 Discrimination ratio is 25.5

Pattern is 4 with 0.269582 Candidate was 5 with 0.035916 Discrimination ratio is 7.5

Pattern is 4 with 0.640834 Candidate was 2 with 0.122693 Discrimination ratio is 5.2

Fig. 38. Classification results with PREP2 for translated geometric symbols.





Dattern is 1 with 0.879950 Candidate was 5 with 0.053595 Discrimination ratio is 15.4

Pattern is 1 with 0.862585 Candidate was 4 with 0.056572 Discrimination ratio is 15.2

Pattern is 1 with 0.838112 Candidate was 5 with 0.053715 Discrimination ratio is 15.6

Pattern is 3 with 0.871819 Candidate was 5 with 0.031803 Discrimination ratio is 27.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.802999 Candidate was 5 with 0.034285 Discrimination ratio is 23.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.822618 Candidate was 5 with 0.055444 Discrimination ratio is 14.8







Pattern is 5 with 0.589879 Candidate was 3 with 0.041384 Discrimination ratio is 14.3

Pattern is 5 with 0.527329 Candidate was 3 with 0.050612 Discrimination ratio is 10.4

Pattern is 5 with 0.525596 Candidate was 3 with 0.072024 Discrimination ratio is 7.3





Pattern is 1 with 0.879950 Candidate was 5 with 0.053595 Discrimination ratio is 16.4

Pattern is 1 with 0.875433 Candidate was 4 with 0.056011 Discrimination ratio is 15.6

Pattern is 1 with 0.790569 Candidate was 4 with 0.138584



Discrimination ratio is 5.7 Pattern is 3 with 0.871819

Candidate was 5 with 0.031803 Discrimination ratio is 27.4

Pattern is 3 with 0.851932 Candidate was 5 with 0.032825 Discrimination ratio is 26.0

Pattern is 3 with 0.865563 Candidate was 5 with 0.029263 Discrimination ratio is 29.6







Pattern is 5 with 0.589879 Candidate was 3 with 0.041384 Discrimination ratio is 14.3

Pattern is 5 with 0.522750 Candidate was 3 with 0.061037 Discrimination ratio is 0.6

Pattern is 5 with 0.568493 Candidate was 3 with 0.054351 Discrimination ratio is 10.5

Pattern is 2 with 0.876442 Candidate was 5 with 0.136934 Discrimination ratio is 6.4

Pattern is 2 with 0.850293 Candidate was 5 with 0.153780 Discrimination ratio is 5.5

Could not discriminate ... Candidates were 2 with 0.855229 and 3 with 0.459749

Pattern is 4 with 0.643865 Candidate was 3 with 0.025258 Discrimination ratio is 25.5

Pattern is 4 with 0.621095 Candidate was 3 with 0.025544 Discrimination ratio is 24.3

Pattern is 4 with 0.586205 Candidate was 3 with 0.023361 Discrimination ratio is 25.1

Pattern is 2 with 0.876442 Candidate was 5 with 0.136934 Discrimination ratio is 6.4

Pattern is 2 with 0.844548 Candidate was 4 with 0.120302 Discrimination ratio is 7.0

Pattern is 2 with 0.886646 Candidate was 3 with 0.377719 Discrimination ratio is 2.3

Pattern is 4 with 0.643865 Candidate was 3 with 0.025258 Discrimination ratio is 25.5

Pattern is 4 with 0.644489 Candidate was 2 with 0.115655 Discrimination ratio is 5.6

Pattern is 4 with 0.608465 Candidate was 3 with 0.027020 Discrimination ratio is 22.5

Fig. 40. Classification results with PREP2 geometric symbols with random translation, scaling and rotation applied.

Table 3. Percentage of correct classification for geometric symbols under various distortions.

Transformation	PREP1 (%)	PREP2 (%)	
Rotation	98	94	
Scaling	100	100	
Translation	100	100	
Combined	88	84	
20% noise	100	100	
40% noise	98	97	
Combined and	89	78	
20% noise			

Table 4. F	Results	for	the	three	problems	with	PREP	1
------------	---------	-----	-----	-------	----------	------	------	---

Transformation	Letters (%)	Katakana (%)	Symbols (%)	
Rotation	91	75	98	
Scaling	98	92	100	
Translation	100	100	100	
Combined	89	68	88	
20% noise	98	93	100	
40% noise	92	76	98	
Combined and 20% noise	77	57	89	

 Table 5. Results for the letter and geometric symbol problems

 with PREP2

Transformation	Letters (%)	Symbols (%)	
Rotation	89	94	
Scaling	94	100	
Translation	100	100	
Combined	79	84	
20% noise	96	100	
40% noise	84	97	
Combined and 20% noise	60	78	

in Fig. 24. Figures 31-35 give the performance on single grid images of the geometric symbols, using PREP1. Figures 36-40 give the performance using PREP2.

Figure 25 gives the classification results for the two versions of the preprocessor on the distorted versions of the geometric symbols. PREP1 could detect only 50% of the distorted patterns, while PREP2 managed to successfully classify 90%. The performance difference emerges from the axial scaling correction ability of this preprocessor.

Table 3 shows the (average) percentage of geometric symbols correctly classified after undergoing 100 random transformations of the type stated in the first column.

Tables 4 and 5 present results for the two preprocessors respectively, for the three problems above.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have presented a hybrid pattern classification system which can classify patterns independent of any deformations of translation, scaling and rotation. The system uses a preprocessor which maps input patterns to a set of canonical patterns

which is then classified by a multilayer neural network. The artificial neural network is trained using the popular backpropagation algorithm. The use of the preprocessor reduces the number of training patterns to two per example pattern to be classified instead of a much larger number if the networks were to be trained on all possible distortions of the patterns. Results from three different applications were presented. In classification of letters of the English alphabet the system was able to correctly classify 89% of the inputs which were deformed by random rotations, translations and scaling. The performance is much better when distortions were only of one kind, with 100% of the inputs distorted by only translations correctly classified. In the recognition of geometric figures, the system was able to correctly classify 88%of the inputs which were deformed by all three kinds of distortions while the performance was almost perfect when the random distortions were only of one kind. The overall performance for recognition of the Japanese Katakana alphabet was worse compared to the other two applications. Of the inputs with combined deformations 68% were correctly classified. Even though the performance for inputs which are distorted only by translations or scaling is very good, the performance for rotationally distorted inputs was about 75%. The main reason for this loss of performance is that some of the letters in this case are very similar to each other differing by very small visual features. When patterns that are already deformed are processed with the preprocessors, a certain amount of superfluous visual features may be introduced during mapping between images. The system presented here is independent of the application domain and leaves features extraction to the neural network and thus can be applied in other domains with relative simplicity.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. O. Duda and P. E. Hart, Pattern Classification and Scene Analysis. Wiley, New York (1973).
- K. Fukunaga, Introduction to Statistical Pattern Recognition. Academic Press, New York (1972).
- E. Barnard and D. Casasent, Shift invariance and the neocognitron, Neural Networks 3, 403-410 (1990).
- Y. Le Cun et al., Handwritten digit recognition: applications of neural network chips and automatic learning, *IEEE Commun. Mag.* 41-46 (November 1989).
- Y. Le Cun et al., Handwritten zip code recognition with multilayer networks, Proc. 10th Int. Conf. on Pattern Recognition, Atlantic City, New Jersey, U.S.A., pp. 35-40. IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamos (1990).
- K. Fukushima, S. Miyake and T. Ito, Neocognitron: a neural network model for a mechanism of visual pattern recognition, *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* SMC-13, 826-834 (1983).
- A. Khotanzad and J. Lu, Classification of invariant image representations using a neural network, *IEEE Trans. Acoustics Speech Signal Process.* 38 (6), 1028-1038 (June 1990).
- H. A. Malki and A. Moghaddamjoo, Using the Karhunen– Loéve transformations in the back-propagation training algorithm, *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks* 2(1), 162–165 (January 1991).
- 9. A. P. Reeves, R. J. Prokop, S. E. Andrews and F. Kuhl, Three-dimensional shape analysis using moments and

Fourier descriptors, IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis Mach. Intell. 10(6), 937-943 (November 1988).

- A. Kryzak, S. Y. Leung and C. Y. Suen, Reconstruction of two-dimensional patterns by Fourier descriptors, *Proc.* 9th ICPR, Rome, Italy, pp. 555-558 (November 1988).
- E. Persoon and K. S. Fu, Shape discrimination using Fourier descriptors, *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* SMC-7, 170-179 (March 1977).
- C. T. Zhan and C. T. Roskies, Fourier descriptors for plane closed curves, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* C-21, 269– 281 (March 1972).
- R. L. Kashyap and R. Chellappa, Stochastic models for closed boundary analysis: representation and reconstruction, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* IT-27, 627-637 (September 1981).
- 14. Y. N. Hsu, H. H. Arsenault and G. April, Rotational invariant digital pattern recognition using circular harmonic expansion, *Appl. Optics* 21, 4012–4015 (1982).
- 15. K. S. Fu, Syntactic Pattern Recognition and Application. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (1982).
- M. Kirby and L. Sirovich, Application of the Karhunen-Loéve procedures for the characterization of human faces, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis Mach. Intell.* 12(1), 103-108 (January 1990).
- S. Casasent and D. Psaltis, Position, rotation, and scale invariant correlation, *Appl. Optics* 15, 1795-1799 (1976).
- S. Kollias, A. Tirakis and T. Millios, An efficient approach to invariant recognition of images using higher-order neural networks, *Artificial Neural Networks*, T. Kohonen, K. Makisara, O. Simula and J. Kangas, eds, pp. 87-92. Elsevier Science, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1991).
- G. L. Martin and J. A. Pittman, Recognition handprinted letters and digits using backpropagation learning, *Neural Computation* No. 3, 258-267 (1991).
- D. E. Rumelhart, G. E. Hinton and R. J. Williams, Learning internal representations by error propagation, *Parallel Distributed Processing*, D. E. Rumelhart and J. L. McClelland, eds, Vol. 1, pp. 318–362. MIT Press, Bradford Books, Cambridge, Massachusetts (1986).
- R. C. Gonzales and P. Wintz, *Digital Image Processing*, pp. 122–130. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts (1987).
- 22. K. Oflazer and D. Ercoşkun, Implementation of backpropagation learning algorithm on a hypercube parallel processor system, *Proc. ISCIS V, The Fifth Int. Symp.* on Computer and Information Science, Türkiye (1990).
- C. Yüceer and K. Oflazer, A rotation, scaling, and translation invariant pattern classification system, *Proc. ISCIS VI, The Sixth Int. Symp. on Computer and Information Science*, Side, Türkiye, Mehmet Baray and Bülent Özgüç, eds, Vol. 2, pp. 859-869 (October 1991).

APPENDIX A. DERIVATION OF THE R-BLOCK FUNCTIONS

Define

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N f_{\mathsf{TS}}(x_i, y_j)} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N f_{\mathsf{TS}}(x_i, y_j) \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_j \end{bmatrix}$$
(A1)

=

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_i, y_j)$$
(A2)

$$T_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i^2, \quad T_{yy} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\text{TS}}(x_i, y_j) \cdot y_j^2$$
(A3)

$$T_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{TS}(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i \cdot y_j.$$
(A4)

The covariance matrix defined as

$$C = \frac{1}{P} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix} \right)^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(A5)

can be simplified to

$$C = \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\mathsf{TS}}(x_i, y_j)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\mathsf{TS}}(x_i, y_j) \times \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_j \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} - \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}.$$
 (A6)

For our application, the averages m_x and m_y are zero since we have provided translational invariancy. Furthermore, the averaging fraction in front of the matrix can be eliminated since it does not change the direction of the eigenvectors of the correlation matrix. Therefore, the correlation matrix can be written as

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} T_{xx} & T_{xy} \\ T_{xy} & T_{yy} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (A7)

The eigenvalues of this matrix are computed from

$$(\lambda I - C) = \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda - T_{xx}) & -T_{xy} \\ -T_{xy} & (\lambda - T_{yy}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(A8)

$$(\lambda - T_{xx})(\lambda - T_{yy}) - T_{xy}^2 = 0$$
 (A9)

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{T_{yy} + T_{xx} \pm \sqrt{((T_{yy} + T_{xx})^2 - 4 \cdot (T_{xx} \cdot T_{yy} - T_{xy}^2))}}{2}$$
(A10)

then the corresponding eigenvectors can be derived as

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{xx} & T_{xy} \\ T_{xy} & T_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{xx} \cdot x + T_{xy} \cdot y = \lambda \cdot x$$
(A11)

$$T_{xy} \cdot x + T_{yy} \cdot y = \lambda \cdot y \tag{A12}$$

$$\frac{y}{x} = \frac{\lambda - T_{xx}}{T_{xy}}.$$
 (A13)

Substituting the greatest eigenvalue, one gets the slope of the eigenvector as

$$\frac{y}{x} = \frac{T_{yy} - T_{xx} + \sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}{2 \cdot T_{xy}}.$$
 (A14)

Hence, the sine and cosine for this slope can be derived from

$$\sin\theta = \frac{y}{\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)}} \tag{A15}$$

$$=\frac{(T_{yy}-T_{xx})+\sqrt{((T_{yy}-T_{xx})^{2}+4\cdot T_{xy}^{2})}}{\sqrt{(8\cdot T_{xy}^{2}+2\cdot (T_{yy}-T_{xx})^{2}+2\cdot (T_{yy}-T_{xx})\sqrt{((T_{yy}-T_{xx})^{2}+4\cdot T_{xy}^{2})})}$$
(A16)

$$\cos\theta = \frac{x}{\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)}} \tag{A17}$$

$$= \frac{2 \cdot T_{xy}}{\sqrt{(8 \cdot T_{xy}^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})} \sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}.$$
 (A18)

The mapping function of the R-Block is

 $f_{\mathsf{TSR}}(x_i, y_i) = f_{\mathsf{TS}}(\cos\theta \cdot x_i - \sin\theta \cdot y_i, \sin\theta \cdot x_i + \cos\theta \cdot y_i).$

sii

$$T_{xy} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i \cdot y_j\right) - P \cdot x_{av} \cdot y_{av}.$$
 (B9)

(A19) The sine and cosine of the rotation angle remain unchanged

$$h\theta = \frac{(T_{yy} - T_{xx}) + \sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}{\sqrt{(8 \cdot T_{xy}^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})}\sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2)}}$$
(B10)

$$\cos\theta = \frac{2 \cdot T_{xy}}{\sqrt{(8 \cdot T_{xy}^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 2 \cdot (T_{yy} - T_{xx})} \sqrt{((T_{yy} - T_{xx})^2 + 4 \cdot T_{xy}^2))}}.$$
(B11)

APPENDIX B. DERIVATION OF THE PREP2 FUNCTIONS

Define

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j)$$
(B1)

$$x_{av} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i}{P}$$
(B2)

$$y_{av} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot y_j}{P}.$$
 (B3)

Recalling from equation (9), the formula for T_{xx} which was

$$T_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\mathsf{T}}(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i^2$$
(B4)

can be written as

$$T_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_i) (x_i - x_{av})^2$$
(B5)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i^2 - 2 \cdot x_{av} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i + x_{av}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j)$$
(B6)

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}f(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i^2\right) - P \cdot x_{av}^2.$$
(B7)

Similarly

$$T_{yy} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) \cdot y_j^2\right) - P \cdot y_{av}^2$$
(B8)

In order to maintain scaling correction on the translation and rotation invariant image, we define the scaling factors as

$$s_{\mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\mathsf{TR}}(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i^2}{R_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot P}\right)}$$
(B12)

$$s_y = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\mathsf{TR}}(x_i, y_j) \cdot y_j^2}{R_y \cdot P}\right)}$$
(B13)

The numerator term can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{TR}(x_i, y_j) \cdot x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) [(x_i - x_{av}) \cos \theta + (y_i + y_{av}) \sin \theta]^2$$
(B14)

which simplifies into

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i, y_j) [(x_i^2 - x_{av}^2) \cos^2 \theta + 2(x_i y_j - x_{av} y_{av}) \cos \theta \sin \theta + (y_i^2 - y_{av}^2) \sin^2 \theta]$$
(B15)

substituting T_{xx} , T_{xy} , and T_{yy} one gets

$$s_{x} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{T_{xx} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{2} + 2 \cdot T_{xy} \cdot \cos \theta \cdot \sin \theta + T_{yy} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{2}}{R_{x} \cdot P}\right)}$$
(B16)

similarly the scaling factor s_{y} can be derived as

$$s_{y} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{T_{xx} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{2} + 2 \cdot T_{xy} \cdot \cos \theta \cdot \sin \theta + T_{yy} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{2}}{R_{y} \cdot P}\right)}.$$
(B17)

About the Author—CEM YÜCEER is a Ph D. student at the Department of Computer Engineering and Information Science at Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey. He obtained a B.S. degree in electrical engineering from Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey and an M.S. degree in computer engineering and information science from Bilkent University. His research interests are in neural networks and pattern recognition.

About the Author—KEMAL OFLAZER is with the Department of Computer Engineering and Information Science at Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey. He obtained his Ph.D. from the Department of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, U.S.A. He obtained his M.S. degree in computer engineering and his B.S. degree in electrical engineering from Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey. His current research interests are in artificial neural networks, connectionist modeling of higher level symbolic processes, computational linguistics and parallel processing for nonnumeric applications.