

**Since the other portions of Ch. 19 have been covered in recitation and are in my notes, here's an example that may help you with your homework.**

**19.13)** Using the node rule, my six equations correspond to these loops:

$$A \rightarrow C \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow A$$

$$A \rightarrow C \rightarrow E \rightarrow D \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow A$$

$$A \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow A$$

$$A \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow A$$

$$D \rightarrow F \rightarrow E$$

$$C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E$$

corresponding, respectively, to

$$20 - 10I_1 - 15I_4 - 12I_6 - 20I_1 = 0$$

$$20 - 10I_1 - 15I_4 - 5 - 30I_3 - 20I_1 = 0$$

$$20 - 10I_1 - 20I_2 + 5 - 12I_6 - 20I_1 = 0$$

$$20 - 10I_1 - 20I_2 - 30I_3 - 20I_1 = 0$$

$$-5 - 30I_3 + 12I_6 = 0$$

$$5 + 15I_4 - 20I_2 = 0$$

Let me put these into Mathematica (the preferred way to do this would be row reduction, using linear algebra, but this is an example, not an exercise).

To solve this, I need six equations in six unknowns. I took three of the ones above:

$$20 - 10I_1 - 15I_4 - 12I_6 - 20I_1 = 0$$

$$20 - 10I_1 - 15I_4 - 5 - 30I_3 - 20I_1 = 0$$

$$20 - 10I_1 - 20I_2 + 5 - 12I_6 - 20I_1 = 0$$

and supplemented them with three node rule equations:

$$I_1 = I_4 + I_2 \quad I_1 = I_3 + I_6 \quad I_6 = I_5 + I_4 .$$

Mathematica gives me as my results:

```
In[1]:= N[Solve[{20 - 10 I1 - 15 I4 - 12 I6 - 20 I1 == 0,
                20 - 10 I1 - 15 I4 - 5 - 30 I3 - 20 I1 == 0,
                20 - 10 I1 - 20 I2 + 5 - 12 I6 - 20 I1 == 0,
                I1 == I4 + I2, I1 == I3 + I6, I6 == I5 + I4},
                {I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6}]]
```

```
Out[1]:= {{I1 -> 0.439394, I2 -> 0.331169, I3 -> 0.00649351,
           I4 -> 0.108225, I5 -> 0.324675, I6 -> 0.4329}}
```

b) It is easy to verify that these work as solutions:

```

In[2]:=
Clear[I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6];
I1 = 0.440;
I2 = 0.331;
I3 = 0.006;
I4 = 0.108;
I5 = 0.325;
I6 = 0.432;
20 - 10 I1 - 15 I4 - 12 I6 - 20 I1
20 - 10 I1 - 15 I4 - 5 - 30 I3 - 20 I1
20 - 10 I1 - 20 I2 + 5 - 12 I6 - 20 I1
20 - 10 I1 - 20 I2 - 30 I3 - 20 I1
-5 - 30 I3 + 12 I6
5 + 15 I4 - 20 I2
Out[9]= -0.004
Out[10]= 0.
Out[11]= -0.004
Out[12]= 0.
Out[13]= 0.004
Out[14]= 0.

```

just as expected (notice that these results should equal the right-hand sides from my equations in part a).

- c) With the negative lead on G and a positive lead on C, I use the loop rule, partially: The voltmeter should read  $-10I_1 + 20 - 20I_1 = 6.8$  volts (I have used the loop rule following the path from negative to positive, with a positive sign since the meter is properly connected with negative to low potential and positive to high.) Since potential is path independent, there's no reason that I couldn't also follow the loop around backwards from G: I could take  $15I_4 + 12I_6 = 20I_2 + 30I_e = 6.8$  also.
- d) The power output is simply  $I\Delta V = 5I_5 = 1.62$  Watts. The battery is being charged This is not a very good way to charge a modern battery. Pulse-charging is recommended—but this does work, and interestingly an immense amount of research goes into determining how charged a battery is. Its voltage will quickly jump from zero to the charging potential, but then will level out for a long period of time. In this region, it is hard to tell how charged the battery is. Charging it too much will destroy it!

$$\frac{I}{A} = \sigma E \quad R = \frac{L}{\sigma A}$$

e)  $E = \frac{I}{A\sigma} \quad \sigma = \frac{L}{RA}$

$$E = \frac{I_6 R}{L} = \frac{0.432 \cdot 12}{0.003} = 1728 \frac{N}{C}$$

Interestingly, area dropped out! It seems paradoxical at first until you realize that the resistance includes it as a factor subtly.