

Constructive Logic (15-317), Fall 2012

Assignment 5: Classical Logic

Carlo Angiuli (cangiuli@cs)

Out: Friday, October 12, 2012

Due: Thursday, October 18, 2012 (before class)

In this assignment, you will investigate the relationship between constructive and classical logic.

1 Double-Negation Translation (12 points)

Unlike in constructive logic, which has only a judgment for truth, classical logic has judgments for truth, falsity, and contradiction. Classical logic also has a primitive notion of negation ($\neg A$), whereas constructive logic simply defines $\neg A$ to be $A \supset \perp$.

The Gödel–Gentzen double–negation translation takes a classical proposition A to a constructive proposition A^* , and is defined inductively on the structure of A as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\top^* &= \top \\ \perp^* &= \perp \\ (A \wedge B)^* &= A^* \wedge B^* \\ (A \supset B)^* &= A^* \supset B^* \\ (A \vee B)^* &= \neg\neg(A^* \vee B^*) \\ (\neg A)^* &= \neg A^* \\ P^* &= \neg\neg P \text{ where } P \text{ atomic}\end{aligned}$$

(Note: On the left, \neg is the primitive classical notion of negation; on the right, \neg is an abbreviation for $\neg \supset \perp$.)

Task 1 (12 points). Prove that, for any classical proposition A ,

$$\cdot \vdash \neg\neg A^* \supset A^* \text{ true}$$

is derivable (constructively). You need only show the cases for:

- \top
- atomic propositions
- \supset
- \vee

2 Embedding Classical Logic (28 points)

The Gödel–Gentzen double–negation translation allows us to *embed* classical logic into constructive logic in the following sense:

Theorem 1.

1. If $\Gamma \vdash_C A$ true, then $\Gamma^* \vdash A^*$ true.
2. If $\Gamma \vdash_C A$ false, then $\Gamma^* \vdash \neg A^*$ true.
3. If $\Gamma \vdash_C \#$, then $\Gamma^* \vdash \perp$ true.

In the above theorem, Γ^* is the result of applying the double–negation translation to each proposition in the context; \vdash_C indicates a classical derivation, using the rules listed at the end of this assignment; and \vdash indicates a constructive derivation. In other words, this theorem states that any classically–derivable proposition has a constructively–derivable counterpart, given by the translation.

Task 2 (28 points). Prove Theorem 1, showing the following cases:

- $\supset T$
- $\vee T1$
- $\supset F$
- $\vee F$
- $\neg F$
- $\#$
- PBCT

You may use the result stated in Task 1. (*Hint*: You will also need weakening.)

A Classical rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \text{ true} \vdash_C M : B \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \lambda x : A. M : A \supset B \text{ true}} \supset T \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_C M : A \text{ true} \quad \Gamma \vdash_C N : B \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \langle M, N \rangle : A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge T \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_C \star : \top \text{ true}} \top T$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_C M : A \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \text{in}_1 M : A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee T1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_C M : B \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \text{in}_2 M : A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee T2 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_C K : A \text{ false}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \neg K : \neg A \text{ true}} \neg T$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_C M : A \text{ true} \quad \Gamma \vdash_C K : B \text{ false}}{\Gamma \vdash_C M; K : A \supset B \text{ false}} \supset F \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_C K : A \text{ false} \quad \Gamma \vdash_C L : B \text{ false}}{\Gamma \vdash_C [K, L] : A \vee B \text{ false}} \vee F \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_C \bullet : \perp \text{ false}} \perp F$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_C K : A \text{ false}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \pi_1 \cdot K : A \wedge B \text{ false}} \wedge F1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_C K : B \text{ false}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \pi_2 \cdot K : A \wedge B \text{ false}} \wedge F2 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_C M : A \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \neg M : \neg A \text{ false}} \neg F$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_C M : A \text{ true} \quad \Gamma \vdash_C K : A \text{ false}}{\Gamma \vdash_C \langle M \triangleright K \rangle : \#} \# \quad \frac{}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ true} \vdash_C x : A \text{ true}} \text{hyp}T \quad \frac{}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ false} \vdash_C x : A \text{ false}} \text{hyp}F$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, u : A \text{ false} \vdash_C E : \#}{\Gamma \vdash_C u : A \text{ false}. E : A \text{ true}} \text{PBCT} \quad \frac{\Gamma, u : A \text{ true} \vdash_C E : \#}{\Gamma \vdash_C u : A \text{ true}. E : A \text{ false}} \text{PBCF}$$