Recitation 9

Bad Days

Suppose transitions between good days at work and bad days at work can be modeled as a Markov chain. A good day follows another good day with probability 0.7, whereas a bad day follows another bad day with probability 0.6.

- (a) Find the transition probability matrix of the Markov chain.
- (b) Suppose a worker works for a long time. Approximately what proportion of his days will be good?

Threshold Queue

We define a threshold queue with parameter T as follows: When the number of jobs is $\leq T$, then the number of jobs decreases by 1 with probability 0.4 and increases by 1 with probability 0.6 at each time step. However, when the number of jobs increases to > T, then the reverse is true, and the number of jobs increases by 1 with probability 0.4 and decreases by 1 with probability 0.6 at each time step.

- (a) Draw the Markov chain for this process.
- (b) Assuming that the limiting probabilities exist, derive the system of stationary equations.
- (c) Compute the mean number of jobs in a threshold queue as a function of T.

Code Distance

The **distance** of a code is the minimum Hamming distance (number of differing bits) between any two codewords. For example, the Hamming(7, 4) code from lecture has distance 3, because if 4-bit messages a, b differ, then the 7-bit transmitted messages G'a and G'b will always differ in at least three places.

If we send a message with a parity check bit, it has distance 2. This is easy to prove:

- If two bare messages differ in exactly one bit, they differ in parity, so the message sent differs in two bits.
- Otherwise, the messages already differ in more than one bit, and we are done.

Our code with distance 2 can detect one error and correct none. Our code with distance 3 can detect two errors and correct one. Can this be generalized?

- (a) Prove that a code with distance d can detect up to d-1 errors.
- (b) Prove that a code with distance d can correct up to (d-1)/2 errors.
- (c) We'd like to improve the Hamming(7, 4) code without changing it very much. One potential way is to add an 8th bit corresponding to the parity of the 7-bit codeword. Does this improve error detection? How about correction?

Correction with Polynomials

These questions also appear as warm-ups to homework 8. Note that unlike in lecture, the message is encoded as values taken by the function, not as coefficients. Is either scheme better?

- (a) Suppose Alice wants to send Bob 3 numbers between 0 and 6 inclusive and she wants to guard against 1 corrupted packet.
 - What's the smallest prime field Alice can use?
 - Suppose Alice wants to send Bob $m = ((1, m_1), (2, m_2), (3, m_3))$. what is the maximum degree for which a unique polynomial fits these points?
 - What is the minimum number of extra points Alice must send Bob so that he can correctly reconstruct her message *m*?
 - Bob receive a message r = (3, 3, 3, 2, 0). In order to check whether the message is corrupted, Bob needs to solve $N(x) = r_i E(x)$, where N(x) = P(x)E(x), P(x) is the original polynomial used to send the message, and E(x) is the error-locator polynomial from the Berlekamp-Welch algorithm. What are the degrees of N(x) and E(x)?
 - What is the solution to the corresponding system of linear equations:

$$a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 = 3 + 3b_0$$

$$a_3 + 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 = 6 + 3b_0$$

$$6a_3 + 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 = 2 + 3b_0$$

$$a_3 + 2a_2 + 4a_1 + a_0 = 1 + 2b_0$$

$$6a_3 + 4a_2 + 5a_1 + a_0 = 0$$

- What is the original polynomial $P(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$?
- Which packet is corrupted, and what is the original value?