### Externalities



# Consumption
Externalities

Production
Externalities

#### **Consumption Externalities**

Occurs when one consumer cares directly about another consumer's consumption or a firm's production.

- Negative consumption externalities: smokers, automobile pollution.
- Positive consumption externality: neighbor's flowers.

#### **Production Externalities**

- Production possibilities of one firm are influenced by choices of another firm or consumer.
- Negative production externality: fishery cares about pollutants dumped by upstream firm.
- Positive production externality: R&D of one firm has positive effects on R&D of other firms.

#### **Crucial Feature of Externalities**

 There are goods people care about that are not sold on markets: no market for automobile pollution, pollutants, etc.

Absence of markets implies equilibrium when there are externalities is inefficient: too much negative externalities, not enough positive ones.







## Example: Smoking

At an efficient equilibrium we have:

#### $MRS_A(money, smoke) = MRS_B(money, smoke)$

## Example: Smoking

Introducing a market for smoke improves the utility of both consumers.

Problem with setting up such market: poorly defined property rights.

## Example: Smoking

■ Q: If a market for smoke can be organized, how much smoke will be produced?

A: In general, it depends on the initial distribution of property rights.

- **#** Firm S produces:
- 1. steel s;
- 2. pollution x, which is dumped into a river.

Firm F, a fishery, is located downstream and is adversely affected by S's pollution.

**#** Cost function for S:

$$C_{s}\left(s, x\right)$$

**#** Cost function for F:

$$C_f\left(f, x\right)_{+}$$

**#** Profit maximization for S:

$$\max_{s,x} p_s s - c_s(s,x)$$

**#** Profit maximization for F:

$$\max_{f} p_f f - c_f(f, x)$$

Optimal choices for S:

 $p_s = \frac{\partial c_s(s, x)}{\partial s}$  $0 = \frac{\partial c_s(s, x)}{\partial x}$ 

Optimal choice for F:

 $p_f = \frac{\partial c_f(f, x)}{\partial f}$ 

When setting optimal quantity of pollution, the steel firm does not take into account the cost of pollution for the fishery.

Social cost of steel production: increase in the cost of fishing associated with an increase in pollution.

What does a Pareto efficient production plan for steel and fish look like?

**#** Suppose the two firms merged:

 $\max_{s,x,f} p_{s}s + p_{f}f - c_{s}(s,x) - c_{f}(f,x)$ 

Optimal choice of f,s,x:  $p_s = \frac{\partial c_s(s, x)}{\partial s}$  $p_f = \frac{\partial c_f(f, x)}{\partial f}$  $\frac{\partial c_f(f,x)}{\partial c_s(s,x)}$ дx  $\partial x$ 

Compare pollution produced by merged firm pollution produced by steel firm:

$$-\frac{\partial c_s(s^{**}, x^{**})}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial c_f(f^{**}, x^{**})}{\partial f} > 0$$
$$-\frac{\partial c_s(s^{*}, x^{*})}{\partial x} = 0$$



At the Pareto efficient level of production, the profits of the merged firm are larger than the sum of the profits of the two firms.

How can the Pareto efficient outcome be achieved?

Internalizing Production Externalities: Taxation

Steel firm faces the wrong price for pollution, i.e., zero.

To correct this, impose a quantity tax t on pollutants:

$$\max_{s,x} p_s s - c_s(s,x) - tx$$

Internalizing Production Externalities: Taxation

#### **#** Optimal choice of pollution with tax:

$$-\frac{\partial c_s(s,x)}{\partial x} = t$$

**#** Optimal choice of t:

$$t^* = \frac{\partial c_f(f^{**}, x^{**})}{\partial x}$$

Internalizing Production Externalities: Create Market

- **#** Missing market.
- Create market by assigning fishery the right to clean water. Fishery can sell right to steel firm.
- Or, create market by assigning steel firm the right to pollute water. Steel firm can sell right to fishery.

Internalizing Production Externalities: Create Market

 The amount of pollution does not depend on how property rights are distributed (different from consumption externalities).

Distribution of property rights affects firms' profits.

Production Externalities: Incentives Are Already There

Steel firm and fishery have an incentive to merge (higher profits): why don't they merge?!

Or, somebody could buy both firms, merge them, and then enjoy higher profits.