Chapter 1

(+)

 \oplus

1. (a) Identity arrows behave correctly, for if $f \subset A \times B$, then

$$f \circ 1_A = \{ \langle a, b \rangle \mid \exists a' \in A : \langle a, a' \rangle \in 1_A \land \langle a', b \rangle \in f \}$$
$$= \{ \langle a, b \rangle \mid \exists a' \in A : a = a' \land \langle a', b \rangle \in f \}$$
$$= \{ \langle a, b \rangle \mid \langle a, b \rangle \in f \} = f$$

and symmetrically $1_B \circ f = f$. Composition is associative; if $f \subseteq A \times B$, $g \subseteq B \times C$, and $h \subseteq C \times D$, then

$$\begin{split} (h \circ g) \circ f &= \{ \langle a, d \rangle \mid \exists b : \langle a, b \rangle \in f \land \langle b, d \rangle \in h \circ g \} \\ &= \{ \langle a, d \rangle \mid \exists b : \langle a, b \rangle \in f \land \langle b, d \rangle \in \{ \langle b, d \rangle \mid \exists c : \langle b, c \rangle \in g \land \langle c, d \rangle \in h \} \} \\ &= \{ \langle a, d \rangle \mid \exists b : \langle a, b \rangle \in f \land \exists c : \langle b, c \rangle \in g \land \langle c, d \rangle \in h \} \\ &= \{ \langle a, d \rangle \mid \exists b \exists c : \langle a, b \rangle \in f \land \langle b, c \rangle \in g \land \langle c, d \rangle \in h \} \\ &= \{ \langle a, d \rangle \mid \exists c : (\exists b : \langle a, b \rangle \in f \land \langle b, c \rangle \in g) \land \langle c, d \rangle \in h \} \\ &= \{ \langle a, d \rangle \mid \exists c : \langle a, b \rangle \in g \circ f \land \langle c, d \rangle \in h \} \\ &= h \circ (g \circ f). \end{split}$$

- 2. (a) **Rel** \cong **Rel**^{op}. The isomorphism functor (in both directions) takes an object A to itself, and takes a relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ to the *opposite relation* $f^{\text{op}} \subseteq B \times A$ defined by $f^{\text{op}} := \{\langle b, a \rangle \mid \langle a, b \rangle \in f\}$. It is straightforward to check that this is a functor **Rel** \to **Rel**^{op} and **Rel**^{op} \to **Rel**, and it is its own inverse.
 - (b) **Sets** \cong **Sets**^{op}. Consider maps into the empty set \emptyset ; there is exactly one. If **Sets** \cong **Sets**^{op} held, there would have to be a corresponding set \emptyset' with exactly one arrow out of it.
 - (c) $P(X) \cong P(X)^{\text{op}}$. The isomorphism takes each element U of the powerset to its complement X U. Functoriality amounts to the fact that $U \subseteq V$ implies $X V \subseteq X U$.
- 3. (a) A bijection f from a set A to a set B, and its inverse f^{-1} , comprise an isomorphism; $f(f^{-1}(b)) = b$ and $f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$, and so $f \circ f^{-1} = 1_B$ and $f^{-1} \circ f = 1_A$, by definition of the inverse. If an arrow $f : A \to B$ in **Sets** is an isomorphism, then there is an arrow $g : B \to A$ such that $f \circ g = 1_B$ and $g \circ f = 1_A$. The arrow f is an injection because f(a) = f(a') implies a = g(f(a)) = g(f(a')) = a', and f is surjective because every $b \in B$ has a preimage, namely g(b), since f(g(b)) = b.

⊕

(b) Monoid homomorphisms that are isomorphisms are also isomorphisms in **Sets**, so by the previous solution they are bijective homomorphisms. It remains to show that bijective homomorphisms are isomorphisms. It is sufficient to show that the inverse mapping of a bijective homomorphism $f: M \to N$ is a homomorphism. But we have

$$f^{-1}(b \star_N b') = f^{-1}(f(f^{-1}(b)) \star_N f(f^{-1}(b')))$$

= $f^{-1}(f(f^{-1}(b) \star_M f^{-1}(b')))$
= $f^{-1}(b) \star_M f^{-1}(b')$

and $f^{-1}(e_N) = f^{-1}(f(e_M)) = e_M$.

- (c) Consider the posets $A = (U, \leq_A)$ and $B = (U, \leq_B)$ given by $U = \{0, 1\}$, $\leq_A = \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle\}$, and $\leq_B = \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle\}$. The identity function $i : U \to U$ is an arrow $A \to B$ in **Posets**, and it is a bijection, but the only arrows $B \to A$ in **Posets** are the two constant functions $U \to U$, because arrows in **Posets** must be monotone. Neither is an inverse to i, which is therefore not an isomorphism.
- 6. The coslice category C/\mathbf{C} , the category whose objects are arrows $f: C \to A$ for $A \in \mathbf{C}$ and whose arrows $f \to f'$ are arrows h completing commutative triangles



can equivalently be described as $(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}/C)^{\mathrm{op}}$. For example, in the above diagram f, f' are arrows *into* C in the opposite category \mathbf{C}^{op} , so they are objects in the slice $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}/C$. The arrow h is $B \to A$ in \mathbf{C}^{op} and $h \circ f = f'$ so it is an arrow $B \to A$ in $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}/C$, hence an arrow $A \to B$ in $(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}/C)^{\mathrm{op}}$.

9. The free category on the graph



⊕

 \oplus

Au: Please confirm the sequence of number is OK.

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

281

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

is

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus



plus three identity arrows, one for each object. The free category on the graph

•
$$\xrightarrow{f}$$
 •

has infinitely many arrows, all possible finite sequence of alternating fs and gs—there are two empty sequences (i.e., identity arrows), one for each object.

10. The graphs whose free categories have exactly six arrows are the discrete graph with six nodes, and the following 10 graphs:



11. (a) The functor $M : \mathbf{Sets} \to \mathbf{Mon}$ that takes a set X to the free monoid on X (i.e., strings over X and concatenation) and takes a function $f : X \to Y$ to the function M(f) defined by $M(f)(a_1 \dots a_k) = f(a_1) \dots f(a_k)$ is a functor; M(f) is a monoid homomorphism $MX \to MY$ since it preserves the monoid identity (the empty string) and the monoid operation (composition). It can be checked that M preserves identity functions and composition: $M(1_X)(a_1 \dots a_k) = 1_X(a_1) \dots 1_X(a_k) = a_1 \dots a_k$ and

$$M(g \circ f)(a_1 \dots a_k) = (g \circ f)(a_1) \dots (g \circ f)(a_k)$$
$$= g(f(a_1)) \dots g(f(a_k)) = M(g)(M(f)(a_1 \dots a_k))$$
$$= (M(g) \circ M(f))(a_1 \dots a_k).$$

12. Let **D** be a category and $h: G \to U(\mathbf{D})$ be a graph homomorphism. Suppose \bar{h} is a functor $\mathbf{C}(G) \to \mathbf{D}$ such that

$$U(\bar{h}) \circ i = h \tag{(*)}.$$

⊕

 \oplus

From this equation, we see that $U(\bar{h})(i(x)) = h(x)$ for all vertices and edges $x \in G$. So the behavior of \bar{h} on objects and paths of length one (i.e., arrows in the image of i) in $\mathbf{C}(G)$ is completely determined by the requirement (*). But since \bar{h} is assumed to be a functor, and so must preserve composition, its behavior on arrows in $\mathbf{C}(G)$ that correspond to longer paths in G is also determined, by a simple induction. Now it must be that $\bar{h}(f_1 \cdots f_k) = h(f_1) \circ \cdots h(f_k)$ if \bar{h} is a functor, and similarly $\bar{h}(\varepsilon_A) = 1_A$, where ε_A is the empty path at A. So uniqueness of \bar{h} is established, and it is easily checked that this definition is indeed a functor, so the universal mapping property (UMP) is satisfied.

Chapter 2

 \oplus

- 1. Suppose $f : A \to B$ is epi and not surjective. Choose $b \in B$ not in the range of f. Define $g_1, g_2 : B \to \{0, 1\}$ as follows: $g_1(x) = 0$ for all $x \in B$, and $g_2(x) = 1$ if x = b, and 0 otherwise. Note that $g_1 \circ f = g_2 \circ f$ by choice of b, a contradiction. In the other direction, suppose f is surjective, and suppose $g_1, g_2 : B \to C$ are such that $g_1 \neq g_2$. Then there is $b \in B$ such that $g_1(b) \neq g_2(b)$. By assumption, b has a preimage a such that f(a) = b. So $g_1(f(a)) \neq g_2(f(a))$ and $g_1 \circ f \neq g_2 \circ f$.
- 4. (a) Iso: the inverse of h is $f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$. Monic: If $h \circ k_1 = h \circ k_2$, then $g \circ f \circ k_1 = g \circ f \circ k_2$. Since g is monic, $f \circ k_1 = f \circ k_2$. Since f is monic, $k_1 = k_2$. Epi: dual argument.
 - (b) If $f \circ k_1 = f \circ k_2$, then $g \circ f \circ k_1 = g \circ f \circ k_2$. Since h is monic, $k_1 = k_2$.
 - (c) Dual argument to (b).
 - (d) In **Sets**, put $A = C = \{0\}$, $B = \{0, 1\}$, and all arrows constantly 0. h is monic but g is not.

- 5. Suppose $f: A \to B$ is an isomorphism. Then f is mono because $f \circ k_1 = f \circ k_2$ implies $k_1 = f^{-1} \circ f \circ k_1 = f^{-1} \circ f \circ k_2 = k_2$, and dually f is mono also. Trivially, f is split mono and split epi because $f \circ f^{-1} = 1_B$ and $f^{-1} \circ f = 1_A$. So we know $(a) \Rightarrow (b), (c), (d)$. If f is mono and split epi, then there is g such that $f \circ g = 1_B$. But since f is mono, $(f \circ g) \circ f = f \circ (g \circ f) = f = f \circ 1_A$ implies $g \circ f = 1_A$ and so g is in fact the inverse of f, and we have $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. Dually, $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$. The fact that $(d) \Rightarrow (b), (c)$ needs only that split mono implies mono (or dually that split epi implies epi). If there is g such that $g \circ f = 1_A$, then $f \circ k_1 = f \circ k_2$ implies $k_1 = g \circ f \circ k_1 = g \circ f \circ k_2 = k_2$.
- 6. If $h: G \to H$ is injective on edges and vertices, and $h \circ f = h \circ g$ in **Graphs**, then the underlying set functions on edges and vertices are mono arrows in **Sets**, so the edge and vertex parts of f and g are equal, and so f = g. If $h: G \to H$ is mono in **Graphs**, and it is not injective on vertices, then there are two vertices v, w such that h(v) = h(w). Let 1 be the graph with one vertex, and f, g be graph homomorphisms $1 \to G$ taking that vertex to v, w, respectively. Then, $h \circ f = h \circ g$. A similar argument holds for edges.
- 9. First, in the category **Pos**, an arrow is epi iff it is surjective: suppose that $f: A \to B$ is surjective and let $g, h: B \to C$ with gf = hf. In **Pos**, this means that g and h agree on the image of f, which by surjectivity is all of B. Hence g = h and f is epi. On the other hand, suppose f is not epi and that $g, h: B \to C$ witness this. Since $g \neq h$, there is some $b \in B$ with $g(b) \neq h(b)$. But from this $b \notin f(A)$, and so A is not surjective. Next, the singleton set $\mathbf{1}$, regarded as a poset, is projective: suppose $f: \mathbf{1} \to Y$ and $e: X \to Y$ are arrows in **Pos**, with e epi. Then e is surjective, so there

Y and $e: X \to Y$ are arrows in **Pos**, with e epi. Then e is surjective, so there is some $x \in X$ with e(x) = f(*). Any map $* \mapsto x$ witnesses the projectivity of **1**.

10. Any set A is projective in **Pos**: suppose that $f : A \to Y$ and $e : X \to Y$ are arrows in **Pos**. Choose for each $y \in Y$ an element $x_y \in X$ with $f(x_y) = y$; this is possible since e is epi and hence surjective. Now define a map $\overline{f} : A \to X$ by $a \mapsto x_{f(a)}$. Since A is discrete this is necessarily monotonic, and we have $e\overline{f} = f$, so A is projective.

For contrast, the two element poset $P = \{0 \leq 1\}$ is not projective. Indeed, we may take f to be the identity and X to be the discrete two-element set $\{a, b\}$. Then the surjective map $e : a \mapsto 0, b \mapsto 1$ is an epi, since it is surjective. However, any monotone map $g : P \to \{a, b\}$ must identify 0 and 1, since the only arrows in the second category are identities. But then $e \circ g \neq 1_P$. Thus, there is no function g lifting the identity map on P across e, so P is not projective.

Moreover, every projective object in **Pos** is discrete: For suppose Q is projective. We can always consider the discretation |Q| of Q, which has the same objects as Q and only identity arrows. We clearly get a map $|Q| \rightarrow Q$ which is surjective and hence epi. This means that we can complete the

 \oplus

 \oplus

diagram



But the only object function that could possibly commute in this situation is the object identity. Then,

$$x \le x' \iff f(x) \le f(x') \iff f(x) = f(x') \iff x = x'.$$

But then the only arrows of Q are identity arrows, so Q is discrete, as claimed. Thus, the projective posets are exactly the discrete sets. Clearly, composition of maps and identity arrows of discrete posets are exactly those of **Set**, so **Set** is a subcategory of **Pos**. Moreover, every function between discrete sets is monotone, so this is a full subcategory.

11. The UMP of a free monoid states that for any $f: A \to UB$, there is a unique $\bar{f}: MA \to B$ such that



⊕

 \oplus

commutes. For $\eta : A \to UM$ to be an initial object in A-Mon, it must be that for object $f : A \to UB$, there is a unique arrow \overline{f} in A-Mon from η to f. But the definition of arrow in A-Mon is such that this arrow must complete exactly the commutative triangle (*) above. Therefore, the two characterizations of the free monoid coincide.

- 13. Let P be the iterated product $A \times (B \times C)$ with the obvious maps $p_1 : P \to A$, $p_2 : P \to B \times C \to B$, and $p_3 : P \to B \times C \to C$. Define $Q = (A \times B) \times C$ and q_i similarly. By the UMP, we get a unique map $f_1 = p_1 \times p_2 : P \to A \times B$. Applying it again, we get a unique map $f = (p_1 \times p_2) \times p_3 : P \to Q$ with $q_i f = p_i$. We can run a similar argument to get a map g in the other direction. Composing, we get $gf : P \to P$ which respects the p_i . By the UMP, such a map is unique, but the identity is another such map. Thus they must be the same, so $gf = 1_P$. Similarly $fg = 1_Q$, so f and g are inverse and $P \cong Q$.
- 17. The pairing of any arrow with the identity is in fact split mono: $\pi_1 \circ \langle 1_A, f \rangle = 1_A$. There is a functor $G : \mathbf{Sets} \to \mathbf{Rel}$ which is constant on objects and takes $f : A \to B$ to $(\operatorname{im} \langle 1_A, f \rangle) \subseteq A \times B$. It preserves identities since

(+)

 $G(1_A)$ im $\langle 1_A, 1_A \rangle = \{ \langle a, a \rangle \mid a \in A \} = 1_A \in \mathbf{Rel}$. It preserves composition because for $g: B \to C$, we have

$$G(g \circ f) = \operatorname{im} \langle 1_A, g \circ f \rangle = \{ \langle a, g(f(a)) \rangle \mid a \in A \}$$
$$= \{ \langle a, c \rangle \mid \exists b \in B.b = f(a) \land c = g(b) \}$$
$$= \{ \langle b, g(b) \rangle \mid b \in B \} \circ \{ \langle a, f(a) \rangle \mid a \in A \}$$
$$= G(g) \circ G(f).$$

 $Chapter \ 3$

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

1. In any category \mathbf{C} , the diagram

$$A \longleftarrow c_1 \qquad C \longrightarrow B$$

is a product diagram iff the mapping

$$\hom(Z, C) \longrightarrow \hom(Z, A) \times \hom(Z, B)$$

given by $f \mapsto \langle c_1 \circ f, c_2 \circ f \rangle$ is an isomorphism. Applying this fact to \mathbf{C}^{op} , the claim follows.

2. Say i_{MA} , i_{MB} are the injections into the coproduct MA+MB, and η_A , η_B are the injections into the free monoids on A, B. Put $e = [U(i_{MA}) \circ \eta_A, U(i_{MB}) \circ \eta_B]$. Let an object Z and an arrow $f : A + B \to UZ$ be given. Suppose $h: MA + MB \to Z$ has the property that

$$Uh \circ e = f \tag{(*)}$$

Because of the UMP of the coproduct, we have generally that $a \circ [b, c] = [a \circ b, a \circ c]$, and in particular

$$Uh \circ e = [Uh \circ U(i_{MA}) \circ \eta_A, Uh \circ U(i_{MB}) \circ \eta_B]$$

Because this is equal to f, which is an arrow out of A + B, and since functors preserve composition, we have

$$U(h \circ i_{MA}) \circ \eta_A = f \circ i_A$$
$$U(h \circ i_{MB}) \circ \eta_B = f \circ i_B$$

where i_A, i_B are the injections into A + B. But the UMP of the free monoid implies that $h \circ i_{MA}$ must coincide with the unique $\overline{f \circ i_A}$ that makes the

 \oplus

triangle



commute. Similarly, $h \circ i_{MB} = \overline{f \circ i_B}$. Since its behavior is known on both injections, h is uniquely determined by the condition (*); in fact, $h = [\overline{f \circ i_A}, \overline{f \circ i_B}]$. That is, the UMP of the free monoid on A + B is satisfied by MA + MB. Objects characterized by UMPs are unique up to isomorphism, so $M(A + B) \cong MA + MB$.

5. In the category of proofs, we want to see that (modulo some identifications) the coproduct of formulas φ and ψ is given by $\varphi \lor \psi$. The intro and elim rules automatically give us maps (proofs) of the coproduct from either of its disjuncts, and from pairs of proofs that begin with each of the disjuncts into a single proof beginning with the disjunction. To see that this object really is a coproduct, we must verify that this is the unique commuting arrow.



But this is simple since composition is simply concatenation of proofs. Suppose we have another proof $r : \varphi \lor \psi \to \theta$ with $r \circ i = p$. Then by disjunction elimination, r necessarily has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\varphi] & [\psi] \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \varphi \lor \psi & \theta & \theta \\ \hline \theta \end{array}$$

Applying *i* on the right simply has the effect of bringing down part of the $[\varphi]$

proof above, so that the quotienting equation now reads $r \circ i = \theta = p$. Hence, up to the presence of more detours, we know that the proof appearing as part

 \oplus

 \oplus

286

 \oplus

 \oplus

of r is exactly p. Similarly, we know that the second part of the proof θ must be q. Thus r is uniquely defined (up to detours) by p and q, $\varphi \lor \psi$ is indeed a coproduct.

6. (Equalizers in Ab). Suppose we have a diagram

$$(A, +_A, 0_A) \xrightarrow{f} (B, +_B, 0_B)$$

in **Ab**. Put $A' := \{a \in A \mid f(a) = g(a)\}$. It is easy to check that A' is in fact a subgroup of A, so it remains to be shown that

$$(A', +_A, 0_A) \hookrightarrow (A, +_A, 0_A) \xrightarrow{f} (B, +_B, 0_B)$$

is an equalizer diagram.

(+)

 \oplus

$$(X, +_X, 0_X)$$

$$(A', +_A, 0_A) \hookrightarrow (A, +_A, 0_A) \xrightarrow{f} (B, +_B, 0_B)$$

If the triangle is to commute, h(x) = z(x) for all $x \in X$, so h is uniquely determined. It is easily checked that h is a homomorphism, implying that **Ab** indeed has all equalizers.

- 14. (a) The equalizer of $f \circ \pi_1$ and $f \circ \pi_2$ is the relation $\ker(f) = \{\langle a, a' \rangle \in A \times A \mid f(a) = f(a')\}$. Symmetry, transitivity, and reflexivity of $\ker(f)$ follow immediately from the same properties of equality.
 - (b) We need to show that a pair a, a' of elements are in the kernel of the projection $q: A \longrightarrow A/R$ iff they are related by R. But this amounts to saying that q(a) = q(a') iff aRa', where $q(x) = \{x \mid xRa\}$ is the equivalence class. But this is true since R is an equivalence relation.
 - (c) Take any function $f : A \to B$ with f(a) = f(a') for all aRa'. The kernel $\ker(f)$ of f is therefore an equivalence relation that contains R, so $\langle R \rangle \subseteq \ker(f)$. It follows that f factors through the projection $q : A \longrightarrow A/\langle R \rangle$ (necessarily uniquely, since q is epic).
 - (d) The coequalizer of the projections from R is the projection $q : A \longrightarrow A/\langle R \rangle$, which has $\langle R \rangle$ as its kernel.

287

 $[\psi]$

⊕

Chapter 4

1. Given a categorical congruence \sim on a group G, the corresponding normal subgroup is $N_{\sim} := \{g \mid g \sim e\}$. N is a subgroup; it contains the identity by reflexivity of \sim . It is closed under inverse by symmetry and the fact that $e \sim g$ implies $g^{-1} = g^{-1}e \sim g^{-1}g = e$. It is closed under product because if $g \sim e$ and $h \sim e$ then $gh \sim ge = g \sim e$, and by transitivity $gh \in N_{\sim}$. It is normal because

$$x\{g \mid g \sim e\} = \{xg \mid g \sim e\} = \{x(x^{-1}h) \mid x^{-1}h \sim e\} = \{h \mid h \sim x\}$$

and

$$\{g \mid g \sim e\}x = \{gx \mid g \sim e\} = \{(hx^{-1})x \mid hx^{-1} \sim e\} = \{h \mid h \sim x\}.$$

In the other direction, the categorical congruence \sim_N corresponding to a normal subgroup N is $g \sim_N h : \iff gh^{-1} \in N$. The fact that \sim_N is an equivalence follows easily from the fact that N is a subgroup. If $f \sim_N g$, then also $hfk \sim_N hgk$, since $fg^{-1} \in N$ implies $hfkk^{-1}g^{-1}h^{-1} = hfg^{-1}h^{-1} \in N$, because N was assumed normal, and so $N = hNh^{-1}$.

Since two elements g, h of a group are in the same coset of N precisely when $gh^{-1} = e$, the quotient G/N and the quotient G/\sim coincide when N and \sim are in the correspondence described above.

6. (a)



No equations. (i.e., **3** is free)

(b)

$$1 \xrightarrow{f} 2 \longrightarrow 3$$

Equations: f = g

(c)

$$1 \xrightarrow{f} 2 \xrightarrow{h} 3$$

Equations: f = g, h = k

(d)

 \oplus



⊕

 \oplus

Equations: $f = h \circ g$

- 7. By definition of congruence, $f \sim f'$ implies $gf \sim gf'$ and $g \sim g'$ implies $gf' \sim g'f'$. By transitivity of \sim , we conclude $gf \sim g'f'$.
- 8. ~ is an equivalence because equality is. For instance, if $f \sim g$, then for all **E** and $H : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{E}$ we have $HF = HG \Rightarrow H(f) = H(g)$. But under the same conditions, we have H(g) = H(f), so $g \sim f$. Since H is assumed to be a functor, it preserves composition, and so H(hfk) = H(h)H(f)H(k) = H(h)H(g)H(k) = H(hgk) for any H such that HF = HG and any h, k, hence ~ is a congruence.

Let q be the functor assigning all the arrows in **D** to their \sim -equivalence classes in the quotient **D**/ \sim . We know q is indeed a well-defined functor by a previous exercise. Suppose we have H coequalizing F, G. By definition of \sim any arrows that H identifies are \sim -equivalent, and therefore identified also by q. There can be at most one K making the triangle in



commute, (for any $[f]_{\sim} \in \mathbf{D}/\sim$ it must be that $K([f]_{\sim}) = H(f)$) and the fact that q identifies at least as many arrows as H implies the existence of such a K. So q is indeed the coequalizer of F, G.

Chapter 5

 \oplus

- 1. Their UMPs coincide. A product in \mathbb{C}/X of f and g is an object $h: A \times_X B \to X$ and projections $\pi_1: h \to f$ and $\pi_2: h \to g$ which is terminal among such structures. The pullback of f, g requires an object $A \times_X B$ and projections $\pi_1: A \times_X B \to A$ and $\pi_2: A \times_X B \to B$ such that $f \circ \pi_1 = g \circ \pi_2$, terminal among such structures. The commutativity requirements of the pullback are exactly those imposed by the definition of arrow in the slice category.
- 2. (a) If m is monic, then the diagram is a pullback; if $m \circ f = m \circ g$, then f = g, the unique mediating map being equivalently f or g. If the diagram is a pullback, suppose $m \circ f = m \circ g$. The definition of pullback implies the unique existence of h such that $1_M \circ h = f$ and $1_M \circ h = g$, but this implies f = g.

289

 \oplus



(+)

 \oplus



Let $h, k: Z \to M'$ be given. Suppose m'h = m'k. Then, fm'h = fm'k and so mf'h = mf'k. Since m is assumed mono, f'h = f'k. The definition of pullback applied to the pair of arrows m'k, f'k implies, there is exactly one arrow $q: Z \to M'$ such that $m' \circ q = m'k$ and $f' \circ q = f'k$. But both h, kcan be substituted for q and satisfy this equation, so h = k.

- 4. Suppose $m: M \to A$ and $n: N \to A$ are subobjects of A. If $M \subseteq N$, then there is an arrow $s: M \to N$ such that $n \circ s = m$. If $z \in_A M$, then there is an arrow $f: Z \to M$ such that $m \circ f = z$. Then $s \circ f$ witnesses $z \in_A N$, since $n \circ s \circ f = m \circ f = z$. If for all $z: Z \to A$ we have $z \in_A M \Rightarrow z \in_A N$, then in particular this holds for z = m, and in fact $m \in_A M$ (via setting $f = 1_A$) so $m \in_A N$, in other words $M \subseteq N$.
- 7. We show that the representable functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(C, -) : \mathbf{C} \to \operatorname{Sets}$ preserves all small products and equalizers; it follows that it preserves all small limits, since the latter can be constructed from the former. For products, we need to show that for any set I and family $(D_i)_{i \in I}$ of objects of \mathbf{C} , there is a (canonical) isomorphism,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(C, \prod_{i \in I} D_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Hom}(C, D_i).$$

But this follows immediately from the definition of the product $\prod_{i \in I} D_i$. For equalizers, consider an equalizer in **C**,

$$E \xrightarrow{e} A \xrightarrow{f} B.$$

Applying Hom(C, -) results in the following diagram in **Sets**:

$$\operatorname{Hom}(C, E) \xrightarrow{e_*} \operatorname{Hom}(C, A) \xrightarrow{f_*} \operatorname{Hom}(C, B),$$

which is clearly an equalizer: for, given $h: C \to A$ with $f_*(h) = g_*(h)$, we therefore have $fh = f_*(h) = g_*(h) = gh$, whence there is a unique $u: C \to E$ with $h = eu = e_*(u)$.

8. We have a putative category of partial maps. We need to verify identity and associativity. The first is easy. Any object is a subobject of itself, so we may

 \oplus

set 1_A in the category of partial maps to be the pair $(1_A, A)$. It is trivial to check that this acts as an identity.

For associativity, suppose U, V, and W are subobjects of A, B, and C, respectively, and that we have maps as in the diagram:



Now let P be the pullback of $U \times_B V$ and $V \times_C W$ over E and k the associated partial map. Since we can compose pullback squares, that means that P is also the pullback of U and $V \times_C W$ over B. Since the latter is the composition of g and h, this means $k = (h \circ g) \circ f$. Similarly, $k = h \circ (g \circ f)$. Hence the composition of partial maps is associative, and this setup does describe a category.

12. If we let the numeral n denote the initial segment of the natural number sequence $\{0 \le 1 \le \ldots \le n\}$, we have a chain of inclusions in **Pos**:

 $0 \to 1 \to 2 \to \ldots \to n \to \ldots$

We would like to determine the limit and colimit of the diagram. For the limit, suppose we have a cone $\zeta_n : Z \to n$. Since 0 is the initial object, ζ_0 is constant, and each map ζ_n has ζ_0 as a factor (this is the cone condition). But each such map simply takes 0 to itself, regarded as an element of n, so that ζ_n is also the constant zero map. So the limit of the diagram can be (anything isomorphic to) the object 0 together with the inclusions $0 \to n$. Now suppose we have a co-cone $\psi_n : n \to Y$. The co-cone condition implies that ψ_n is simply the restriction of ψ_{m+n} to the subset $n \subseteq n+m$. If m < n, then

$$\psi(m) = \psi_m(m) = \psi_n(m) < \psi_n(n)$$

so this is a monotone function. For any other $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to Y$, there is some n with $\varphi(n) \neq \psi(n) = \psi_n(n)$. Thus, ψ is the unique map factoring the co-cone on Y. Thus, $\omega = \{0 \leq 1 \leq 2 \leq \ldots\}$ together with the evident injections $n \to \omega$ is the colimit of the diagram.

Chapter 6

 \oplus

 \oplus

Notation: If $f: A \to B^C$, then $ev \circ f \times C = \overline{f}: A \times C \to B$. If $f: A \times C \to B$, then $\lambda f: A \to B^C$.

2. These isomorphism are witnessed by the following pairs: $f : (A \times B)^C \to A^C \times B^C$ defined by $f = \langle \lambda(\pi_1 \circ \overline{1}_{(A \times B)^C}), \lambda(\pi_2 \circ \overline{1}_{(A \times B)^C}) \rangle$ and $f^{-1} : A^C \times B^C \to (A \times B)^C$ defined by $f^{-1} = \lambda \langle \overline{\pi_1}, \overline{\pi_2} \rangle$; and $g : (A^B)^C \to A^{B \times C}$

291

 \oplus

defined by $g = \lambda(\overline{\mathsf{ev}} \circ \alpha_{(A^B)^C})$ and $g^{-1} : A^{B \times C} \to (A^B)^C$ defined by $g^{-1} = \lambda\lambda(\mathsf{ev} \circ \alpha_{A^{B \times C}}^{-1})$, where α_Z is the evident isomorphism from associativity and commutativity of the product, up to isomorphism, $Z \times (B \times C) \to (Z \times C) \times B$.

- 3. The exponential transpose of ev is 1_{B^A} . The exponential transpose of $1_{A \times B}$ is the "partial pairing function" $A \to (A \times B)^B$ defined by $a \mapsto \lambda b : B.\langle a, b \rangle$. The exponential transpose of $ev \circ \tau$ is the "partial application function" $A \to B^{B^A}$ defined by $a \mapsto \lambda f : B^A.f(a)$.
- 6. Here we consider the category **Sub**, whose objects are pairs $(A, P \subseteq A)$, and whose arrows $f: (A, P) \to (B, Q)$ are set functions $A \to B$ such that $a \in P$ iff $f(a) \in Q$. This means that an arrow in this category is essentially a pair of arrows $f_1: P \to Q$ and $f_2: A \setminus P \to B \setminus Q$; thus, this is (isomorphic to) the category **Sets**/2.

Now, $\mathbf{Sets}/2$ is equivalent to the product category $\mathbf{Sets} \times \mathbf{Sets}$, by a previous exercise. This latter category is cartesian closed, by the equational definition of CCCs, which clearly holds in the two factors. But equivalence of categories preserves cartesian closure, so \mathbf{Sub} is also cartesian closed.

- 10. For products, check that the set of pairs of elements of ω CPOs A and B ordered pointwise, constitutes an ω CPO (with ω -limits computed pointwise) and satisfies the UMP of a product. Similarly, the exponential is the set of continuous monotone functions between A and B ordered pointwise, with limits computed pointwise. In *strict* ω CPOs, by contrast, there is exactly one map $\{\bot\} \to A$, for any object A. Since $\{\bot\} = 1$ is also a terminal object, however, given an exponential B^A there can be only one map $A \to B$, since $\operatorname{Hom}(A, B) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(1 \times A, B) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(1, B^A)$.
- 11. (a) The identity

 \oplus

$$((p \lor q) \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow ((p \Rightarrow r) \land (q \Rightarrow r))$$

"holds" in any CC poset with joins, that is, this object is equal to the top element 1. Equivalently, from the definition of \Rightarrow , we have

$$((p \lor q) \Rightarrow r) \le ((p \Rightarrow r) \land (q \Rightarrow r)),$$

as follows immediately from part (b), which shows the existence of such an arrow in any CCC.

(b) In any category where the constructions make sense, there is an arrow

$$C^{(A+B)} \to C^A \times C^B$$

Indeed, by the definition of the coproduct, we have arrows $A \to A + B$ and $B \to A + B$, to which we apply the contravariant functor $C^{(-)}$ to obtain maps $C^{(A+B)} \to A^C$ and $C^{(A+B)} \to C^B$. By the UMP of the product, this gives a map $C^{(A+B)} \to C^A \times C^B$, as desired.

⊕

 \oplus

13. This can be done directly by comparing UMPs. For a different proof (anticipating the Yoneda Lemma), consider, for an arbitrary object X, the

"11-Awodey-sol" — 2009/12/18 — 17:02 — page 293 — #15

293

 \oplus

 \oplus

bijective correspondence of arrows,

$$\frac{(A \times C) + (B \times C) \to X}{(A+B) \times C \to X}.$$

This is arrived at via the canonical isos:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}((A \times C) + (B \times C), X) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}(A \times C, X) \times \operatorname{Hom}(B \times C, X) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}(A, X^C) \times \operatorname{Hom}(B, X^C) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}(A + B, X^C) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}((A + B) \times C, X). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $X = (A \times C) + (B \times C)$, respectively $X = (A + B) \times C$, and trace the respective identity arrows through the displayed isomorphisms to arrive at the desired isomorphism

$$(A \times C) + (B \times C) \cong (A + B) \times C.$$

14. If $D = \emptyset$ then $D^D \cong 1$, so there can be no interpretation of $s: D^D \to D$. If $D \cong 1$ then also $D^D \cong 1$, so there are unique interpretations of $s: D^D \to D$ and $t: D \to D^D$. If $|D| \ge 2$ (in cardinality), then $|D^D| \ge |2^D| \ge |\mathcal{P}(D)|$, so there can be no such (split) mono $s: D^D \to D$, by Cantor's theorem on the cardinality of powersets. Thus, the only models can be $D \cong 1$, and in these, clearly all equations hold, since all terms are interpreted as maps into 1.

Chapter 7

 \oplus

Æ

1. Take any element $a \in A$ and compute

$$(\mathcal{F}(h) \circ \phi_A)(a) = \mathcal{F}(h)(\phi_A(a))$$

= $\mathcal{F}(h)(\{\mathcal{U} \in \text{Ult}(A) \mid a \in \mathcal{U}\})$
= $\mathcal{P}(\text{Ult}(h))(\{\mathcal{U} \in \text{Ult}(A) \mid a \in \mathcal{U}\})$
= $(\text{Ult}(h))^{-1}(\{\mathcal{U} \in \text{Ult}(A) \mid a \in \mathcal{U}\})$
= $\{\mathcal{V} \in \text{Ult}(B) \mid a \in \text{Ult}(h)(\mathcal{V})\}$
= $\{\mathcal{V} \in \text{Ult}(B) \mid h(a) \in \mathcal{V}\}$
= $\phi_B(h(a))$
= $(\phi_B \circ h)(a).$

4. Both functors are faithful. U is full because every monoid homomorphism between groups is a group homomorphism: if h(ab) = h(a)h(b) then $e = h(a^{-1}a) = h(a^{-1})h(a)$ and symmetrically $e = h(a)h(a^{-1})$ and so $h(a^{-1})$ is the inverse of h(a). V is not full; there are set functions between monoids that are not homomorphisms. Only V is surjective on objects (there

are, for example cyclic groups of every cardinality). Only U is injective on objects, since monoid structure uniquely determines inverses, if they exist.

5. It is easy to check that upward-closed sets are closed under unions and finite intersections. The arrow part of the functor A simply takes a monotone function $f: P \to Q$ to itself, construed as a function $f: A(P) \to A(Q)$. Preservation of identities and composition is therefore trivial, but we must check that f is in fact an arrow in **Top**. Let U be an open (that is, upward-closed) subset of A(Q). We must show that $f^{-1}(U)$ is upward-closed. Let $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ and $y \in P$ be given, and suppose $x \leq y$. We know that $f(x) \in U$ and $f(x) \leq f(y)$ since f is monotone. Because U is upward-closed, we have $f(y) \in U$, so $y \in f^{-1}(U)$ and so f is continuous.

A is trivially faithful. A is also full: Let f be a continuous function $P \to Q$. Put $D := \{q \in Q \mid f(x) \leq q\}$. Since f is continuous and D is upward-closed, $f^{-1}(D)$ is upward-closed. If $x \leq y$ then the fact that $x \in f^{-1}(D)$ implies $y \in f^{-1}(D)$ and so $f(y) \in D$. That is, $f(x) \leq f(y)$. Hence every continuous function $A(P) \to A(Q)$ is a monotone function $P \to Q$.

- 6. (a) Let the objects of **E** be those of **C**, and identify arrows in **C** if they are identified by *F*, that is, let **E** be the quotient category of **C** by the congruence induced by *F*. The functor *D* is the canonical factorization of *F* through the quotient.
 - (b) Let E be the subcategory of D whose objects are those in the image of F, and whose arrows are all the D-arrows among those objects. Let D be the inclusion of E in D and E the evident factorization of F through E.

These factorizations agree iff F itself is injective on objects and full.

7. Suppose α is a natural isomoprhism $F \to G : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$. Then it has an inverse α^{-1} . Since $\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha = 1_F$ and $\alpha \circ \alpha^{-1} = 1_G$, it must be that $\alpha_C \circ \alpha_C^{-1} = 1_{GC}$ and $\alpha_C^{-1} \circ \alpha_C = 1_{FC}$. So the components of α are isomorphisms. If conversely all α 's components are isomorphisms, then defining $\alpha_C^{-1} = (\alpha_C)^{-1}$ for all $C \in \mathbb{C}$ makes α^{-1} a natural transformation which is α 's inverse. For $f : A \to B$, knowing $Gf \circ \alpha_A = \alpha_B \circ Ff$, we compose on the left with α_B^{-1} and on the right with α_A^{-1} to obtain $Ff \circ \alpha_A^{-1} = \alpha_B^{-1} \circ Gf$, the naturality of α^{-1} .

The same does not hold for monomorphisms. Let C be the two-element poset $\{0 \le 1\}$ and D the category

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{f} C$$

such that fx = fy. Let F be the functor taking $0 \le 1$ to $x : A \to B$ and G the functor taking it to $f : B \to C$. There is a natural transformation $\alpha : F \to G$ such that $\alpha_0 = x : A \to B$ and $\alpha_1 = f : B \to C$. The

 \oplus

component f of α is not mono, but α itself is; there are no nontrivial natural transformations into F: any $\beta : H \to F$ would have to satisfy a naturality square



But H0 must be A and $\beta_0 = 1_A$. Then H1 must be either A or B, forcing β to either be the unique natural transformation to F from the functor taking $0 \leq 1$ to $1_A : A \to A$, or else the identity natural transformation on F.

8. Put $(F \times G)(C) = FC \times GC$, and $(F \times G)(f) = Ff \times Gf$. Define $(\pi_1)_C = \pi_1^{FC \times GC} : FC \times GC \to FC$ and $(\pi_2)_C = \pi_2^{FC \times GC} : FC \times GC \to GC$. It is easy to check that π_1 , and π_2 are natural. Let a functor $Z : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ and natural transformations $\alpha : Z \to F$ and $\beta \to F$ be given. By the UMP of the product, there are unique arrows $h_C : ZC \to FC \times GC$ such that $(\pi_1)_C \circ h_C = \alpha_C$ and $(\pi_2)_C \circ h_C = \beta_C$. We need to verify that

But

 \oplus

$$\pi_1^{FD\times GD} \circ Ff \times Gf \circ h_C = Ff \circ \pi_1^{FC\times GC} \circ h_C$$
$$= Ff \circ \alpha_C = \alpha_D \circ Zf = \pi_1^{FD\times GD} \circ h_D \circ Zf.$$

And similarly with the second projection, using the naturality of $\beta.$

10. To satisfy the bifunctor lemma, we need to show that for any $f: C \to C' \in \mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $g: D \to D' \in \mathbf{C}$ the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hom(C,D) & \stackrel{\hom(f,D)}{\longrightarrow} \hom(C',D) \\ & & & & \\ \hom(C,g) \\ & & & & \\ \hom(C,D') & \stackrel{\textstyle & & \\ \hom(f,D')} \mod(C',D') \end{array}$$

295

 \oplus

But either path around the square takes an arrow $h: C \to D$ and turns it into $g \circ h \circ f: C' \to D'$; thus the associativity of composition implies that the square commutes.

12. If $\mathbf{C} \simeq \mathbf{D}$, then there are functors $F : \mathbf{C} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{D} : G$ and natural isomorphisms $\alpha : \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{D}} \to FG$ and $\beta : GF \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Suppose \mathbf{C} has products, and let $D, D' \in \mathbf{D}$ be given. We claim that $F(GD \times GD')$ is a product object of D and D', with projections $\alpha_D^{-1} \circ F\pi_1^{GD \times GD'}$ and $\alpha_{D'}^{-1} \circ F\pi_2^{GD \times GD'}$. For suppose we have an object Z and arrows $a : Z \to D$ and $a' : Z \to D'$ in \mathbf{D} . There is a unique $h : GZ \to GD \times GD' \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_1^{GD \times GD'} \circ h = Ga$ and $\pi_2^{GD \times GD'} \circ h = Ga'$. Then the mediating map in \mathbf{D} is $Fh \circ \alpha_Z$. We can calculate

$$\alpha_D^{-1} \circ F \pi_1^{GD \times GD'} \circ Fh \circ \alpha_Z = \alpha_D^{-1} \circ F(\pi_1^{GD \times GD'}h) \circ \alpha_Z$$
$$= \alpha_D^{-1} \circ FGa \circ \alpha_Z$$
$$= \alpha_D^{-1} \circ \alpha_D \circ a$$
$$= a$$

and similarly for the second projection.

Uniqueness of the map $Fh \circ \alpha_Z$ follows from that of h.

16. Let **C** be given. Choose one object $D_{[C]\cong}$ from each isomorphism class $[C]\cong$ of objects in **C** and call the resulting full subcategory **D**. For every object C of **C** choose an isomorphism $i_C : C \to D_{[C]\cong}$. Then, **C** is equivalent to **D** via the inclusion functor $I : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C}$ and the functor F defined by $FC = D_{[C]\cong}$ and $F(f : A \to B) = i_B \circ f \circ i_A^{-1}$ (F is a functor because the i_C s are isomorphisms) and i construed as a natural isomorphism $\mathbf{1_D} \to FI$ and $\mathbf{1_C} \to IF$. Naturality is easy to check:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A & \stackrel{i_A}{\longrightarrow} IFA \\ f \\ f \\ g \\ B \\ \hline i_B \end{array} & IFB \end{array}$$

So \mathbf{C} is equivalent to the skeletal category \mathbf{D} .

Chapter 8

1. Let $f : C \rightleftharpoons C' : g$ be an iso. Then, clearly, $Ff : FC \rightleftharpoons FC' : Fg$ is also one. Conversely, if $p : FC \rightleftharpoons FC' : q$ is an iso, then since F is full there are $f : C \rightleftharpoons C' : g$ with Ff = p and Fg = q. Then $g \circ f = 1_C$ since $F(g \circ f) = Fg \circ Ff = 1_{FC} = F(1_C)$, and F is faithful. Similarly, $f \circ g = 1_{C'}$.

2. Given two natural transformations $\varphi, \psi : P \to Q$, where $P, Q \in \mathbf{Sets}^{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}$, assume that for each $C \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\theta : yC \to P$, we have $\varphi \circ \theta = \psi \circ \theta$. In other words,

$$\varphi_C * = \psi_C * : \hom(yC, P) \to \hom(yC, Q).$$

The Yoneda Lemma gives us a bijection $hom(yC, P) \cong PC$ for each C, and these bijections are natural in P, so the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \hom(yC,P) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & PC \\ & & & & \\ \varphi_C^* = \psi_C^* & \varphi_C & & \\ &$$

But then both φ_C and ψ_C must be given by the single composition through the left side of the square, so that $\varphi = \psi$.

3. The following isos are natural in Z:

$$\begin{aligned} \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(Z, A^B \times A^C) &\cong \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(Z, A^B) \times \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(Z, A^C) \\ &\cong \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(Z \times B, A) \times \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(Z \times C, A) \\ &\cong \hom_{\mathbf{C}}((Z \times B) + (Z \times C), A) \\ &\cong \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(Z \times (B + C), A) \\ &\cong \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(Z, A^{B+C}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A^B \times A^C \cong A^{B+C}$, since the Yoneda embedding is full and faithful. The case of $(A \times B)^C \cong A^C \times B^C$ is similar.

6. Limits in functor categories $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{C}}$ can be computed "pointwise": given $F: \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{C}}$ set

$$(\varprojlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} Fj)(C) = \varprojlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} (Fj(C)).$$

Thus, it suffices to have limits in **D** in order to have limits in $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{C}}$. Colimits in $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{C}}$ are limits in $(\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{C}})^{\mathrm{op}} = (\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}$.

7. The following are natural in C:

 \oplus

 \oplus

$$y(A \times B)(C) \cong \hom(C, A \times B)$$
$$\cong \hom(C, A) \times \hom(C, B)$$
$$\cong y(A)(C) \times y(B)(C)$$
$$\cong (y(A) \times y(B))(C),$$

297

 \oplus

so
$$y(A \times B) \cong y(A) \times y(B)$$
. For exponentials, take any A, B, C and compute:

$$B) \cong y(A) \times y(B). \text{ For exponentials, take any } A$$
$$y(B)^{y(A)}(C) \cong \hom(yC, yB^{yA})$$
$$\cong \hom(yC \times yA, yB)$$
$$\cong \hom(y(C \times A), yB)$$
$$\cong \hom(C \times A, B)$$
$$\cong \hom(C, B^{A})$$
$$\cong y(B^{A})(C).$$

11. (a) For any poset \mathbf{P} , the subobject classifier Ω in $\mathbf{Sets}^{\mathbf{P}}$ is the functor:

$$\Omega(p) = \{ F \subseteq \mathbf{P} \mid (x \in F \Rightarrow p \le x) \land (x \in F \land x \le y \Rightarrow y \in F) \}$$

that is, $\Omega(p)$ is the set of all upper sets above p. The action of Ω on $p \leq q$ is by "restriction": $F \mapsto F|_q = \{x \in F \mid q \leq x\}$. The point $t: 1 \to \Omega$ is given by selecting the maximal upper set above p,

$$t_p(*) = \{x \mid p \le x\}.$$

In \mathbf{Sets}^2 , the subobject classifier is therefore the functor $\Omega: \mathbf{2} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ defined by

$$\Omega(0) = \{\{0, 1\}, \{1\}\}$$

$$\Omega(1) = \{\{1\}\},\$$

together with the natural transformation $t: 1 \rightarrow \Omega$ with

$$t_0(*) = \{0, 1\}$$
$$t_1(*) = \{1\}.$$

In **Sets**^{ω}, the subobject classifier is the functor $\Omega: \omega \to$ **Sets** defined by

$$\Omega(0) = \{\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}, \dots\}$$

$$\Omega(1) = \{\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}, \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}, \dots\}$$

 $\dot{\cdot} = \dot{\cdot}$

with the transition maps $\Omega(n) \to \Omega(n+1)$ defined by taking $\{n, n+1, n+1\}$ 2,...} to $\{n+1, n+2, n+3, ...\}$ and like sets to themselves, together with the natural transformation $t: 1 \to \Omega$ with

$$t_0(*) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$t_1(*) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

$$t_n(*) = \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}.$$

 \oplus

 \oplus

298

 \oplus

 \oplus

"11-Awodey-sol" — 2009/12/18 — 17:02 — page 299 — #21

SOLUTIONS TO SELECTED EXERCISES 299

(b) One can check directly that all of the topos operations—pullbacks, exponentials, subobject classifier—construct only finite set-valued functors when applied to finite set-valued functors.

Chapter 9

3. η_A takes an element $a \in A$ and returns the function $(c \mapsto \langle a, c \rangle) \in (A \times C)^C$.



4. For any small index category \mathbf{J} , the left adjoint of $\Delta : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{J}}$ is the functor taking a diagram in $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{J}}$ to its colimit (if it exists), and the right adjoint to its limit. Indeed, suppose $D : \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a functor.



Define the natural transformation η_D to take an object $J \in \mathbf{J}$ to the injection $i_J : DJ \to \varinjlim D$. The commutativity condition on the colimit guarantees that η_D is natural. Suppose E and $\theta : D \to \Delta E$ are given. That is, suppose θ is a co-cone from the diagram D to the object E. Then there exists a unique arrow out of $\overline{\theta} : \varinjlim D \to E$ making the above diagram commute. Therefore, $\liminf \Delta$. Dually, $\overrightarrow{\Delta} \dashv \lim$.

It follows that for $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{2}$, the left adjoint is binary coproduct and the right adjoint is binary product.

- 5. Right adjoints preserve limits, and left adjoints preserve colimits.
- 8. The first adjunction is equivalent to the statement:

 $\operatorname{im}(f)(X) \subseteq Y \iff X \subseteq f^{-1}(Y),$

for all $X \subseteq A, Y \subseteq B$. Here,

 \oplus

 \oplus

$$\operatorname{im}(f)(X) = \{b \mid b = f(x) \text{ for some } x \in X\}$$

$$f^{-1}(Y) = \{a \mid f(a) \in Y\}$$

If $\operatorname{im}(f)(X) \subseteq Y$ then for any $x \in X$, we have $f(x) \in Y$, and so $X \subseteq f^{-1}(Y)$. Conversely, take $b \in \operatorname{im}(f)(X)$, so there is some $x \in X$ with f(x) = b. If $X \subseteq f^{-1}(Y)$ then $b = f(x) \in Y$.

 \oplus

For the right adjoint, set

$$f_*(X) = \{b \mid f^{-1}(\{b\}) \subseteq X\}.$$

We need to show

$$f^{-1}(Y) \subseteq X \iff Y \subseteq f_*(X).$$

Suppose $f^{-1}(Y) \subseteq X$ and take any $y \in Y$, then $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \subseteq f^{-1}(Y) \subseteq X$. Conversely, given $Y \subseteq f_*(X)$, we have $f^{-1}(Y) \subseteq f^{-1}(f_*(X)) \subseteq X$, since $b \in f_*(X)$ implies $f^{-1}(\{b\}) \subseteq X$.

9. We show that $\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{Sets}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ has itself, regarded as a functor $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{op}} : \mathbf{Sets} \to \mathbf{Sets}^{\mathrm{op}}$, as a (left) adjoint:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}}(A, \mathcal{P}(B)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}}(A, 2^B) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}}(B, 2^A)$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}}(B, \mathcal{P}(A)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}^{\operatorname{op}}}(\mathcal{P}^{\operatorname{op}}(A), B).$$

10. A right adjoint to $U: \mathbf{C}/C \to \mathbf{C}$ is given by products with C,

$$A \mapsto (\pi_2 : A \times C \to C),$$

so U has a right adjoint iff every object A has such a product. To have a left adjoint, U would have to preserve limits, and in particular the terminal object $1_C : C \to C$. But $U(1_C) = C$, so C would need to be terminal, in which case $\mathbf{C}/C \cong \mathbf{C}$.

11. (a) In a Heyting algebra, we have an operation $b \Rightarrow c$ such that

$$a \leq b \Rightarrow c \iff a \wedge b \leq c.$$

We define a coHeyting algebra by duality, as a bounded lattice with an operation a/b satisfying

$$a/b \le c \iff a \le b \lor c$$

In a Boolean algebra, we know that $b \Rightarrow c = \neg b \lor c$. By duality, we can set $a/b = a \lor \neg b$.

(b) In intuitionistic logic, we have two inference rules regarding negation:

$$\varphi \wedge \neg \varphi \vdash \bot$$

$$\varphi \vdash \neg \neg \varphi$$

We get inference rules for the conegation $\sim p = 1/p$ by duality

$$\top \vdash \varphi \lor \sim \varphi$$

$$\sim \sim \varphi \vdash \varphi$$

For the boundary $\partial p = p \wedge \sim p$, we have the inference rules derived from the rules for \wedge :

$$q \vdash \partial p \quad \text{iff} \quad q \vdash p \text{ and } q \vdash \sim p$$

⊕

 \oplus

300

 \oplus

(c) We seek a biHeyting algebra P which is not Boolean. The underlying lattice of P will be the three-element set $\{0, p, 1\}$, ordered $0 \le p \le 1$. Now let

$$x \Rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1 & x \le y \\ y & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

This is easily checked to satisfy the required condition for $x \Rightarrow y$, thus P is a Heyting algebra. But since P is self-dual, it is also a coHeyting algebra, and co-implication must be given by

$$x/y = \begin{cases} 0 & x \ge y \\ y & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

To see that P is not Boolean, observe that $\neg x = x \Rightarrow 0 = 0$, so $\neg \neg x = 1 \neq x$.

Note that P is the lattice of lower sets in the poset **2**. In general, such a lattice is always a Heyting algebra, since it is completely distributive, as is easily seen. It follows that such a lattice is also coHeyting, since its opposite is isomorphic to the lower sets in the opposite of the poset.

19. The right adjoint **Rel** \rightarrow **Sets** is the powerset functor, $A \mapsto \mathcal{P}(A)$, with action on a relation $R \subseteq A \times B$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}(R) : \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(B)$$
$$X \mapsto \{b \mid xRb \text{ for some } x \in X\}.$$

The unit $\eta_A : A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ is the singleton mapping $a \mapsto \{a\}$, and the counit is the (converse) membership relation $\exists_A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A) \times A$.

Chapter 10

(+)

 \oplus

2. Let **C** be a category with terminal object 1 and binary coproducts, and define $T : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ by TC = 1 + C. Let \mathbb{T} be the equational theory of a set equipped with a unary operation and a distinguished constant (no equations). We want to show that the following categories are equivalent:

T-algebras	Objects :	$(A \in \mathbf{C}, a : 1 + A \to A)$
	Arrows :	$h: (A, a) \to (B, b)$ s.t. $h \circ a = b \circ T(h)$
T-algebras	Objects :	$(X \in \mathbf{Sets}, c_X \in X, s_X : X \to X)$
	Arrows :	$f: X \to Y$ s.t $fc_X = c_Y$ and $f \circ s_X = s_Y \circ f$

Au: Please confirm if the italicization to certains elements in the text is ok.

⊕

 \oplus

We have the functor F: T-Alg $\rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ -Alg sending

$$(A, a) \mapsto (A, a_1 : 1 \to A, a_2 : A \to A)$$

where $a = [a_1, a_2]$ as a map from the coproduct 1 + A. Conversely, given $(X, c \in X, s : X \to X)$, we can set $f = [c, s] : 1 + X \to X$ to get a *T*-algebra. The effect on morphisms is easily seen, as is the fact that these are pseudo-inverse functors.

"11-Awodey-sol" — 2009/12/18 — 17:02 — page 302 — #24

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

SOLUTIONS TO SELECTED EXERCISES

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

302

Since free \mathbb{T} -algebras exist in **Sets** and such existence is preserved by equivalence functors, it follows that **Sets** has free *T*-algebras. In particular, an initial *T*-algebra in **Sets** is the initial \mathbb{T} -algebra \mathbb{N} , which is an NNO.

3. Let $i: TI \to I$ be an initial *T*-algebra. By initiality, we can (uniquely) fill in the dotted arrows of the following diagram:



Composing the squares, we have a map of T-algebras $I \to I$, which by uniqueness must be the identity. But then $i \circ u = 1_I$, and $u \circ i = Ti \circ Tu = T(i \circ u) = 1_{TI}$, so *i* is an isomorphism. A natural numbers object N is initial for the endofunctor TC = 1 + C, so it follows that $N \cong 1 + N$ for any NNO.