

## HOMEWORK 9

Due Thursday, November 9

1. The language of *additive monoids* has a constant symbol  $0$  and a binary function symbol, written  $x + y$ . The axioms are associativity  $\forall x \forall y \forall z (x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z)$ ; commutativity  $\forall x \forall y (x + y = y + x)$ ; and  $0$  is a (two-sided) unit  $\forall x (0 + x = x)$  and  $\forall x (x + 0 = x)$ .

Define the *even* elements by

$$E(x) =_{\text{df}} \exists z (x = z + z).$$

Use deduction to show that the sum of two even elements is even.

2. Fix a language,  $L$ , with two, one-place predicate symbols  $A$  and  $B$ .
- (a) For each of the following formulas, find a (simple!) interpretation that makes it true and one that makes it false. Justify your answers.
- i.  $\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x))$
  - ii.  $\forall x A(x) \vee \forall x B(x)$
  - iii.  $\exists x (A(x) \vee B(x))$
  - iv.  $\exists x A(x) \vee \exists x B(x)$
- (b) Do the same for  $\wedge$  in place of  $\vee$ .
3. Let  $L$  be a language with two unary predicates,  $A$  and  $B$ . Consider the equivalence

$$\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x)) \leftrightarrow \forall x A(x) \vee \forall x B(x).$$

- (a) Show that one direction is valid. In particular, your answer should make it clear that you know what “valid” means!
- (b) Show that the other direction is not valid.
4. Let  $L$  be any language. Which of the following statements are true and which are false? Justify your answers.
- (a) If  $\varphi$  is any sentence, either  $\models \varphi$  or  $\models \neg \varphi$ .

- (b) If  $\varphi$  is any sentence and  $\mathcal{A}$  is any  $L$ -structure, either  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi$  or  $\mathcal{A} \models \neg\varphi$ .
  - (c) If  $\varphi$  is any sentence and  $\Gamma$  is any set of sentences, then either  $\Gamma \models \varphi$  or  $\Gamma \models \neg\varphi$ .
  - (d) If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are any sentences,  $\models \varphi \wedge \psi$  implies  $\models \varphi$  and  $\models \psi$ .
  - (e) If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are any sentences,  $\models \varphi \vee \psi$  implies  $\models \varphi$  or  $\models \psi$ .
- ★ 5. Show that the definition of existential quantifier in terms of negation and universal quantification is semantically valid by proving

$$\models \exists\varphi \leftrightarrow \neg\forall\neg\varphi$$