## HOMEWORK #6 Due Wednesday, October 3

- 1. Read Section 1.4 in van Dalen, and start reading Section 1.5.
- $\star$  2. Use our semantic definitions to prove or find a counterexample to each of the following:
  - a. For every set of formulas  $\Gamma$ , every formula  $\varphi$ , and every formula  $\psi$ , if  $\Gamma \models \varphi \land \psi$ , then  $\Gamma \models \varphi$  and  $\Gamma \models \psi$ .
  - b. For every set of formulas  $\Gamma$ , every formula  $\varphi$ , and every formula  $\psi$ , if  $\Gamma \models \varphi \lor \psi$ , then  $\Gamma \models \varphi$  or  $\Gamma \models \psi$ .
- \* 3. Do problems 4 and 5 on page 28 of van Dalen. In other words, if  $\varphi \mid \psi$ , read " $\varphi$  nand  $\psi$ ," means that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are not both true, and  $\varphi \downarrow \psi$ , read " $\varphi$  nor  $\psi$ ," means that neither  $\varphi$  nor  $\psi$  is true, show that  $\{\mid\}$  and  $\{\downarrow\}$  are complete sets of connectives.
  - 4. Do problem 6 on page 28. In other words, show that these are the only two binary connectives that have this property.
  - 5. Show that  $\{\rightarrow, \bot\}$  is a complete set of connectives.
- **\*** 6.
- a. Show that  $\{\rightarrow, \lor, \land\}$  is not a complete set of connectives. (Hint: show that any formula involving only these connectives is true when all the variables are true.)
- b. Conclude that  $\{\rightarrow, \lor, \land, \leftrightarrow, \top\}$  is not a complete set of connectives. (Hint: define the last two in terms of the others.)
- 7. a. Show that  $\{\perp, \leftrightarrow\}$  is not a complete set of connectives. (Hint: show that any formula involving only these connectives and the variables  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  is equivalent to one of the following:  $\perp$ ,  $\top$ ,  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $\neg p_0$ ,  $\neg p_1$ ,  $p_0 \leftrightarrow p_1$ , or  $p_0 \oplus p_1$ .)
  - b. Conclude that  $\{\bot, \top, \neg, \leftrightarrow, \oplus\}$  is not complete. (Hint: see the previous problem.)
- $\circ$  8. How many ternary (3-ary) complete connectives are there?
- $\circ$  9. Do problem 7 on page 28.

- $\star$  10. Do problem 8 on page 28. (Hint: it might help to read problem 7.)
  - 11. Make up a truth table for a ternary connective, and then find a formula that represents it.
  - 12. Do problems 9 and 10 on page 28.
  - 13. Using the property  $\varphi \lor (\psi \land \theta) \approx (\varphi \lor \psi) \land (\varphi \lor \theta)$ , and the dual statement with  $\land$  and  $\lor$  switched, put

$$(p_1 \wedge p_2) \lor (q_1 \wedge q_2) \lor (r_1 \wedge r_2)$$

in conjunctive normal form. (Hint: try it with  $(p_1 \wedge p_2) \lor (q_1 \wedge q_2)$  first.)

\* 14. Do problem 1 on page 39 of van Dalen. Remember that we are taking  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$  to abbreviate  $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$ .

Note that a parenthesis is missing at the end of part (f). The  $\leftarrow$  directions of parts (d) and (e) are a little tricky, because they require the classical rule RAA.

15. Do problem 2 on page 39.

There is a parenthesis missing in part (b); it should read  $[\varphi \to (\psi \to \sigma)] \leftrightarrow [\psi \to (\varphi \to \sigma)]$ . Here the square brackets are only used to make the formula more readable; they are no different from parentheses.